



Routledge Studies in Ecological Economics

ECONOMICS OF EXTRACTIVISM

ENERGY AND RESOURCES IN THE 21ST CENTURY

Rosa M^a Regueiro Ferreira, Pablo Alonso Fernández
and Aurèlia Mañé Estrada



Economics of Extractivism

Extractivism is an economic model based on the extraction of natural resources for export that is widespread in resource-rich countries. Historically, extractivism was typically used in reference to fossil fuels but in recent decades the drive to find new energy sources has given rise to new dynamics in extractivism. This book identifies and describes these new dynamics and trends in extractivism and explores their effects on the economic development and environmental impact of different countries, to show the potential benefits and limits of renewable energies.

To this end, an introduction and contextualisation of the concept of extractivism in recent decades is provided. This is followed by a detailed description of the various impacts around extractivism of different energy sources throughout the 21st century: fossil fuels, renewables and other sources. Subsequently, the implications of extractivism for economic development, the environment, the energy transition, and the problems and conflicts arising from the relations between extractivist countries and consumers in the field of energy are examined in depth.

This book will be of interest to readers in ecological economics, environmental economics, energy economics, political ecology, and anyone working on energy issues in the social and natural sciences.

Rosa M^a Regueiro Ferreira, Full-time professor at University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

Pablo Alonso Fernández, PhD in Economics from the University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

Aurèlia Mañé Estrada, Full-time professor at University of Barcelona, Spain.

Routledge Studies in Ecological Economics

Radical Ecological Economics and Accounting to Save the Planet

The Failure of Mainstream Economists

Jacques Richard

Economics, Ecology and Policy for the Bioeconomy

A Holistic Approach

Sanja Tišma, Anamarija Farkaš, Anamarija Pisarović, Marina Funduk, and Iva Tolić

The Informal Sector and the Environment

Edited by Ranjula Bali Swain and Uma Kambhampati

The Bioeconomy

Institutions, Innovation and Sustainability

Nicolas Bafort

The Economics of Sustainable Development and Distribution

The Unfairness and Injustice of Milton Friedman's Capitalism

Dariusz Pieńkowski

Collective Sustainable Consumption

The Case of Poland

Edited by Anna Horodecka and Alina Szypulewska-Porczyńska

The Circular Bioeconomy

Institutional and Production Perspectives

Edited by Małgorzata Pink and Agnieszka Józefowska

Economics of Extractivism

Energy and Resources in the 21st Century

Rosa M^a Regueiro Ferreira, Pablo Alonso-Fernández and Aurèlia Mañé Estrada

For more information about this series, please visit: www.routledge.com/Routledge-Studies-in-Ecological-Economics/book-series/RSEE

Economics of Extractivism

Energy and Resources in the 21st Century

**Rosa M^a Regueiro Ferreira,
Pablo Alonso Fernández and
Aurèlia Mañé Estrada**

First published 2026
by Routledge
4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge
605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158

*Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa
business*

© 2026 Rosa M^a Regueiro Ferreira, Pablo Alonso Fernández, and
Aurèlia Mañé Estrada

The right of Rosa M^a Regueiro Ferreira, Pablo Alonso Fernández, and
Aurèlia Mañé Estrada to be identified as authors of this work has been
asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright,
Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or
reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or
other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying
and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system,
without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or
registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and
explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 978-1-032-55879-0 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-1-032-55880-6 (pbk)

ISBN: 978-1-003-43271-5 (ebk)

DOI: 10.4324/9781003432715

Typeset in Times New Roman
by SPi Technologies India Pvt Ltd (Straive)

Contents

| | |
|--|------|
| <i>List of Figures</i> | vii |
| <i>List of Tables</i> | viii |
| 1 Energy Transition, Sustainability, and Ecological Transition | 1 |
| 1.1 <i>General Remarks</i> | 1 |
| 1.2 <i>Economic Approaches to Resource Management</i> | 2 |
| 1.3 <i>The Ambiguity of Sustainability in Energy Transition</i> | 5 |
| 2 Economic Growth, Dematerialization, and Extractivism | 9 |
| 2.1 <i>Economic Growth and Dematerialization</i> | 9 |
| 2.2 <i>The Environmental Kuznets Curve</i> | 10 |
| 2.3 <i>GDP Growth and Technology Progress</i> | 12 |
| 2.4 <i>Extractivism: An Economic and Ecological Approach</i> | 13 |
| 3 Methodology | 22 |
| 3.1 <i>Methods for Analysing the Consumption of Material Resources</i> | 22 |
| 3.2 <i>Indicators</i> | 25 |
| 4 Fossil Fuels: Extraction and Economics | 31 |
| 4.1 <i>Introduction</i> | 31 |
| 4.2 <i>General Framework for Fossil Energy</i> | 33 |
| 4.3 <i>Oil: Extraction and Production</i> | 37 |
| 4.4 <i>Natural Gas: Extraction and Production</i> | 40 |
| 4.5 <i>Coal: Extraction and Production</i> | 44 |
| 4.6 <i>Nuclear Energy</i> | 45 |
| 4.7 <i>Conclusions</i> | 47 |

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 5 | Renewable Energy Sources: Economics and Materials | 50 |
| 5.1 | <i>Introduction</i> | 50 |
| 5.2 | <i>Renewable Energy: Development and Production</i> | 54 |
| 5.3 | <i>Demand for Materials</i> | 62 |
| 5.4 | <i>Conclusions</i> | 66 |
| 6 | Extractivism of Fossil Fuels | 71 |
| 6.1 | <i>Oil</i> | 71 |
| 6.2 | <i>Gas</i> | 73 |
| 6.3 | <i>Coal</i> | 75 |
| 6.4 | <i>Whole Fossil Fuels</i> | 77 |
| 6.5 | <i>Total Extraction and Global Trends</i> | 79 |
| 7 | An Energy Transition Designed with Fossil Ideology | 85 |
| 7.1 | <i>Energy Geopolitics and Fossil Ideology</i> | 85 |
| 7.2 | <i>Fossil Ideology Applied to Renewable Energies</i> | 93 |
| 7.3 | <i>Conclusions</i> | 99 |
| | <i>Glossary</i> | 103 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 105 |

Figures

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.1 | Representation of the curve of the notary's rule | 18 |
| 6.1 | Total oil extraction, million tons, 1970–2024 | 72 |
| 6.2 | Global gas consumption, million tons, 1970–2024 | 74 |
| 6.3 | World coal extraction, million tonnes, 1970–2024 | 75 |
| 6.4 | Total resource extraction by category, billions of tonnes, 1970–2024 | 81 |
| 6.5 | Extraction per inhabitant, tons per capita, 1970–2024 | 82 |
| 6.6 | Material productivity (\$/kg) using the territorial and consumption approaches, 1970–2021 | 82 |
| 6.7 | Tapio decoupling index for domestic extraction, 1971 and 2021 | 83 |
| 6.8 | Cumulative growth rate of GDP, ED, population, and ED of fossil fuels | 83 |

Tables

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 1.1 | Differences between strong sustainability and weak sustainability | 5 |
| 3.1 | Framework for assessing decoupling | 26 |
| 4.1 | World total primary energy consumption by region, Low Zero-Carbon Technology Cost case (quadrillion British thermal units) | 36 |
| 4.2 | Stages and activities of the oil-extraction process | 37 |
| 4.3 | Stages of crude oil production | 38 |
| 4.4 | World crude oil production by region and country, High Oil Price case (million barrels per day) | 40 |
| 4.5 | Main stages and activities of natural gas extraction | 41 |
| 4.6 | Stages of natural gas production | 42 |
| 4.7 | World net trade in natural gas by region, Reference case (trillion cubic feet) | 43 |
| 4.8 | World natural gas production by region, Reference case (trillion cubic feet) | 44 |
| 4.9 | World coal production by region, Reference case (million short tons) | 46 |
| 5.1 | Electricity installed generating capacity: World, Low Oil Price case (gigawatts) | 52 |
| 5.2 | Electricity generation: World, Reference case (billion kilowatt hours) | 53 |
| 5.3 | Renewable capacity installed in the grid (2007–2023)(%) | 53 |
| 5.4 | Top six countries by installed wind capacity (2007–2023)(%) | 54 |
| 5.5 | Installed wind capacity, onshore versus offshore (2011–2023)(%) | 55 |
| 5.6 | The colours of hydrogen | 59 |
| 5.7 | Main materials needed to obtain renewable technologies | 63 |
| 5.8 | Main materials used in renewable technologies, by level of supply risk and producing countries | 65 |
| 6.1 | Countries that extract and consume the most oil, millions of tons, 2024. | 72 |
| 6.2 | Major oil exporters and importers, million tons, 2024. | 73 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 6.3 | Countries that extract and consume the largest quantities of natural gas, millions of tons, 2024. | 74 |
| 6.4 | Main gas exporters and importers, million tonnes, 2024 | 75 |
| 6.5 | Countries that extract and consume the largest quantities of coal gas, million tonnes, 2024. | 76 |
| 6.6 | Major coal exporters and importers, million tonnes, 2024 | 76 |
| 6.7 | Countries with the highest extraction, DMC, and material footprint, fossil fuels, millions of tons and percentage of the total | 77 |
| 6.8 | Major exporters and importers of fossil fuels, million tonnes, 2024 | 78 |
| 6.9 | Major exporters and importers of fossil fuels, consumption approach, million tonnes, 2024 | 78 |
| 6.10 | Countries with the highest extraction, DMC and material footprint, millions of tons and percentage of the total | 79 |
| 6.11 | Main exporters and importers, millions of tons, 2024 | 80 |
| 6.12 | Main exporters and importers, consumption approach million tons, 2024 | 80 |
| 7.1 | Summary of the characteristics and elements of the “fossil ideology” | 92 |
| 7.2 | A priori differences between an energy model based on fossil and renewable sources | 93 |
| 7.3 | Soft path vs. hard path transition | 95 |



