

The impact of self-efficacy and subjective cognitive complaints on healthcare use among middle-aged adults.

David Facal, PhD¹, Manuel Gandoy-Crego, PhD², Antonio Taboada-Vázquez, RN², Raquel Rodríguez-González, PhD, RN^{2,3}.

¹Department of Developmental Psychology, University of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

²Department of Psychiatry, Radiology, Public Health, Nursing and Medicine. School of Nursing. University of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

³Health Research Institute of Santiago de Compostela (IDIS), Servizo Galego de Saúde, Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

Corresponding author:

Raquel Rodríguez-González
University of Santiago de Compostela
School of Nursing
Avda. Xoán XXIII s/n
15782 Santiago de Compostela (A Coruña)
E-mail: raquel.rodriguez@usc.es
Phone: +34881812043

Declarations of interest: none

Funding: This work was supported by Xunta de Galicia (ED431C 2017/27; Red Gallega de Investigación en Demencias IN607C-2017/02).

Ethical approval information: The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Galician health system (reference 2018/620).

The impact of self-efficacy and subjective cognitive complaints on healthcare use among middle-aged adults

ABSTRACT

Self-efficacy (SE) refers to one's belief in the ability to do a specific behaviour and has shown to be a remarkable cognitive factor affecting health. Subjective perception of memory and other cognitive failures expressed by individuals are frequently called subjective cognitive complaints (SCCs) and have been associated with self-perception. We studied whether SE is a relevant subjective variable in predicting SCCs in middle-aged adults living in the community (N=438) and explored the role of both SE and SCCs in predicting healthcare use. SE, age and cognitive performance predicted SCCs. SE, age group, cognitive status and SCCs were predictors of healthcare use in univariate logistic regression analysis, although only SE, age group and cognitive status remained significant in multivariate analysis. The influence of SCCs in healthcare use seems to be mediated by subjective estimations like those measured by SE. We suggest that well-implemented health education interventions might contribute to increase SE in middle-aged adults with a subsequent decrease in SCCs which, in turn, would have a relevant effect in reducing the burden of care.

Key Words: self-efficacy, diagnostic self evaluation, health systems plans.

19 The perception of self-efficacy, usually referred to simply as self-efficacy, denotes a process
20 that influences the performance of behaviour (Bandura, 1989). The expectation of self-
21 efficacy is one's personal estimation of being capable of carrying out a specific behaviour
22 (Bandura, 1977, 2004). The concept was initially used to refer to expected self-efficacy,
23 (Bandura 1977, 1992, 2004) which focuses on feeling confident in one's capability to manage
24 life stressors, but a further general meaning of self-efficacy embraces a sense of mastery of
25 the demands of life overall. Since both facing life events in general and, specifically,
26 managing health-related matters, involve the maintenance of a healthier lifestyle, (Smith,
27 Wallston & Smith, 1995; Gebhardt, Van Der Doef & Paul, 2001). Self-efficacy is a key
28 component of health promotion programs. Individuals with high-perceived self-efficacy are
29 considered more likely to initiate preventive healthcare, and to seek for an earlier treatment
30 (Grembowski et al., 1993; Olivari & Urra, 2007).

31 Subjective perception of memory and other cognitive failures expressed by individuals are
32 frequently called cognitive complaints. Subjective cognitive complaints have been related to
33 objective cognitive performance, but also to perceived health status and affective state (Hill et
34 al., 2016). Assessment of subjective cognitive complaints is currently gaining growing
35 attention, since it has been related to both perceived health and objective cognitive status
36 (Montejo et al., 2014) and emerging diagnostic categories in the field of cognitive health
37 includes it as a key diagnostic criterion (Jessen et al., 2014). There is also a growing
38 recognition of the importance of SE in regulating cognitive health and applying successful
39 cognitive strategies (West, Bagwell & Dark-Freudeman, 2008). A close association has been
40 established between subjective cognitive complaints and affective factors, including
41 subclinical symptoms of depression, anxiety and personality traits (Amariglio et al., 2012;
42 Balash et al., 2013), although the role of dementia in cognitive performance and SCCs
43 remains to be fully explained (Garcia-Ptacek et al 2016). According to the relationship

44 between both self-perception and subjective cognitive complaints in perceived health, and the
45 potential link between the subjective nature of memory complaints and the perception of self-
46 efficacy, the objectives of this study are: (1) to study whether self-efficacy is a relevant
47 subjective variable in predicting subjective cognitive complaints in middle-aged and old
48 adults living in the community, and (2) to the explore the role of both self-efficacy and
49 subjective cognitive complaints in predicting healthcare use in this population.

