

1 **Helminth infections on organic dairy farms in Spain**

2 I. Orjales <sup>a</sup>, M. Mezo <sup>b</sup>, M. Miranda <sup>a</sup>, M. González-Warleta <sup>b</sup>, F. Rey-Crespo <sup>c,f</sup>, M. Vaarst <sup>d</sup>, S.  
3 Thamsborg <sup>e</sup>, F.J. Diéguez<sup>a</sup>, J.A. Castro-Hermida<sup>b</sup>, M. López-Alonso <sup>c</sup>

4

5 <sup>a</sup>Departamento de Anatomía, Producción Animal e Ciencias Clínicas Veterinarias, Universidade  
6 de Santiago de Compostela, Facultade de Veterinaria, 27002 Lugo, Spain.

7 <sup>b</sup>Centro de Investigaciones Agrarias de Mabegondo - Xunta de Galicia, Laboratorio de  
8 Parasitología, Carretera AC-542 de Betanzos a Mesón do Vento, Km 7.5, 15318, Abegondo, A  
9 Coruña , Spain.

10 <sup>c</sup>Departamento de Patoloxía Animal, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Facultade de  
11 Veterinaria, 27002 Lugo, Spain.

12 <sup>d</sup>Aarhus University, Department of Animal Science - Epidemiology and management, Blichers  
13 Allé 20 building FS20, 3333, 8830 Tjele, Denmark.

14 <sup>e</sup>University of Copenhagen, Veterinary Parasitology Group, Department of Veterinary Disease  
15 Biology, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Copenhagen, Denmark.

16 <sup>f</sup>Centro Tecnológico Agroalimentario de Lugo (CETAL), 27002 Lugo, Spain.

17

18 Corresponding author: Inmaculada Orjales Galdo. E-mail: [inmaculada.orjales@usc.es](mailto:inmaculada.orjales@usc.es) Tlf: +34  
19 699 63 71 63,

20

21 **Summary**

22 The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of the major helminth infections affecting  
23 organic dairy cattle in northern Spain. Milk and faecal samples were obtained from 443 milking  
24 cows. *Ostertagia ostertargi* and *Fasciola hepatica* exposure was assessed by detection of  
25 specific antibodies in milk samples and *F. hepatica* infection was diagnosed by the detection of  
26 coproantigens in faecal samples. *Dictyocaulus viviparus* and *Calicophoron daubneyi* infections  
27 were diagnosed by conventional coprological techniques. The prevalence of infections caused  
28 by *F. hepatica* was considerable low, but similar to data reported from conventional farming in  
29 the same area. The prevalence rate of *C. daubneyi* infection was higher than previous data  
30 mirroring an increase of the prevalence that was also reported in other European countries in  
31 recent years. Specific antibodies against *O. ostertargi* were detected in all herds and the median  
32 levels of antibodies, determined by ELISA, exceeded thresholds indicating milk production  
33 losses. The prevalence of *D. viviparus* was almost negligible. For each parasite, an ordinal  
34 logistic-regression analysis was used to assess the risk of infection by taking into account the  
35 administration of effective anthelmintics and the number of lactations. Treatment of cows with  
36 fasciolicides decreased the risk of *F. hepatica* infection in multiparous cows, whereas treatment  
37 with oxiclozanide or albendazol did not decrease the risk of *C. daubneyi* infection or *O.*  
38 *ostertargi* exposure, respectively. The study findings demonstrate that helminth infection in  
39 organic dairy farming is similar or even lower than previous data reported from conventional  
40 farming. Special attention should be paid to the impact of these infections on milk production.