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

1 Energy Transition, Sustainability, and Ecological Transition

1.1 General Remarks

In the current context, the tension between the physical limits of natural resource disposal, the overreach of the hegemonic production and consumption model, the end of access to cheap energy (especially in the form of electricity), and the scarcity of energy resources is evident (Carpintero & Nieto, 2022). The application of energy alternatives is an urgent and important need for contemporary society. However, it is necessary to ask whether this process of renewable development is ‘sustainable’ in the current landscape of the green transition. In line with the 2030 Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (ONU, 2017), the European Commission has championed the European Green Pact or “Green Deal”. The aim is to make the European Union, by 2050, the first climate-neutral continent, thanks to a clean, zero-emissions economy, advancing global well-being (Comisión Europea, 2021). To achieve this, greenhouse gas emissions must be reduced by 55%, affecting above all the productive sphere and, in particular, the transport, energy, and industrial sectors. In this paradigm, non-renewable energy plays an important role, as it accounts for the sum of energy production and use plus 75% of the European Union’s greenhouse gas emissions.

An energy transition can be defined as a significant change in the energy system, affecting the structure of the system (centralised or decentralised supply), its energy sources, its cost structure, or even the political-economic regime that affects it. Throughout history, different energy transitions have taken place, with perhaps the most important being the use of fossil fuels on a large scale, in particular coal (Linares, 2018). For Casal (2024), energy transition is a process of change from an energy model based on one type of energy to another model based on another type of energy, the best known being the change from consuming fossil fuels to renewable energies.

An ecological transition would be a process of global changes in production and consumption systems, in social and political institutions, in ways of life, and in the values of the population in order to transform the current, highly environmentally costly situation into a future environmentally sustainable situation compatible with the planet’s capacity to maintain human activities; and

2 *Economics of Extractivism*

all this without altering the organisation of economic activities (García, 2018). For Casal (2024) it implies a change towards a new metabolism adapted to function with renewable energies while respecting the limits of the biosphere and physical limits in general. A derivative would be the ecosocial transition, which would prominently consider social change parallel to the ecological transition.

Energy decarbonisation is a fundamental axis of action, promoting renewable energies, energy efficiency, and energy saving, among others. Furthermore, we must remember that the hegemonic energy system, which is clearly non-renewable, focuses on the use of fossil resources linked to monopolistic and/or oligopolistic market situations, characterised by use in transport and a growth in world demand in emerging economies and oil-exporting countries, in the acknowledged scenario of peak oil. But it also implies witnessing an energy and climate crisis, with expensive energy, which means that the energy transition requires a change in the hegemonic production-consumption system, taking into account the limits set by renewable energies (Carpintero & Nieto, 2022).

It should not be forgotten that the Brundtland Report defined sustainable development as a development model that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. This requires an economic system that is aware of the Earth's ecological limits (the depletion of materials and resources) (Meadows et al., 2006).

1.2 **Economic Approaches to Resource Management**

1.2.1 *Ecological Economics*

Ecological economics is an analytical approach that considers economic aspects to be independent of physical issues. Therefore, physical issues and those related to resources or energy are not treated separately but are analysed as a whole. Therefore, it is interesting to introduce some of the key aspects of this perspective.

If energy is considered from the perspective of its potential for human use, two states can be distinguished: available and unavailable. Available energy is that which allows mechanical work to be performed, and its use converts it into unavailable energy, from which work can no longer be extracted (Ehrlich & Ehrlich, 1980; Valero, 1999). This statement is derived from the interpretation of the first two principles of thermodynamics, which indicate:

- 1 First principle of thermodynamics or principle of conservation of energy: the amount of energy contained in the universe remains unchanged and constant. This energy can be transformed, passing from one state to another, but it is neither created nor destroyed.
- 2 Second principle of thermodynamics or law of entropy: the transformation of energy implies an irreversible degradation of that energy, so each change of state of the energy contained in a system implies an increase in the entropy of the system.

Therefore, based on the principles of thermodynamics, energy transformation occurs unidirectionally, moving from available to unavailable energy irreversibly. The law of entropy can be extended to the field of material (Georgescu-Roegen, 1971). As with energy, two qualitatively different forms of matter can be distinguished: available matter, which allows work to be obtained, and unavailable matter, from which work cannot be extracted. Considering that the Earth is a closed system for the input of material resources, the availability of resources on Earth is limited, and problems of scarcity are inevitable and must be managed.

In terms of energy, the Earth can also be considered a closed system, at least from the perspective of human needs. It does receive large amounts of energy from the sun, but most of this energy is dissipated, resulting in a zero-energy balance (Valero & Naredo, 1999). The small portion of solar energy that is retained is due to plant photosynthesis, which, over millions of years, has allowed large amounts of energy to accumulate in the form of fossil fuels. In recent centuries, human societies have used amounts of energy that far exceed the flow from the sun. Thus, the rate at which the energy stored in fossil resources is consumed far exceeds the rate at which they can be replenished. Therefore, at this scale of energy demand, the Earth is equivalent to a closed system for humans.

The energy imbalance generated by fossil fuels can be addressed through renewable energy sources. These sources present two important limitations that must be considered. First, their flow-based nature, rather than stock-based nature, limits energy capacity to the availability of the harnessed flow. Second, the technologies required to harness these energy sources require significant amounts of very scarce material resources, such as rare earths. Therefore, a direct transition without any adjustment from the fossil fuel system to a fully renewable system is not feasible.

1.2.2 Conventional Economics

In conventional economic analyses, resources are interpreted as infinite and free (Naredo, 1987), so their value is primarily related to extraction costs. This approach has led to little attention being paid to potential problems of resource scarcity, based on two main premises. On the one hand, principles such as Hotelling's rule indicate that there is an optimal level of exploitation for each resource, which is set at the level that guarantees supply for the current and next generations (Hotelling, 1931). Under this rate of exploitation, there is always room to address potential shortages with sufficient time to find alternative resources or technologies that significantly reduce consumption (Solow, 1974). Hotelling's rule has been adopted as a valid economic principle, crystallising in the idea that sustainable development can be achieved if the needs of the current generation are met without compromising future generations, as defined in the Brundtland Report (United Nations, 1987). The growing evidence of the effects of resource use has favored a more detailed

4 *Economics of Extractivism*

analysis of this phenomenon. Many economic activities can cause undesirable effects on third parties not involved. These effects are called externalities, and can be of two types (Baumol & Oates, 1975):

- Positive externalities: These occur when economic activity generates a positive effect on an uninvolved third party, and the latter does not pay for it.
- Negative externalities: These occur when economic activity produces a negative effect on an unrelated third party, for which the activity is not compensated.

In general, most externalities generated by production are negative: destruction of the natural environment, damage to third-party property, pollution, and so on. Because these externalities affect economic agents not involved in the operation, they are not economically accounted for, so there are no incentives for the agent who generates them to manage them (Baumol & Oates, 1975; Pigou, 1920). The proposed solutions require the economic valuation of the externality, attempting to internalise it within production costs. To achieve this, regulations must ensure that property rights are adequately defined and that perfect competition exists (Coase, 1960; Hardin, 1968). In this way, the agent causing the externality and the injured party will have greater ease in negotiating, and an optimal level can be reached in which there is a balance between the damage caused and the compensation received.

In this area, the main problem arises from the great difficulty in valuing these externalities beyond monetary terms. Payment for the disutility generated by an externality can lead to economic equilibrium, but this does not necessarily coincide with ecological equilibrium. The ecological perspective considers it pointless to attempt to monetarily value environmental damage or the resources consumed (Costanza et al., 1997; Kapp, 1970). Their irreversible and finite nature means that the only appropriate management involves minimising the resources used and the impacts generated.