50 **MATERIALS & METHODS**

51 **Study design**

52 A cross-sectional observational and correlational study was conducted with data collected
53 between January and May 2018, with questionnaires administered in the homes of each study
54 participant. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of XXX (reference 2018/620).

55 **Questionnaire and variables**

56 The questionnaire included self-efficacy, cognitive (both objective and subjective) and
57 sociodemographic variables, as well as items asking about the use of healthcare resources. To
58 study SE, the assessment protocol included a coping self-efficacy scale of Health problems
59 applied to health (SEH), which contains 10 items referring specifically to health issues with a
60 4-point, Likert-type response format: totally disagree, disagree, agree, and totally agree
61 (Gandoy-Crego et al., 2016). To assess cognitive status, the Montreal Cognitive Assessment
62 (MoCA) was used. The MoCA is a time-effective test comprising 22 items, widely used to
63 screen patients with suspected mild cognitive impairment (MCI) (Nasreddine et al., 2005).
64 Regional normative scores considering age and educational level (Pereiro et al., 2017) were
65 followed to construct three cognitive status groups (normal, possible MCI and possible
66 dementia). The Memory Failures of Everyday (MFE) test (Sunderland, Harris, & Gleave,
67 1984) was used to assess memory and cognitive failures in daily life. Different studies have

68 used the MFE to compare SCCs across different age groups and cognitive status (Montejo-
69 Carrasco, Montenegro-Peña & Sueiro-Abad, 2012a; 2012b). We used the validated Spanish
70 version of the questionnaire, that includes 28 items and a three-point scoring system (0:
71 never-rarely, 1: sometimes - not often, and 2: often-frequently). Finally, questions about
72 healthcare use included the number of times in the last 2 months the person visited: 1) a
73 general practitioner; 2) a medical specialist; 3) a rehabilitation service; 4) a medical diagnostic
74 or analytical test. A dichotomous variable was created, as follows: a) “Without health use” to
75 those participants who did not use any of these health services in the last two months, and b)
76 “With health use” to those participants who used at least one of these health services in the
77 last two months.

78 **Sample and data collection**

79 The study was applied to 438 community-dweller middle-aged adults (247 women, 56.4%).
80 Recruitment of participants was undertaken by investigators from the Nursing School of
81 XXX. Participants were informed of the aims of the study prior to signing an informed
82 consent form. The only two inclusion criteria were to be between 55 and 69 years old and to
83 live in the community. This age range is supported by the International Association of
84 Geriatrics and Gerontology (IAGG) recommendations for cognitive impairment screening
85 (Morley et al., 2015). Exclusion criteria were: (1) diagnosis of dementia, (2) diagnosis of
86 major mental impairment including toxics consumption, (3) injuries or trauma sequels or
87 other health circumstances that prevent the evaluation.

88 Sociodemographic data of the sample are indicated in table 1. According to their cut-off
89 scores in the MoCA test, participants living in the community were divided into three groups:
90 a) 307 participants were Cognitively Unimpaired (CU) (70.3%); b) 49 participants were at

91 risk of MCI according to their MoCA scores (11.2%); and c) 81 participants were at risk of
92 dementia according to their MoCA scores (18.5%).

93 **Data analysis**

94 A specific database was created with the statistical program SPSS version 20. For group
95 comparisons the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U test were used due to
96 differences in group sizes. The predictive value of self-efficacy, age and cognitive status in
97 cognitive complaints was calculated through linear regression. The predictive value of age,
98 cognitive status, self-efficacy, and subjective cognitive complaints in Not use / Use of health
99 services was calculated through logistic regression, using age and cognitive status as
100 categorical variables (55-59, 60-64, 65-69, and CU, MCI and dementia, respectively) and
101 self-efficacy as well as subjective cognitive complaints as continuous variables.

102 **RESULTS**

103 **Descriptive statistics**

104 Table 2 presents data about self-efficacy, objective cognitive performance measured with the
105 MoCA test, subjective cognitive complaints measured with the MFE, and healthcare use in
106 the groups with CU, at risk of MCI and at risk of dementia, as well as in the total sample. As
107 expected, group differences were found in cognitive performance ($\chi^2=254.08, p<0.01$).
108 Significant differences were also found in subjective cognitive complaints ($\chi^2=11.63, p<0.01$),
109 but not in the SE test ($\chi^2=0.55, p=0.76$). Even though percentage of participants without
110 healthcare use in the last two months tends to decrease in the groups with lower cognitive
111 performances, differences did not reach statistical significance ($\chi^2=4.61, p=0.1$).