41

42 **Key words:** Cattle, Grazing, Organic milk production, Helminth infections

43

44

## 45 **Introduction**

46 Helminthoses transmitted by pasture are among the most common causes of detrimental effects  
47 on the health and welfare of grazing livestock. Even when subclinical, as usually observed in  
48 adult cows, these types of infection may cause significant production losses (Mezo et al., 2011).  
49 In Europe, the following species are considered the most pathogenic: the stomach worm  
50 *Ostertagia ostertagi* (Forbes et al., 2008), the lungworm *Dictyocaulus viviparus* (González-  
51 Warleta et al., 2015) and the liver fluke *Fasciola hepatica* (Mezo et al., 2008).  
52 Control of helminthic parasites in livestock is typically based on the use of parasiticides.  
53 However, there are few anthelmintics authorized for the treatment of lactating cows and most of  
54 them can only be administered during the dry period. This period does not always coincide with  
55 the optimal time for disrupting the life cycle of the various helminth species, and re-infection  
56 therefore can occur immediately. The situation is aggravated in organic farming systems, in  
57 which livestock is reared outdoors and the use of anthelmintics is legally restricted (Council  
58 Regulation, 2007), thus increasing the risk of parasitic infections (Ellis et al., 2011). However,  
59 other authors argue that tolerance to parasites may be higher in livestock reared on organically  
60 managed farms. Thus, the host-parasite relationship may be modified by exposure of the  
61 livestock to parasite challenge from an early age, which may lead to improved resilience  
62 (Keatinge et al., 2002). Nevertheless, proper control of parasite infections is essential to achieve  
63 the underlying goals of organic milk production (i.e. improving both animal welfare and product  
64 quality). Previous knowledge of the infection status of herds is necessary for this purpose. The  
65 aim of this study was to assess for the first time the prevalence of the major helminths affecting  
66 dairy cattle on organic farming in Spain. Four helminth species were considered: *O. ostertagi*,  
67 *D. viviparus* and *F. hepatica*, regarded as the most prevalent and pathogenic helminths in  
68 Europe, and *C. daubneyi*, an increasingly prevalent trematode whose pathogenicity has so far  
69 not been assessed.

## 70 **Material and Methods**

71 The study was conducted on dairy cattle farms in four regions in northern Spain (Galicia,  
72 Asturias, Cantabria and the Basque Country). All owners of organic farms registered in the

73 official dairy control (ODC) system in northern Spain were invited to participate in the study,  
74 and the farm was included when a positive reply was received. A total of 22 farms, representing  
75 79% of the organic farms included in ODC in northern Spain (n=28), were included in the  
76 study. All farms operated a rotational system which lasted throughout the year, the normal  
77 practice in grazing farms in this area.

78 During October – November of 2011, all farms were visited to record data on animal husbandry  
79 and to obtain faecal and milk samples. The following data were recorded: number of cows in  
80 lactation, lactation number for each cow, feeding system/pasture management and anthelmintic  
81 administration for the last two years. Only 27% of the farms have used anthelmintics:  
82 oxiclozanide (n=2), triclabendazol (n=1), albedazol (n=2) and nitroxinil (n=1). All treatments  
83 were applied during the dry period and two farms used also homeopathy in spring and summer.

84 Milking cows (n=443) were randomly selected (25% of each herd, ranging from 4 to 30 cows  
85 per farm using WinEpiscope® software) for faecal (50 g) and milk (20 ml) sampling. All  
86 samples were immediately refrigerated and were transported to the laboratory within 4 hours of  
87 collection. The milk fat was separated by centrifugation at 2000 x g for 10 minutes and the  
88 skimmed portion was stored at -20°C until analysis.

89 The presence of specific antibodies against *O. ostertargi* in milk samples were determined by  
90 the commercial test *O. ostertagi*-Ab SVANOVIR® by following the manufacturer's  
91 instructions. Results were expressed as ODR (optical density ratio), and cows were considered  
92 positive when the value of the negative control provided by the manufacturer was exceeded  
93 (ODR>0.4). The detection of *F. hepatica* was performed using two different techniques in order  
94 to detect both exposure and active infection. *Fasciola hepatica* antibodies in milk samples were  
95 analyzed by MM3-SERO ELISA, an in-house antibody capture immunoassay that detects  
96 antibodies against the highly specific antigens recognized by the monoclonal antibody (Mab)  
97 MM3 (Mezo et al., 2010). Results were expressed as OD (optical density) values and cows with  
98 OD > 0.100 were classified as positive. *F. hepatica* coproantigens were detected by using  
99 MM3-COPRO ELISA test (Mezo et al., 2004). Results were expressed as antigen concentration  
100 (ng/ml) and samples with concentrations higher than 0.6 ng/ml were classified as positive. For

101 all techniques, positive and negative controls were analyzed in each plate to check the test  
102 validity.

103 For the diagnosis of *D. viviparus* and *C. daubneyi* infections, the faeces (10 g) were analysed  
104 using the Baermann and sedimentation techniques. Results were expressed as larvae per gram of  
105 faeces (LPG), in the case of *D. viviparus*, and eggs per gram of faeces (EPG), in the case of *C.*  
106 *daubneyi*. The ODR was considered a proxy of exposure whereas antigen concentration, LPG  
107 and EPG were considered proxies for intensity of infection.