The conception of natural resources as inexhaustible or easily replaceable has led to a lack of concern for their management. In general, it has been considered sufficient that technical progress allows for progressively increasing efficiency in resource use. This reliance on the action of the market and technology implies the implicit assumption of substitutability between capital and resources extracted from nature (Solow, 1991). Considering this, and that optimal resource consumption can be achieved by assessing the needs of future generations (Solow, 1995), a sustainability approach can be defined, which is usually referred to as weak. At the opposite extreme, the ecological approach (strong sustainability) considers that this substitutability between capital and natural resources does not exist. Therefore, economic activity will be sustainable only when it does not negatively affect the recovery of ecosystems and its effect on the stock of natural capital is minimised (Arrow et al., 1995). Table 1.1 summarises the main differences between the two approaches to sustainability:

Table 1.1 Differences between strong sustainability and weak sustainability

| <i>Strong sustainability</i> | <i>Weak sustainability</i> |
|---|---|
| Natural capital is necessary to produce other forms of capital and is not replaceable by them. | Natural capital is replaceable by other forms of capital. |
| Economic activity should not affect the conservation of natural capital. | Determining the discount rate to replace amortised capital. |
| Well-being is not related to economic growth, which is also unsustainable. Well-being must be achieved without negatively affecting ecosystems. | Economic growth is essential to improving well-being. Maintaining growth requires considering the use of natural capital. |

Source: own elaboration.

1.3 The Ambiguity of Sustainability in Energy Transition

Considering the intense debate on the scope of the concept of sustainable development, the idea of sustainability/sustainability incorporated in the Brutland report is fully applicable and valid for the issue at hand, as it addresses the social, economic, and environmental dimensions:

- a economic interactions: a new economic model is needed to recognise the limit set by the biosphere, that the availability of resources is not infinite, depending on the category of resources (renewable, non-renewable), as well as changes in the behaviour of all the actors involved (consumers, companies, institutions).
- b the production process causes negative environmental impacts (emissions, waste, residues) that must be dealt with in order to reverse the current process. Policies must be articulated to enable this new ‘sustainable’ model, through measures such as the integral promotion of renewable energies, the creation of infrastructures that enable sustainability, tackling the problems of goods and passenger transport, energy efficiency models, and the design of a fiscal and institutional framework that encourages sustainable consumption, etc.
- c society must be an active part of this new model.

The relationships between the energy system and society, in order to contribute to the formation of a sustainable environment, have been studied through different approaches, methodologies, and analyses. Undoubtedly, one of the most recognised is the contribution of ecological economics (Georgescu-Roegen, 1996; Naredo, 1987), which studies sustainability by considering the relations between economic subsystems within a system of higher rank: The set of social relations which, in turn, is part of another system, which is nature or the biosphere (Passet, 1996). Society uses materials or energy from nature and expels waste and dissipated energy through a metabolic/social process (Carpintero, 2005, pp. 113–194). The study of these relationships includes the study of the

conflict between economic growth and the physical and biological limits of ecosystems. This analysis is transdisciplinary and holistic in nature, due to the complexity of the problems to be addressed, and it is necessary to have a long-term perspective in order to guarantee the distribution of resources for future generations, without preventing an equitable distribution to present generations.

Two key variables must be underlined in order to understand the practical role of renewable energies in the energy-ecological transition and in guaranteeing a minimum level of well-being: Climate change and energy sustainability. The link between renewable energies and the realistic and effective fight against climate change is based on energy consumption, its quantification, and the energy dependence of human and economic activities, transport systems, mobility, and so on. It is necessary to define ‘dispensable’ consumption, related to a new model of economic-social and inclusive development. The Stiglitz Report (Stiglitz et al., 2009) highlights a difference between the evaluation of well-being in the present and the evaluation of sustainability, understood as the capacity to maintain well-being over time. Present well-being depends on economic and non-economic resources that characterise people’s lives, such as the natural environment. Sustainability depends on ensuring the availability of stocks of goods that guarantee our well-being for future generations.

Finally, the “energy trap” (Carpintero & Nieto, 2022) should not be overlooked: The deployment of renewable energy sources and infrastructures requires a massive use of fossil fuels (the faster the transition process is planned, the more rapidly it is implemented), and the massive deployment of renewables has significant consequences in terms of extraction and use of non-renewable minerals that need to be assessed and taken into account (Valero, Valero & Calvo, 2021). As the International Energy Agency has pointed out, in a scenario in which the Paris Agreement targets are met, the demand for minerals for renewable technologies would increase global mineral consumption over two decades by 40% for copper and rare earths, 60%–70% for nickel and cobalt, and almost 90% for lithium, leaving hardly any room for the use of these minerals for other current uses (IEA, 2021).

In global terms, an energy transition can be defined as a significant change in the energy system of a territory, affecting the structure of the system, the energy sources that compose it, the costs, or even the political-economic regime that affects it. But this change has to be global, affect the whole system, and be built on new premises, not just on the energy source. Without a doubt, this is an essential detail to be able to determine the potential effectiveness of this process in terms of achieving the objectives set for it.

However, energy transition does not mean ecological transition. The evident tension is between the physical limits of natural resource availability and the overreach of the hegemonic energy system, which is clearly non-renewable, and its own model of production and consumption. It raises its voice to demand an economic system alienated from the dynamics of destructive competition and aware of the ecological limits of the Earth, given that the depletion of materials and resources also affects renewable development.

The efficient use of energy is an unavoidable condition for all actors involved in the energy system, producers, consumers, and regulators. Considering the evolution of the energy sector in recent decades and the environmental and climatic changes, the role of energy efficiency, rational energy use and savings in the consumption of materials and resources should not be underestimated. As part of a more sustainable paradigm shift, it is presented as a concrete solution to contribute to greater intergenerational equity, improve the competitiveness of the economy, and reduce the environmental impacts of lower energy production and consumption, as well as to try to reduce emissions linked to the overall functioning of the energy system.

Recognising the physical limits of planet Earth is an urgent obligation, which justifies classifying the current situation as a necessary ecological transition rather than an energy transition. The reasons are based on the need to create an environment that should be sustainable, to improve society and the economy, to overcome the greed for technological advances, and because existing resources will continue to be indispensable and irreplaceable, but with a series of considerations:

- Fossil resources will continue to lead the way in maintaining energy demand, possibly with a clear increase in coal, especially in the face of demand peaks.
- Renewable energies will increase their contribution, although they will be controversial due to the combination of impacts on the local environment.
- Nuclear energy will reconcile its ‘questioned’ public acceptance with its contribution to meeting energy demand.

References

- Arrow, K., Bolin, B., Costanza, R., Dasgupta, P., Folke, C., Holling, C. S., Jansson, B.-O., Levin, S., Mäler, K.-G., Perrings, C., & Pimentel, D. (1995). Economic growth, carrying capacity, and the environment. *Ecological Economics*, 15(2), 91–95. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8009\(95\)00059-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8009(95)00059-3)
- Baumol, W. J., & Oates, W. E. (1975). *The theory of environmental policy* (2.^a ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139173513>
- Coase, R. H. (1960). The problem of social cost. *The Journal of Law & Economics*, 3, 1–44.
- Casal, M. (2024). *Las verdades incómodas de la transición energética*. Icaria Editorial.
- Carpintero, O. (2005). *El metabolismo de la economía española. Recursos naturales y huella ecológica (1955–2000)*. Tegui-se-Lanzarote: Fundación César Manrique.
- Carpintero, O., & Nieto, J. (2022). Transición energética y límites del crecimiento verde. *Revista Ecologista*, 114. Disponible online: <https://www.ecologistasenaccion.org/publicaciones/revista/revista-114/>
- Comisión Europea. (2021). European green deal. https://commission.europa.eu/strategy-and-policy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal_es
- Costanza, R., d’Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O’Neill, R. V., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R. G., Sutton, P., & van den Belt, M. (1997). The value of the world’s ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature*, 387(6630), Article 6630. <https://doi.org/10.1038/387253a0>
- Ehrlich, P., & Ehrlich, A. (1980). Disponibilidad, entropía y leyes de la termodinámica. En H. Daly, *Economía, ecología, ética. Ensayos hacia una economía en estado estacionario*. (1.^a ed.). Madrid: Fondo de Cultura Económica.