112 **Role of self-efficacy in predicting subjective cognitive complaints**

113 The linear regression model including subjective cognitive complaints score as dependent
114 variable and self-efficacy, age and cognitive performance as predictors was significant
115 ($F=14.45, p<0.01$), although variance explained was low (corrected $R^2=0.09$). All predictors
116 were significant (self-efficacy $t=-3.30, p<0.01$; age $t=2.85, p<0,01$; cognitive performance $t=-$
117 $3.48, p<0.01$).

118 **Role of self-efficacy and subjective cognitive complaints in predicting healthcare use**

119 The logistic regression model including Not use / Use of health services as a dichotomous
120 variable predicted by age, cognitive status, self-efficacy and subjective cognitive complaints
121 was significant ($\chi^2=42,41, p<0.01$). Cox and Snell's R^2 was 0.09 and Nagelkerke's R^2 was
122 0.13. In univariate analysis, age 55-59 years (6.83, $p<0.05$), 60-64 (6.69, $p<0.05$), cognitive
123 status MCI (3.81, $p<0.05$), self-efficacy (23.08, $p<0.01$) and self-efficacy (5.34, $p<0.05$) were
124 statistically significant. Multivariate analysis (table 3) showed an increased probability of use
125 of health services in the 60-64 years group compared with the 55-59 years group; in the MCI
126 group compared with the CU group; and an increase of 0.88 for each point decreased in the
127 self-efficacy test. Subjective cognitive complaints scores did not remain as a significant
128 predictor in the multivariate analysis.

129 **DISCUSSION**

130 This study has showed the role of self-efficacy and subjective cognitive complaints as
131 predictors of healthcare use. Both self-efficacy and subjective cognitive complaints are
132 significant predictors in univariate analysis, although only SE remained significant in
133 multivariate. Therefore, the influence of subjective cognitive complaints in healthcare use
134 seems to be mediated by subjective estimations like those measured by self-efficacy. These
135 results are in line with previous interpretations about self-efficacy effects in healthcare use
136 (Gandoy-Crego et al., 2016), such as increased affective symptoms, reduced engagement in a
137 range of activities, as well as more functional problems when compared to individuals without

138 subjective cognitive impairment (Hill et al., 2017). People with poor expectations tend to have
139 low self-esteem and negative feelings regarding their abilities. The perception of self-efficacy
140 facilitates cognition concerning one's own abilities, with thoughts acting as motivators of
141 action. In this context, people who feel efficacious choose more challenging tasks, set higher
142 goals, and are more persistent. Also of note, if people used adequate coping strategies to
143 overcome health problems, they would be less reliant on health services. Regarding cognitive
144 health, an increase in self-efficacy would contribute to decrease subjective cognitive
145 complaints which, in turn, would lead to reduce the burden of the care, with considerable
146 savings in already overstretched healthcare resources (Morley et al., 2015). Accordingly, the
147 role of SE as a significant predictor of SCCs supports the well-established link between
148 affective factor and subjective, self-reported perceptions about cognitive function (Amariglio
149 et al., 2012; Garcia-Ptacek et al 2016; Mordechovich et al., 2013). In this context,
150 development and implementation of well-designed health education programs can help to
151 improve self-efficacy and health behaviors. Cognitive health programs should emphasize not
152 only the importance of assessing current and baseline cognition according to cognitive
153 assessment tools appropriately normalized and validated (Perry et al., 2018), but also the
154 dynamic link between affective and cognitive factors through old adults' lifespan.

155 Even though our regression models are significant, the percentage of variance explained is
156 relatively low, 9% in the linear regression model and 9-13% in the logistic regression model.
157 Future studies might include more exhaustive measures of affective state (Hill et al., 2016),
158 subjective perception of health, loneliness or social support. To specify the type and number
159 of subjective cognitive complaints (Amariglio et al., 2011) as well as the potential role of
160 educative level and other cognitive reserve proxies (Garcia-Ptacek et al., 2016) could also help
161 to better understand this relationship.