- García, E. (2018). La transición ecológica. Definición y trayectorias complejas. *Ambienta*, (25), 86–100. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/ejemplar/98445>
- Georgescu-Roegen, N. (1996). *La ley de la entropía y el progreso económico. Serie Economía y Naturaleza*. Madrid: Fundación Argentaria.
- Georgescu-Roegen, N. (1971). *La Ley de la Entropía y el proceso económico* (1996.^a ed.). Madrid: Fundación Argentaria.
- Hardin, G. (1968). The tragedy of the commons. *Science*, 162(3859), 1243–1248.
- Hotelling, H. (1931). The economics of exhaustible resources. *Journal of Political Economy*, 39(2), 137–175.
- International Energy Agency (IEA) (2021). Net Zero by 2050 Report. <https://www.iea.org/reports/net-zero-by-2050>
- Kapp, K. W. (1970). Environmental disruption and social costs: A challenge to economics. *Kyklos*, 23(4), 833–848. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6435.1970.tb01047.x>
- Linares, P. (2018). La transición energética. *Ambienta*, n 25, 20–31.
- Meadows, D., Randers, J., & Meadows, D. (2006). *Los límites del crecimiento: treinta años después*. Barcelona: Galaxia Gutemberg.
- Naredo, J. M. (1987). *La economía en evolución. Historia y perspectivas de las categorías básicas del pensamiento económico*. (4.^a ed.). Siglo XXI de España Editores, S.A. <https://elibro-net.ezbusc.usc.gal/es/ereader/busca/47581?page=134>
- ONU (2017). Agenda 2030. www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/es/2015/09/la-asamblea-general-adopta-la-agenda-2030-para-el-desarrollo-sostenible/
- Passet, R. (1996). *Principios de bioeconomía*. Madrid: Fundación Argentaria/Visor.
- Pigou, A. C. (1920). *The economics of welfare*. London: Macmillan and Company, Limited.
- Solow, R. (1991). *Sustainability: An economist's perspective*. Pittsburgh: Marine Policy Center.
- Solow, R. (1995). An almost practical step toward sustainability. *Ekistics*, 62(370/371/372), 15–20.
- Solow, R. M. (1974). The economics of resources or the resources of economics. *The American Economic Review*, 64(2), 1–14.
- Stiglitz, J., Sen, A., & Fitoussi, J.-P. (2009). Report by the Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress. http://www.stiglitz-sen-fitoussi.fr/documents/rapport_anglais.pdf
- United Nations. (1987). *Report of the world commission on environment and development: Our common future*. New York: United Nations - World Commission on Environment and Development.
- Valero, A. (1999). El coste termodinámico o exergía. En A. Valero & J. M. Naredo, *Desarrollo económico y deterioro ecológico*. Madrid: Fundación Argentaria.
- Valero, A., & Naredo, J. M. (1999). La termodinámica, esa economía de la física. En A. Valero & J. M. Naredo, *Desarrollo económico y deterioro ecológico*. Madrid: Fundación Argentaria.
- Valero, A., Valero, A. and Calvo G., 2021. *Thanatia. Límites materiales de la transición energética*. Presses de la Universidad de Zaragoza.

Energy Transition, Sustainability, and Ecological Transition

- Arrow, K. , Bolin, B. , Costanza, R. , Dasgupta, P. , Folke, C. , Holling, C. S. , Jansson, B.-O. , Levin, S. , Mäler, K.-G. , Perrings, C. , & Pimentel, D. (1995). Economic growth, carrying capacity, and the environment. *Ecological Economics*, 15(2), 91–95. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8009\(95\)00059-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8009(95)00059-3)
- Baumol, W. J. , & Oates, W. E. (1975). *The theory of environmental policy* (2.a ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139173513>
- Coase, R. H. (1960). The problem of social cost. *The Journal of Law & Economics*, 3, 1–44.
- Casal, M. (2024). *Las verdades incómodas de la transición energética*. Icaria Editorial.
- Carpintero, O. (2005). *El metabolismo de la economía española. Recursos naturales y huella ecológica (1955–2000)*. Tegui-Lanzarote: Fundación César Manrique.
- Carpintero, O. , & Nieto, J. (2022). *Transición energética y límites del crecimiento verde*. *Revista Ecologista*, 114. Disponible online: <https://www.ecologistasenaccion.org/publicaciones/revista/revista-114/>
- Comisión Europea . (2021). *European green deal*. https://commission.europa.eu/strategy-and-policy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal_es
- Costanza, R. , d'Arge, R. , de Groot, R. , Farber, S. , Grasso, M. , Hannon, B. , Limburg, K. , Naeem, S. , O'Neill, R. V. , Paruelo, J. , Raskin, R. G. , Sutton, P. , & van den Belt, M. (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature*, 387(6630), Article 6630. <https://doi.org/10.1038/387253a0>
- Ehrlich, P. , & Ehrlich, A. (1980). Disponibilidad, entropía y leyes de la termodinámica. En H. Daly , *Economía, ecología, ética. Ensayos hacia una economía en estado estacionario*. (1.a ed.). Madrid: Fondo de Cultura Económica.
- García, E. (2018). La transición ecológica. Definición y trayectorias complejas. *Ambienta*, (25), 86–100. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/ejemplar/98445>
- Georgescu-Roegen, N. (1996). *La ley de la entropía y el progreso económico. Serie Economía y Naturaleza* . Madrid: Fundación Argentaria.
- Georgescu-Roegen, N. (1971). *La Ley de la Entropía y el proceso económico* (1996.a ed.). Madrid: Fundación Argentaria.
- Hardin, G. (1968). The tragedy of the commons. *Science*, 162(3859), 1243–1248.
- Hotelling, H. (1931). The economics of exhaustible resources. *Journal of Political Economy*, 39(2), 137–175.
- International Energy Agency (IEA) (2021). *Net Zero by 2050 Report*. <https://www.iea.org/reports/net-zero-by-2050>
- Kapp, K. W. (1970). Environmental disruption and social costs: A challenge to economics. *Kyklos*, 23(4), 833–848. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6435.1970.tb01047.x>
- Linares, P. (2018). La transición energética. *Ambienta*, n 25, 20–31.
- Meadows, D. , Randers, J. , & Meadows, D. (2006). *Los límites del crecimiento: treinta años después*. Barcelona: Galaxia Gutenberg.
- Naredo, J. M. (1987). *La economía en evolución. Historia y perspectivas de las categorías básicas del pensamiento económico*. (4.a ed.). Siglo XXI de España Editores, S.A. <https://elibro-net.ezbusc.usc.gal/es/ereader/busca/47581?page=134>
- ONU (2017). *Agenda 2030*. www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/es/2015/09/la-asamblea-general-adopta-la-agenda-2030-para-el-desarrollo-sostenible/
- Passet, R. (1996). *Principios de bioeconomía*. Madrid: Fundación Argentaria/Visor.
- Pigou, A. C. (1920). *The economics of welfare*. London: Macmillan and Company, Limited.
- Solow, R. (1991). *Sustainability: An economist's perspective*. Pittsburgh: Marine Policy Center.
- Solow, R. (1995). An almost practical step toward sustainability. *Ekistics*, 62(370/371/372), 15–20.
- Solow, R. M. (1974). The economics of resources or the resources of economics. *The American Economic Review*, 64(2), 1–14.
- Stiglitz, J. , Sen, A. , & Fitoussi, J.-P. (2009). *Report by the Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress*. http://www.stiglitz-sen-fitoussi.fr/documents/rapport_anglais.pdf
- United Nations . (1987). *Report of the world commission on environment and development: Our common future*. New York: United Nations - World Commission on Environment and Development.

Valero, A. (1999). El coste termodinámico o exergía. En A. Valero & J. M. Naredo , Desarrollo económico y deterioro ecológico. Madrid: Fundación Argentaria.

Valero, A. , & Naredo, J. M. (1999). La termodinámica, esa economía de la física. En A. Valero & J. M. Naredo , Desarrollo económico y deterioro ecológico. Madrid. Fundación Argentaria.

Valero, A. , Valero, A. and Calvo G. , 2021. Thanatia. Límites materiales de la transición energética. Prensas de la Universidad de Zaragoza.

Economic Growth, Dematerialization, and Extractivism

Acosta, A. (2013). Extractivism and neoextractivism: Two sides of the same curse. In *Beyond development: Alternative visions from Latin America* (pp. 105–116). https://www.tni.org/files/download/beyonddevelopment_complete.pdf

Allard, A. , Takman, J. , Uddin, G. S. , & Ahmed, A. (2018). The N-shaped environmental Kuznets curve: An empirical evaluation using a panel quantile regression approach. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(6), 5848–5861. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0907-0>

Alonso-Fernández, P. , & Regueiro-Ferreira, R. M. (2024). The effect of the economic cycles on material requirements: Analysing the dematerialization in developed countries. *Ecological Economics*, 222, 108220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2024.108220>

Barnett, H. J. , & Morse, C. (1963). *Scarcity and growth: The economics of natural resource availability*. New York: Routledge.

Baumol, W. J. , & Oates, W. E. (1975). *The Theory of Environmental Policy* (2.a ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139173513>

Bellver, J. (2018). Costes y restricciones ecológicas al capitalismo digital. *Papeles de relaciones ecosociales y cambio global*, 144, 59–77.

Calvo, G. , & Valero, A. (2022). Strategic mineral resources: Availability and future estimations for the renewable energy sector. *Environmental Development*, 41, 100640. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2021.100640>

Capellán-Pérez, I. , Mediavilla, M. , de Castro, C. , Carpintero, Ó. , & Miguel, L. J. (2014). Fossil fuel depletion and socio-economic scenarios: An integrated approach. *Energy*, 77, 641–666. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.09.063>

Carpintero, Ó. (2003a). Los costes ambientales del sector servicios y la nueva economía: Entre la desmaterialización y el efecto rebote. *Economía Industrial*, 352(IV), 59–76.

Carpintero, Ó. (2003b). Pautas de consumo, desmaterialización y 'nueva economía': Entre la realidad y el deseo. En *Necesidades, consumo y sostenibilidad* (1.a ed.). Barcelona: CCCB/Bakeaz.

Carpintero, Ó. , & Nieto, J. (2021). Transición energética y escenarios postcrecimiento. *Papeles de relaciones ecosociales y cambio global*, 156, 93–106.

Cohen, G. , Jalles, J. T. , Loungani, P. , & Marto, R. (2018). The long-run decoupling of emissions and output: Evidence from the largest emitters. *Energy Policy*, 118, 58–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.03.028>

Common, M. , & Perrings, C. (1992). Towards an ecological economics of sustainability. *Ecological Economics*, 6(1), 7–34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8009\(92\)90036-R](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8009(92)90036-R)

de Blas, I. , Mediavilla, M. , Capellán-Pérez, I. , & Duce, C. (2020). The limits of transport decarbonization under the current growth paradigm. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 32, 100543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2020.100543>

de Bruyn, S. M. , & Opschoor, J. B. (1997). Developments in the throughput-income relationship: Theoretical and empirical observations. *Ecological Economics*, 20(3), 255–268. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009\(96\)00086-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(96)00086-9)

de Castro, C. , Mediavilla, M. , Miguel, L. J. , & Frechoso, F. (2011). Global wind power potential: Physical and technological limits. *Energy Policy*, 39(10), 6677–6682. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.06.027>

de Castro, C. , Mediavilla, M. , Miguel, L. J. , & Frechoso, F. (2013). Global solar electric potential: A review of their technical and sustainable limits. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 28, 824–835. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.08.040>

- Dorning, C. , & Eisenmenger, N. (2016). South America's biophysical involvement in international trade: The physical trade balances of Argentina, Bolivia, and Brazil in the light of ecologically unequal exchange. *Journal of Political Ecology*, 23(1).
<https://doi.org/10.2458/v23i1.20240>
- Dost, F. , & Maier, E. (2018). E-commerce effects on energy consumption: A multi-year ecosystem-level assessment. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 22(4), 799–812.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12639>
- Fischer-Kowalski, M. , & Haberl, H. (2000). El metabolismo socioeconómico. *Ecología política*, 13.
- Fitoussi, J.-P. , Sen, A. K. , & Stiglitz, J. E. (2011). *Mismeasuring our lives: Why GDP doesn't add up*. New York: ReadHowYouWant.
- Friedemann, A. J. (2016). All-electric trucks using batteries or overhead wires. En A. J. Friedemann (Ed.), *When Trucks Stop Running: Energy and the Future of Transportation* (pp. 75–79). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-26375-5_14
- Grossman, G. M. , & Krueger, A. B. (1995). Economic growth and the environment*. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110(2), 353–377. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2118443>
- Gudynas, E. (2012). Desarrollo, extractivismo y post-extractivismo. *Transiciones, postextractivismo y alternativas al extractivismo en los países andinos*.
<https://www.redge.org.pe/sites/default/files/DesarrolloExtractivismoPostExtractivismo-EGudynas%20curso%20andino.pdf>
- Gyamfi, B. A. , Adedoyin, F. F. , Bein, M. A. , & Bekun, F. V. (2021). Environmental implications of N-shaped environmental Kuznets curve for E7 countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(25), 33072–33082. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-12967-x>
- Huesemann, M. H. (2003). The limits of technological solutions to sustainable development. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, 5(1), 21–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-002-0173-8>
- IEA . (2021). *The Role of Critical Minerals in Clean Energy Transitions*.
<https://www.iea.org/reports/the-role-of-critical-minerals-in-clean-energy-transitions>
- Infante-Amate, J. , & Krausmann, F. (2019). Trade, ecologically unequal exchange and colonial legacy: The case of France and its former colonies (1962–2015). *Ecological Economics*, 156, 98–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.09.013>
- Kemp-Benedict, E. (2018). Dematerialization, decoupling, and productivity change. *Ecological Economics*, 150, 204–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.04.020>
- Krausmann, F. , Schandl, H. , Eisenmenger, N. , Giljum, S. , & Jackson, T. (2017). Material flow accounting: Measuring global material use for sustainable development. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 42(1), 647–675. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-102016-060726>
- Marshall, A. (with Groenewegen, P.) (Ed.). (1890). *Principles of economics* (9th (variorum) ed./with annotations by C.W. Guillebaud). Bristol: Overstone Press.
- Mishan, E. J. (1980). El crecimiento de la abundancia y la disminución del bienestar. En H. Daly , *Economía, ecología, ética. Ensayos hacia una economía en estado estacionario*. (1.a ed.). Mexico: Fondo de Cultura Económica.
- Moriarty, P. , & Honnery, D. (2016). Can renewable energy power the future? *Energy Policy*, 93, 3–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.02.051>
- Muradian, R. , & Martinez-Alier, J. (2001). Trade and the environment: From a 'Southern' perspective. *Ecological Economics*, 36(2), 281–297. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009\(00\)00229-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(00)00229-9)
- Naredo, J. M. (1987). *La economía en evolución. Historia y perspectivas de las categorías básicas del pensamiento económico*. (4.a ed.). Siglo XXI de España Editores, S.A.
<https://elibro-net.ezbusc.usc.gal/es/ereader/busca/47581?page=134>
- Naredo, J. M. , & Valero, A. (1999). La conexión entre economía y termodinámica. In A. Valero & J. M. Naredo (Eds.), *Desarrollo económico y deterioro ecológico*. Fundación Argentaria.
- Nieto, J. , Carpintero, Ó. , & Miguel, L. J. (2018). Less than 2°C? An economic-environmental evaluation of the Paris agreement. *Ecological Economics*, 146, 69–84.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.10.007>
- Panayotou, T. (1995). Environmental degradation at different stages of economic development. En I. Ahmed & J. A. Doeleman (Eds.), *Beyond Rio* (pp. 13–36). Palgrave Macmillan UK.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-24245-0_2

- Quesnay, F. (with Kuczynski, M. , & Meek, R. L.). (1758). *Quesnay's Tableau économique*/edited, with new material, translations and notes by Marguerite Kuczynski & Ronald L. Meek. London: Macmillan.
- Røpke, I. (1994). Trade, development and sustainability: A critical assessment of the “free trade dogma”. *Ecological Economics*, 9(1), 13–22. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8009\(94\)90013-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8009(94)90013-2)
- Regueiro-Ferreira, R. M. , & Alonso-Fernández, P. (2023). Interaction between renewable energy consumption and dematerialization: Insights based on the material footprint and the Environmental Kuznets Curve. *Energy*, 266, 126477. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2022.126477>
- Ricardo, D. (1817). *Principios de economía política y tributación*. Madrid: Ayuso.
- Rivera-Basques, L. , Duarte, R. , & Sánchez-Chóliz, J. (2021). Unequal ecological exchange in the era of global value chains: The case of Latin America. *Ecological Economics*, 180, 106881. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2020.106881>
- Samaniego, P. , Vallejo, M. C. , & Martínez-Alier, J. (2017). Commercial and biophysical deficits in South America, 1990–2013. *Ecological Economics*, 133, 62–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2016.11.012>
- Schaffartzik, A. , & Duro, J. A. (2022). 'Dematerialization' in times of economic crisis: A regional analysis of the Spanish economy in material and monetary terms. *Resources Policy*, 78, 102793. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.102793>
- Schandl, H. , Fischer-Kowalski, M. , West, J. , Giljum, S. , Dittrich, M. , Eisenmenger, N. , Geschke, A. , Lieber, M. , Wieland, H. , Schaffartzik, A. , Krausmann, F. , Gierlinger, S. , Hosking, K. , Lenzen, M. , Tanikawa, H. , Miatto, A. , & Fishman, T. (2018). Global material flows and resource productivity: Forty years of evidence: Global material flows and resource productivity. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 22(4), 827–838. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12626>
- Seibert, M. K. , & Rees, W. E. (2021). Through the eye of a needle: An eco-heterodox perspective on the renewable energy transition. *Energies*, 14(15), Article 15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14154508>
- Smith, A. (1776). *Wealth of nations*. New York: Prometheus Books.
- Solow, R. M. (1974). The economics of resources or the resources of economics. *The American Economic Review*, 64(2), 1–14.
- Song, Y. , Zhang, M. , & Zhou, M. (2019). Study on the decoupling relationship between CO2 emissions and economic development based on two-dimensional decoupling theory: A case between China and the United States. *Ecological Indicators*, 102, 230–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.02.044>
- Steinberger, J. K. , & Krausmann, F. (2011). Material and energy productivity. *Policy Analysis*, 45, 1169–1176. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es1028537>
- Svampa, M. (2012). *Consenso de los commodities, giro ecoterritorial y pensamiento crítico en América Latina*. *Osal*, 13(32), 15–38.
- Svampa, M. (2019). *Las fronteras del neoextractivismo en América Latina: Conflictos socioambientales, giro ecoterritorial y nuevas dependencias*. CALAS - Maria Sibylla Merian Center. <https://doi.org/10.14361/9783839445266>
- UNCSD . (2012). *Report of the United Nations conference on sustainable development the future we want*. Rio de Janeiro: United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development.
- Wiedmann, T. O. , Schandl, H. , Lenzen, M. , Moran, D. , Suh, S. , West, J. , & Kanemoto, K. (2015). The material footprint of nations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(20), 6271–6276. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1220362110>
- Zencey, E. (2013). *La energía, el recurso maestro*. *Papeles de relaciones ecosociales y cambio global*, 123, 133–139.