162

163 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

164 The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

165 **REFERENCES**

166 Amariglio, R.E., Townsend, M.K.T., Grodstein, F., Sperling, R.A., & Rentz, D.M. (2011).

167 Specific subjective memory complaints in older persons may indicate poor cognitive function.

168 *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *59*, 1612–1617.

169 Amariglio, R.E., Becker, J.A., Carmasin, J., Wadsworth, L.P., Lorus, N., Sullivan, C., Maye,

170 J.E., Gidicsin, C., Pepin, L., Sperling, R.A., Johnson, K.A., & Rentz, D.M. (2012). Subjective

171 cognitive complaints and amyloid burden in cognitively normal older individuals.

172 *Neuropsychologia*, *50*, 2880–2886.

173 Balash, Y., Mordechovich, M., Shabtai, H., Giladi, N., Gurevich, T., Korczyn, A.D. (2013).

174 Subjective memory complaints in elders: depression, anxiety, or cognitive decline? *Acta*

175 *Neurologica Scandinava*, *127*, 344–350.

176 Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioural change.

177 *Psychological Review*, *84*, 191-215.

178 Bandura, A. (1989). Human agency in social cognitive theory. *American Psychologist*,

179 *44*, 1175-1184.

180 Bandura, A. (1992). Exercise of personal agency through the self-efficacy mechanism. In

181 Schwarzer R, ed., *Self-efficacy: Thought Control of Action*. Washington, DC: Hemisphere, pp.

182 3-38.

183 Bandura, A. (2004). Health promotion by social cognitive means. *Health Education &*

184 *Behavior*, *31*, 143-164.

185 Gandoy-Crego, M., Clemente, M., Gómez-Cantorna, C., González-Rodríguez, R., & Reig-
186 Botella, A. (2016). Self-efficacy and Health: The SEH Scale. *American Journal of Health*
187 *Behavior, 40*, 389-395.

188 Garcia-Ptacek, S., Eriksdotter, M., Jelic, V., Porta-Etessam, J., Kareholt, I., Manzano Palomo,
189 S. (2016). Subjective cognitive impairment: Towards early identification of Alzheimer
190 disease. *Neurología, 31*, 562—571.

191 Gebhardt, W.A., Van Der Doef, M.P., & Paul, L.B. (2001). The revised health hardiness
192 inventory (RHHI-24): psychometric properties and relationship with self-reported health and
193 health behavior in 2 Dutch samples. *Health Education Research, 16*:579-592.

194 Grembowski, D., Patrick, D., Diehr, P., Durham, M., Beresford, S., Kay, E., & Hecht, J.
195 (1993). Self-efficacy and behavior among older adults. *Journal of Health and Social*
196 *Behavior, 34*, 89-104.

197 Hill, N.L., McDermott, C., Mogle, J., Munoz, E., DePasquale, N., Wion, R., & Whitaker, E.
198 (2017). Subjective cognitive impairment and quality of life: a systematic review.
199 *International Psychogeriatrics, 29*, 1965-1977.

200 Hill, N.L., Mogle, J., Wion, R., Munoz, E., DePasquale, N., Yevchak, A.M., & Parisi, J.M.
201 (2016). Subjective cognitive impairment and affective symptoms: A systematic review. *The*
202 *Gerontologist, 56*, e109–e127.

203 Jessen, F., Amariglio, R. E., van Boxtel, M., Breteler, M., Ceccaldi, M., Chételat, G.,...
204 Wagner, M., Subjective Cognitive Decline Initiative (SCD-I) Working Group. (2014). A
205 conceptual framework for research on subjective cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer's
206 disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia, 10*, 844–852.

207 Montejo, P., Montenegro, P., Fernández-Blázquez, M.A., Turrero-Nogués, A., Yubero, R.,
208 Huertas, E., & Maestú F. (2014). Association of perceived health and depression with older
209 adults' subjective memory complaints: contrasting a specific questionnaire with general
210 complaints questions. *European Journal of Ageing*, *11*, 77-87

211 Montejo-Carrasco, P., Montenegro-Peña, M., & Sueiro-Abad, M.J. (2012a). The Memory
212 Failures of Everyday questionnaire (MFE): Internal consistency and reliability. *The Spanish*
213 *Journal of Psychology* *15*, 2, 768-776.

214 Montejo-Carrasco, P., Montenegro-Peña, M., & Sueiro-Abad, M.J. (2012b). The Memory
215 Failures of Everyday (MFE) test: normative data in adults. *The Spanish Journal of*
216 *Psychology* *15*, 3, 1424-1431.