Methodology

- Adriaanse, A. (Ed.). (1997). *Resource flows: The material basis of industrial economies*. Washington, D.C.: World Resources Institute.
- Ayres, R. U. , & Kneese, A. V. (1969). Production, consumption, and externalities. *The American Economic Review*, 59(3), 282–297.

- Commoner, B. (1972). *The environmental cost of economic growth: Vol. III*. En R. G. Ridker , Washington University–Commission on Population Growth and the American Future. Washington.
- Dietz, T. , & Rosa, E. A. (1994). Rethinking the environmental impacts of population, affluence and technology'. *Human Ecology Review*, 1, 277–300.
- Dietz, T. , & Rosa, E. A. (1997). Effects of population and affluence on CO2 emissions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 94(1), 175–179.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.1.175>
- Dong, F. , Li, J. , Zhang, X. , & Zhu, J. (2021). Decoupling relationship between haze pollution and economic growth: A new decoupling index. *Ecological Indicators*, 129, 107859.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107859>
- Ehrlich, P. R. , & Holdren, J. P. (1971). Impact of population growth. *Science*, 171(3977), 1212–1217. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.171.3977.1212>
- Fischer-Kowalski, M. , & Amann, C. (2001). Beyond IPAT and Kuznets Curves: Globalization as a vital factor in analysing the environmental impact of socio-economic metabolism. *Population and Environment*, 23(1), 7–47. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017560208742>
- Fischer-Kowalski, M. , & Haberl, H. (2000). El metabolismo socioeconómico. *Ecología Política*, 13.
- Fischer-Kowalski, M. , & Haberl, H. (2015). Social metabolism: A metric for biophysical growth and degrowth. In *Handbook of ecological economics* (pp. 100–138). Edward Elgard.
<https://doi.org/10.4337/9781783471416>
- Fischer-Kowalski, M. , & Weisz, H. (1999). Society as hybrid between material and symbolic realms: Toward a theoretical framework of society-nature interaction. *Advances in Human Ecology*, 8, 215–251.
- Giljum, S. , Hak, T. , Hinterberger, F. , & Kovanda, J. (2005). Environmental governance in the European Union: Strategies and instruments for absolute decoupling. *International Journal of Sustainable Development*, 8(1/2), 31. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSD.2005.007373>
- Holdren, J. P. , & Ehrlich, P. R. (1974). Human population and the global environment: Population growth, rising per capita material consumption, and disruptive technologies have made civilization a global ecological force. *American Scientist*, 62(3), 282–292.
- Malthus, T. R. (1798). *Essay on the principle of population*. En *Essay on the principle of population*. Yale University Press.
<https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.12987/9780300231892/html>
- Martínez-Alier, J. (2004). Los conflictos ecológico-distributivos y los indicadores de sustentabilidad. *Revista Iberoamericana de Economía Ecológica*, 1, 21–30.
- Song, Y. , Sun, J. , Zhang, M. , & Su, B. (2020). Using the Tapio-Z decoupling model to evaluate the decoupling status of China's CO2 emissions at provincial level and its dynamic trend. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 52, 120–129.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strueco.2019.10.004>
- Song, Y. , Zhang, M. , & Zhou, M. (2019). Study on the decoupling relationship between CO2 emissions and economic development based on two-dimensional decoupling theory: A case between China and the United States. *Ecological Indicators*, 102, 230–236.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.02.044>
- Steinberger, J. K. , & Krausmann, F. (2011). Material and energy productivity. *Policy Analysis*, 45, 1169–1176. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es1028537>
- Steinberger, J. K. , Krausmann, F. , Getzner, M. , Schandl, H. , & West, J. (2013). Development and dematerialization: An international study. *PLOS ONE*, 8(10), e70385.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070385>
- Tapio, P. (2005). Towards a theory of decoupling: Degrees of decoupling in the EU and the case of road traffic in Finland between 1970 and 2001. *Transport Policy*, 12(2), 137–151.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2005.01.001>
- UNEP (Ed.). (2011). *Decoupling natural resource use and environmental impacts from economic growth*. Nairobi, International Resource Panel.
- Wiedmann, T. O. , Schandl, H. , Lenzen, M. , Moran, D. , Suh, S. , West, J. , & Kanemoto, K. (2015). The material footprint of nations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(20), 6271–6276. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1220362110>
- York, R. , Rosa, E. A. , & Dietz, T. (2002). Bridging environmental science with environmental policy: Plasticity of population, affluence, and technology. *Social Science Quarterly*, 83(1),

18–34. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-6237.00068>

York, R. , Rosa, E. A. , & Dietz, T. (2003). STIRPAT, IPAT and ImPACT: Analytic tools for unpacking the driving forces of environmental impacts. *Ecological Economics*, 46(3), 351–365. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009\(03\)00188-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(03)00188-5)

Yu, Y. , Zhou, L. , Zhou, W. , Ren, H. , Kharrazi, A. , Ma, T. , & Zhu, B. (2017). Decoupling environmental pressure from economic growth on city level: The Case Study of Chongqing in China. *Ecological Indicators*, 75, 27–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.12.027>

Fossil Fuels

Asociación de empresas de energías renovables, APPA . (2024). La energía en España. <https://www.appa.es/la-energia-en-espana/>

Berasategui, J.R. & Malagón, B. (2023). Material docente Tecnología de los combustibles <https://ocw.unican.es/pluginfile.php/2429/course/section/2454/Tema%205%20EXPLOTACION%20DEL%20PETROLEO%20Y%20EL%20GAS.pdf>

Brithis Petroleum (BP) (2023). BP Statistical Review of World Energy. 71st edition.

<https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp/business-sites/en/global/corporate/pdfs/energy-economics/statistical-review/bp-stats-review-2022-full-report.pdf>

Consejo de Seguridad Nuclear (2015). Monografía.

<https://www.csn.es/que-es-una-central-nuclear> Datos

Ember 2023. Global Electricity Review <https://ember-energy.org/latest-insights/global-electricity-review-2023/#:~:text=With%20average%20growth%20in%20electricity,power%20sector%20emissions%20is%20close>

Enerdata . (2024). <https://datos.enerdata.net/petroleo-crudo/datos-produccion-energia-mundial.html>

Eurostat (2023). Shedding light on energy in the EU – 2023 edition. <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/interactive-publications/energy-2023>

Foro Nuclear . (2024) Energía. <https://www.foronuclear.org/recursos/publicaciones/energia-2024/>

García Delgado, J. L. (director) (2009): Energía. Madrid: Desarrollos regulatorios en Iberoamérica. Editorial Civitas Thomson Reuters.

International Energy Agency . (2010). World Energy Outlook

International Energy Agency . (2023). World Energy Outlook.

<https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-outlook-2023>

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) (2024).

[https://www.iaea.org/es/newscenter/news/que-es-la-energia-nuclear-la-ciencia-de-la-energia-nucleoelectrica#:~:text=La%20energ%C3%ADa%20nuclear%20es%20una,\(cuando%20esto%20se%20fusionan\).](https://www.iaea.org/es/newscenter/news/que-es-la-energia-nuclear-la-ciencia-de-la-energia-nucleoelectrica#:~:text=La%20energ%C3%ADa%20nuclear%20es%20una,(cuando%20esto%20se%20fusionan).)

Jacobs, M. (1996). La economía verde. Madrid: Editorial Icaria.

Menéndez Pérez, E. , & Miguélez Pose, F. (2003) (ed.): *Energía y sostenibilidad. Incidencia en el mundo marino*. Editorial Netbiblo. A Coruña.

Ministerio de Transición Ecológica y reto demográfico, MITECO . (2024). Sitio web de hidrocarburos.

<https://www.miteco.gob.es/es/energia/hidrocarburos-nuevos-combustibles/gas.html>

Observatorio de Complejidad Económica OEC . (2024). Petróleo crudo de petróleo o minerales botuminosos. <https://oec.world/es/profile/hs/crude-petroleum>

Organización para las Naciones Unidas . (2024). Acción por el clima.

<https://www.un.org/es/climatechange/raising-ambition/renewable-energy#:~:text=Cerca%20del%2029%20%25%20de%20la,de%20fuentes%20de%20energ%C3%ADa%20renovables>

Our World in Data (2024).Data catalog.

Passet, R. (1996). Principios de bioeconomía. Madrid. Editorial Visor. Fundación Argentaria.

Peón, J. (2007). Un modelo energético sostenible: Algunas reflexiones sobre las posibles alternativas. In *Energía y regulación en Iberoamérica*, García Delgado, J. L. and Jimenez, J. C. (Eds.) 121–151. Editorial Thomson-Civitas. Navarra.

Procesos mineros (n.d.) <https://procesosmineros.weebly.com/carbon1.html>

Scheer, H. (1993). Estrategia solar: Para el acuerdo pacífico con la naturaleza. Barcelona: Editorial Plaza-Janés.

Scheer, H. (2009). Autonomía energética. La situación económica, social y tecnológica de la energía renovable. Barcelona: Ediciones Icaria-Antrazyt.

Simoes, A. J. G. , & Hidalgo, C. A. (2011). The Economic Complexity Observatory: An Analytical Tool for Understanding the Dynamics of Economic Development. *Workshops at the Twenty-Fifth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* . San Francisco, California.

Smil, V. (2001). Energías. Madrid: Editorial Crítica-Drakontos.

Sullivan, M.A. (2007). Planes Voluntarios no reducirán emisiones de gases efecto invernadero en el sector eléctrico. *Revista Sustainable Development Law & Policy*, VII(4). Verano 47–55.

United Nations (2024). Energy Statistics Pocketbook. <https://unstats.un.org/unsd/energystats/pubs/documents/2024pb-web.pdf>

Renewable Energy Sources

Agencia Andaluza de Energía. Energía hidráulica . (2024). <https://www.agenciaandaluzadelaenergia.es/es/informacion-energetica/energias-renovables/energia-hidraulica>

Applied Economics Clinic (2024). The colors of hydrogen. <https://aeclinic.org/aec-blog/2021/6/24/the-colors-of-hydrogen>

Asociación de empresas de energías renovables, APPA . (2024). La energía en España. <https://www.appa.es/la-energia-en-espana/>

Bankinter . (2024). Fabricación de paneles solares. <https://www.bankinter.com/blog/finanzas-personales/fabricantes-paneles-solares>

Barberá, D. (2017) Introducción a la energía solar. Universidad de Sevilla. <https://biblus.us.es/bibing/proyectos/abreproy/70271/fichero/02+INTRODUCCI%C3%93N+A+L+A+ENERG%C3%8DA+FOTOVOLTAICA%252FIntroducci%C3%B3n+a+la+Energ%C3%ADa+FotoVoltaica.pdf>

Borawski, P. , Beldycka-Borawska, A. , Jankowski, K. J. , Dubis, B. , & Dunn, J. W. (2020). Development of wind energy market in the European Union. *Renewable Energy* 161, 691–700.

British Petroleum (BP) . (2023). BP Statistical Review of World Energy. 71st edition. <https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp/business-sites/en/global/corporate/pdfs/energy-economics/statistical-review/bp-stats-review-2022-full-report.pdf>

CircEnergy Gune . (n.d.). Métodos de producción de hidrógeno y sus colores. <https://cicenergigune.com/es/blog/metodos-produccion-hidrogeno-colores>

Cortés-Sánchez, M. D. et al. (2020). Biocombustibles: Tipos y estrategias de producción. Universidad Pablo de Olavide. <https://www.upo.es/cms1/export/sites/upo/moleqla/documentos/Numero35/Destacado-1.pdf>

deCastro, M. , Salvadora, S. , Gómez-Gesteiras, M. , Costoyaba, X. , Carvlhoc, D. , & Sanz Larragae, F. J. (2019). Europe, China and the United States: Three different approaches to the development of offshore wind energy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 109, 55–70.

Endesa . (2024). La energía hidráulica. <https://www.endesa.com/es/la-cara-e/energias-renovables/energia-hidraulica>

Evans, B. , Parks, J. , & Theobalda, K. 2011. Urban wind power and the private sector: Community benefits, social acceptance and public engagement. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 54, 227–244.

Farkat, D. R. , Claro, J. , Coelho J. , & Valentin, M. . (2020). Barriers to onshore wind energy implementation: A systematic review. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 60, 101337.

Govindan, K. . (2023). Pathways to low carbon energy transition through multi criteria assessment of offshore wind energy barriers. *Technological Forecasting & Social Change*, 187.

Greenpeace . (2015). Energy Revolution. Executive summary. <https://www.greenpeace.org/static/planet4-canada-stateless/2018/06/Energy-Revolution-2015-Full.pdf>

Jacobs, M. (1996). La economía verde. Madrid: Editorial Icaria.

Instituto para la Diversificación y el Ahorro de Energía (IDAE) . (2024). Llegó la hora del hidrógeno. <https://formacion.idae.es/campus/mod/page/view.php?id=414>

International Energy Agency (2023). World Energy Outlook 2023. <https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-outlook-2023>

International Energy Agency . Global Hydrogen Review. (2022). <https://www.iea.org/reports/global-hydrogen-review-2022>

International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA) . (2023). Renewable capacity statistics 2022. <https://www.irena.org/publications/2022/Apr/Renewable-Capacity-Statistics-2022>

Menéndez, P. E. (1997). Las energías renovables. Madrid: Un enfoque políticoecológico. Editorial Los libros de la catarata.

National Geographic . (2022). Que son los biocombustibles. <https://www.nationalgeographic.es/medio-ambiente/que-son-los-biocombustibles>

National Geographic . (2023). La energía hidráulica. <https://www.nationalgeographic.es/medio-ambiente/energia-hidroelectrica>

Naredo, J. M. , & Parra, F. (coord.). (1993). Hacia una ciencia de los recursos naturales. Siglo XXI de España Editores S.A.

Naredo, J. M. , |& Valero, A. (coord.). Desarrollo económico y deterioro ecológico. Editorial Visor. Madridl.1999.

Organización de las Naciones Unidas-Acción por el clima . (n.d.) Energías renovables. <https://www.un.org/es/climatechange/raising-ambition/renewable-energy#:~:text=Cerca%20del%2029%20%25%20de%20la,de%20fuentes%20de%20energ%C3%ADa%20renovables>

Patlitzianas, K. D. , & Kolybiris, C. (2012). Effective financing for provision of renew able electricity and water supply on islands. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 16, 120–124.

Petracci, P. , & Carizzo, M. (2017). Parques eólicos:generadores de energía e impac tos ambientales. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/321018285_Parques_eolicos_generadores_de_energia_e_impactos_ambientales

Prieto, P . (2009). Colapso energético y financiero: algo más que una crisis “NINJA”. www.crisisenergetica.org/article.php?story=20090529085441774

Regueiro-Ferreira, R. M. , Alonso-Fernández, P. and Nande, I. (2023). La transición verde y el desarrollo de la energía eólica offshore a nivel internacional: factores clave en base a la teoría de la triple sustentabilidad. XXIII Reunión de Economía Mundial. 25–26 mayo 2023. Universidad de Cantabria.

Repsol . (2024) Sacándolo el máximo partido a las mareas. https://www.repsol.com/es/energia-futuro/futuro-planeta/energia-mareomotriz/index.cshml?gad_source=1&gclid=EAlaQobChMIzd-k87KziQMvcbVoCR1uQQdzEAAYASAAEglaTPD_BwE

Rodrigues, S. , Restrepo, C. , Kontos, E. , Teixeira, P. R. , Bauer, P. (2015). Trends of offshore wind projects. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.04.092>

Saidur, S. , Rahim, N. A. , Islam, M. R. , Solangi, K. H. (2011). Environmental impact of wind energy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15, 2423–2430.

Sadorsky, P. (2021). Wind energy for sustainable development: Driving factors and future outlook. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 289, 125779. Disponible online: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S095965262035825X>

Simoes, A. J. G. , & Hidalgo, C. A. (2011). The Economic Complexity Observatory: An Analytical Tool for Understanding the Dynamics of Economic Development. *Workshops at the Twenty-Fifth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* . San Francisco, California.

Sheer, H. (1993). Estrategia solar. Para el acuerdo pacífico con la naturaleza. Editorial Plaza-Janés.BBarberálona.

Scheer, H. (2009): Autonomía energética. La situación económica, social y tecnológica de la energía renovable. Barcelona: Ediciones Icaria-Antrazyt.

Smil, V. (2001). Energías. Madrid: Editorial crítica-drakontos.

U.S. Energy Information Administration EIA. International Energy Outlook (2023). <https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/ieo/>

Valero, A. , Valero, A. , Calvo, G. , & Ortego, A. (2018). Material bottlenecks in the future development of green technologies, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 93, 178–200, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.05.041>

Valero, A. , Calvo, G. , & Valero, A. (2021). Nuevos materiales, nuevas tecnologías y nuevos retos de la transición ecológica. *Ambienta*, (128) | junio

World Economic Forum . (2023). Fostering Effective Energy Transition.

<https://www.weforum.org/publications/fostering-effective-energy-transition-2023/>

Extractivism of Fossil Fuels

Alonso-Fernández, P. , & Regueiro-Ferreira, R. M. (2024). The effect of the economic cycles on material requirements: Analysing the dematerialization in developed countries. *Ecological Economics*, 222, 108220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2024.108220>

Alonso-Fernández, P. , Regueiro-Ferreira, R. M. , & Verdes Gómez, J. J. (2023). Las consecuencias del modelo extractivista sobre los recursos naturales y la economía de Chile. En *Caminos de reflexión y pensamiento: Análisis desde la filosofía hasta los ODS*. Dykinson.