217 Morley, J.E., Morris, J.C., Berg-Weger, M., Borson, S., Carpenter, B.D., Del Campo, N.,...
218 Vellas, B. (2015). Brain health: the importance of recognizing cognitive impairment: an
219 IAGG consensus conference. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, *16*,
220 731-739.

221 Nasreddine, Z.S., Phillips, N.A., Bédirian, V., Charbonneau, S., Whitehead, V., Collin, I., ...,
222 Chertkow, H. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild
223 cognitive impairment. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *53*, 695-699.

224 Olivari, C., & Urra, E. (2007). Self-efficacy and health behaviors. *Ciencia y Enfermería*. *13*, 9-
225 15.

226 Pereiro, A.X., Ramos-Lema, S., Lojo-Seoane, C., Guàrdia-Olmos, J., Facal-Mayo, D., &
227 Juncos-Rabadán, O. (2017). Normative data for the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA)
228 in a Spanish sample of community-dweller adults. *European Geriatric Medicine*, *8*, 240-244.

229 Perry, W., Lacritz, L., Roebuck-Spencer, T., Silver, C., Denny, R.L., Meyers, J. ... Golden, T.
230 (2018). Population health solutions for assessing cognitive impairment in geriatric patients.
231 *Innovation in Aging*, 2, igy025.

232 Smith, M.S., Wallston, K.A., & Smith, C.A. (1995). The development and validation of the
233 perceived health competence scale. *Health Education Research*, 10:51-64.

234 Sunderland, A., Harris, J. E., & Gleave, J. (1984). Memory failures in everyday life following
235 severe head injury. *Journal of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 6, 127–142.

236 West, R.L., Bagwell, D.K., & Dark-Freudeman, A. (2008). Self-efficacy and memory aging:
237 The impact of a memory intervention based on self-efficacy. *Neuropsychology, development,*
238 *and cognition. Section B, Aging, neuropsychology and cognition*, 15, 302-329.

TABLES**Table 1.** Sociodemographic characteristics of the total sample (N=438).

	n (%)
Age range (years)	
55-59	188 (42.9)
60-64	117 (26.7)
65-69	133 (30.4)
Educational level	
Primary education	151 (34.5)
Secondary education	169 (38.6)
Higher education	118 (26.9)
Civil status	
Married	328 (74.9)
Divorced	30 (6.8)
Single	28 (6.4)
Widow/widower	4 (0.9)
Other (living as a couple, other situations)	48 (11)
Living arrangement	
In their own house	408 (93.2)
In their family's house	30 (6.8)

TABLES

Table 2. Self-efficacy, cognitive status, SCCs (mean, and standard deviation between brackets) and healthcare use (frequency, and percentage between brackets) in the three groups and in the total sample.

	CU group	MCI group	Dementia group	Total
SEH	28.58 (3.92)	28.24 (4.29)	28.21 (4.56)	28.47 (4.08)
MoCA	26.72 (1.99)	23.10 (0.87)	20.09 (2.38)	25.08 (3.30)
MFE	13.44 (7.81)	16.33 (7.43)	16.26 (9.42)	14.28 (8.17)
Without health use	210 (68.2%)	31 (63.3%)	45 (55.6%)	286 (65.3%)
With health use	98 (31.8%)	18 (36.7%)	36 (44.4%)	152 (34.7%)

Note: MFE: Memory Failures of Everyday test; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment; SCCs: Subjective cognitive complaints; SEH: Self-Efficiency scale Health.

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression model

	B	S.E.	Wald χ^2	p values	OR	95% CI
Age						
55-59 years			8.71	0.01		
60-64 years	-0.72	0.27	7.27	0.01	0.49	0.29-0.82
65-69 years	-0.17	0.30	0.32	0.57	0.85	0.47-1.51
Cognitive status			8.97	0.01		
CU	0.83	0.28	8.82	0.03	2.30	1.33-3.99
MCI	0.52	0.40	1.72	0.19	1.68	0.77-3.66
Dementia						
SE	-0.13	0.03	21.76	0.00	0.88	0.83-0.92
SCCs	0.02	0.01	2.62	0.11	1.02	0.00-1.05

Note: CU: Cognitively Unimpaired; MCI: Mild cognitive impairment; SCCs: Subjective Cognitive Complaints; SE: Self-Efficiency.