<https://www.dykinson.com/libros/caminos-de-reflexion-y-pensamiento-analisis-desde-la-filosofia-hasta-los-ods/9788411228268/>

Capellán-Pérez, I. , Mediavilla, M. , de Castro, C. , Carpintero, Ó. , & Miguel, L. J. (2014). Fossil fuel depletion and socio-economic scenarios: An integrated approach. *Energy*, 77, 641–666.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.09.063>

Schaffartzik, A. , & Duro, J. A. (2022). 'Dematerialization' in times of economic crisis: A regional analysis of the Spanish economy in material and monetary terms. *Resources Policy*, 78, 102793. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.102793>

Seibert, M. K. , & Rees, W. E. (2021). Through the eye of a needle: An eco-heterodox perspective on the renewable energy transition. *Energies*, 14(15), Article 15.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/en14154508>

Shao, Q. , Schaffartzik, A. , Mayer, A. , & Krausmann, F. (2017). The high 'price' of dematerialization: A dynamic panel data analysis of material use and economic recession. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 167, 120–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.08.158>

An Energy Transition Designed with Fossil Ideology

Basosi, D. (2020). "Oil, dollars, and US power in the 1970s: Re-viewing the connections". *Journal of Energy History*, (3). <https://www.energyhistory.eu/en/special-issue/oil-dollars-and-us-power-1970s-re-viewing-connections> <https://doi.org/10.3917/jehrhe.003.0001g>

Bazilian, M. , Bradshaw, M. , Gabriel, J. , Goldthau, A. , & Westphal, K. 2020. "Four scenarios of the energy transition: Drivers, consequences and implications for geopolitics." *WIREs Climate Change* 1, e625. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.625>

Bridge, G. et al. 2013. "Geographies of energy transition: Space, place and the low-carbon economy." *Energy Policy*, 53, 331–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.10.066>

COM (European Commission) . (2022). EU external energy engagement in a changing world.

JOIN (2022) 23 final. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52022JC0023>

Conlin, J. (2020). *Mr. Five per Cent: The many lives of Calouste Gulbenkian. The World's richest man*. London: Profile Books.

Davis, D. K. (2011). "Introduction." En Davis, D. K. & Burke, E. *Environmental imaginaries of the Middle East and North Africa*, Ohio University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1353/book.12359>

Dodge, T. (2004). "International obligation, domestic pressure and colonial nationalism; The birth of the Iraqi state under the mandate system". En Méouchy, N. & Sluglett, P. *The British and French mandates in comparative perspectives/Les Mandats français et anglais dans une perspective comparative*, Brill, Leiden – Boston, pp. 143–164.

https://doi.org/10.1163/9789047402695_012

- Duménil, G. , & Lévy, D. (2004). El Imperialismo en la Era Neoliberal. *Revista De Economía Crítica*, 1(3), 9–35.
- Ferrier, R. W. (1994, 1982). *The history of the British petroleum company: The developing years (1901–1932)*. Vol. I. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Global Rare-Earths Element Network (2023). *Mapping the Impacts and Conflicts of Rare-Earth Elements*. <https://odg.cat/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Mapping-Impacts-Conflicts-Rare-Earth-Elements.pdf>
- Gramsci, A. (1980). *Notas sobre Maquiavelo, sobre la política y sobre el Estado moderno*. Buenos Aires: Ediciones Nueva Visión.
- Hamouchene, H. , & Sandwell, K. (2023). *Dismantling green colonialism*. UK: Pluto Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/jj.7583922>
- Harvey, D. (2007 [2005]). *Breve Historia del Neoliberalismo*. Madrid: Akal.
- Hayek, F. A. (2011 [1966]). *Principios de un orden social liberal*. Madrid: Unión Editorial
- Heacock, R. (2004). "Le système international aux prises avec le colonialisme: Les délibérations sur la Palestine dans la Commission permanente des Mandats de la Société des Nations". En Méouchy, N. & Sluglett, P. . *The British and French Mandates in comparative perspectives/Les Mandats français et anglais dans une perspective comparative*. Leiden – Boston: Brill, pp. 129–142. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789047402695_011
- IEA (2022). *The role of critical world energy outlook special report minerals in clean energy transitions*. <https://www.iea.org/reports/the-role-of-critical-minerals-in-clean-energy-transitions>
- IRENA (International Renewable Energy Agency). (2022). *Geopolitics of the Energy Transformation: The Hydrogen Factor*. 14. <https://www.irena.org/publications/2022/Jan/Geopolitics-of-the-Energy-Transformation-Hydrogen>
- Jevons, W. S. (1906 [1865]): *The coal question: an inquiry concerning the progress of the nation, and the probable exhaustion of our coal-mines*, 3d ed., rev., London: The Macmillan Company
- Jones, G. (1977). 'The British government and the oil companies 1912–1924: The search for and oil policy'. *The Historical Journal*, 20, 647–672 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0018246X00011286>
- Jones, G. (1978). 'The oil-fuel market in Britain 1900–14: A lost cause revisited.' *Business History*, 20(2), 131–152. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00076797800000023>
- Kent, M. (1976). *Oil and Empire*. London: Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-02079-9>
- Lloyd's 2022. *Shifting powers: Climate cooperation, chaos or competition?* Lloyds Futurset. <https://www.lloyds.com/news-and-insights/risk-reports/library/shifting-powers-climate-cooperation-chaos-or-competition>
- Lovins, A. (1976, 2016). *Energy strategy, the road not taken?* (30 anniversary special). <https://doi.org/10.2307/20039628>
- Magdoff, H. (1979). "The U.S. dollar, petrodollars, and U.S. imperialism." *Monthly Review*, 30(8). https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-030-08-1979-01_1
- Malanima, P. (2014). "Energy in history." En A. Mauro , N. S. Simone . *The basic environmental history*. Switzerland: Springer International.
- Mañé-Estrada, A. (2016). *El gran negocio mundial de la energía*. Barcelona: RBA.
- Mañé-Estrada, A. (2021). *La geopolítica de las renovables en el capitalismo del siglo XXI*. *Oikonomics [en línea]*. Noviembre 2021, 16. ISSN: 2339-9546. <https://doi.org/10.7238/o.n16.2110>
- Mañé-Estrada, A. (2023). *Renewables geopolitics: Toward a new generation of energy conflicts?* *Peace Review*, 35(4), 562–573. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10402659.2023.2270476>
- Mañé-Estrada, A. (2024). *La influencia del pensamiento neoliberal en la internacionalización del petróleo de Oriente Medio y del Norte de África*. *Revista De Estudios Internacionales Mediterráneos*, (37), 6–27. <https://doi.org/10.15366/reim2024.37.001>
- Mitchell, T. (2021). "Ten propositions on oil." En Beinin, J. & Haddad, B. et al. *A critical political economy of the Middle East and North Africa*. Stanford University Press, pp. 68 84. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781503614482-005>
- Mitchell, W. J. T. (2000). "Holy landscape: Israel, Palestine, and the American Wilderness". *Critical Inquiry*, 26(2), 193–223. <https://doi.org/10.1086/448964>

- Nader, L. (2004, 2010). "The harder path: Shifting gears." En N. Laura . The energy reader. Chapter 35. New Jersey: Willey-Blackwell.
- Polanyi, K. (2001 [1944]). The great transformation. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Rappard, W. (1925). "The practical working of the mandates system". Journal of the British Institute of International Affairs, 4(5), 205–226. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3014730>
- Renton, J. (2007). "Languages of empire and the orient: Britain and the invention of the Middle East, 1917–1918". The Historical Journal, 50(3), 645–667. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0018246X07006292>
- RMI (2024). The Battery Mineral Loop. The path from extraction to circularity. July 2024, Boulder: Rocky Mountain Institute, https://rmi.org/wp-content/uploads/dlm_uploads/2024/07/the_battery_mineral_loop_report_July.pdf
- Say, J. B. (1803 [2001]). A treatise on political economy; or the production, distribution, and consumption of wealth. Ontario, Canada: Batoche Books.
- Schuetze, B. (2024). Follow the grid, follow the violence: The project for a transregional mediterranean electricity ring. Middle East Critique, 33(4), 529–547, <https://doi.org/10.7591/9781501711978>
- Sluglett, P. (1976). Britain in Iraq, 1914–1932. London: Ithaca Press
- Spiro, D.E. (1999). The hidden hand of American hegemony: Petrodollar recycling and international markets. Cornell Studies in Political Economy, Cornell University Press. <https://doi.org/10.7591/9781501711978>
- STATISTA . 2023. "Assets under management (AUM) of sovereign wealth funds (SWFs) worldwide from 2008 to 2022". Accessed June 19, 2023 . <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1267499/assets-under-management-of-swfs-worldwide/>
- Varoufakis, Y. (2011). The global minotaur. London: Zed Books. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781350251052>
- Wade, R. (2009). From global imbalances to global reorganisations. Cambridge Journal of Economics, 33, 539–562. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/bep032>
- Wrigley, E. A. (2010). Energy and the English industrial revolution. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511779619>
- Yergin, D. (1991). The prize: The epic quest for oil, money, and power. New York & London: Simon&Shuster
- Zhou, J. & Månberger, A. (2024). Critical minerals and great power competition. Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI). <https://doi.org/10.55163/NKS12291>