108 The percentages of prevalence were expressed as the median value and the interquartile range.

109 An ordinal logistic-regression analysis was used to evaluate the association between the  
110 presence of each parasite infection and the following covariables: number of lactation in course  
111 (first or beyond, as an indicator of grazing experience) and treatment (yes or no) with specific  
112 drugs against the parasite/s detected. To *F. hepatica*, only data from coproantigen detection  
113 were included in the logistic regression analysis. For each parasite, animals were divided based  
114 on the median value for positive samples, so that three status were established: negative (0),  
115 slightly positive (1) and highly positive (2). Robust estimates of variance were applied to make  
116 adjustments within herd and animal cluster effects. In the analyses the following odds were  
117 modelled: 1. Status 0 vs. 1 and 2; 2. Status 0 and 1 vs. 2. The test of parallel lines was used to  
118 determine the hypothesis of proportionality; that indicates whether the estimated parameters for  
119 predictor variables are equivalent across the two comparisons made for the parasite infection.  
120 All analyses were conducted using SPSS® (V.20.0) and STATA (V 11.1) for Windows, and  
121 differences were considered significant at  $p < 0.05$ .

## 122 **Results and discussion**

123 In this study, we analyzed faecal and milk samples from organic dairy cows reared on farms in  
124 the top milk producing regions in Spain, where helminthoses are endemic (Forbes et al., 2008;  
125 González-Warleta et al., 2013). The data on herd prevalence, individual prevalence and within-  
126 herd prevalence of each helminth infection and the intensity of each parasite infection or  
127 exposure are summarized in Table 1.

128 *Fasciola hepatica*

129 The percentage of herds exposed to *F. hepatica* was 72.7% whereas individual prevalence rate  
130 was 40.2%. Median of within-herd prevalence was 46.6% and on a quarter of farms 93.3% of  
131 their animals were exposed. The median of anti-*F. hepatica* antibody levels were 1.567, which  
132 exceed the threshold indicating potential milk production losses (Mezo et al., 2011). In fact,  
133 58.8% of positive farms presented median of antibody levels higher than the cut value  
134 established. When active infection was investigated, the herd prevalence rate was 54.5%  
135 whereas only 13.3% of animals studied were infected with *F. hepatica*. In each farm, a median  
136 of 4.5% of animals excreted antigens of *F. hepatica* and the median of coproantigen  
137 concentrations were 5.36 ng/ml. Both the individual prevalence and coproantigen concentrations  
138 reported in the present study were considerably low, but similar to that reported in a previous  
139 study in conventional farms from the same area (16% and 6.7 ng/ml respectively; Mezo et al.,  
140 2008). However, the high proportion of exposed herds (72.7%) demonstrate that fasciolosis is  
141 widespread in organic farms; mainly because of climatic conditions (high humidity, frequent  
142 rainfall and mild temperatures) provide a suitable environment for the development of its  
143 intermediate host, *Galba truncatula* (Mezo et al., 2008).

#### 144 *Calicophoron daubneyi*

145 The herd prevalence of *C. daubneyi* infection was 59.1% and the individual prevalence of  
146 infection was 33.9%. A median of 21.3% of animals on each farm were positive to *C. daubneyi*  
147 and on a quarter of farms, all animals were excreting *C. daubneyi* eggs in their faeces. We  
148 observed that the individual prevalence rate exceeded previously reported levels for  
149 conventionally reared cows from Spain (González-Warleta et al., 2013; Ferreras et al., 2014).  
150 Egg counts were 68.0 EPG and 25% of animals excreted more than 173 eggs per gram of  
151 faeces. This high faecal egg counts indicates the existence of larger parasite burdens, judging by  
152 the observed close correlation between both parameters (González-Warleta et al., 2013;  
153 Sargison et al., 2016). Our findings may mirror an increase in the prevalence of this parasite,  
154 which is consistent with that observed in different European countries in recent years (Toolan et  
155 al., 2015).

#### 156 *Ostertagia ostertagi*

157 Specific antibodies against *O. ostertagi* were detected in all herds. Nevertheless, individual  
158 prevalence rate was 75.2% and the within-herd prevalence was 82.1%. As expected, a high  
159 exposure to this helminth was detected on all farms given the known ubiquity of this parasite in  
160 temperate climates. Similar findings were reported in organic farms from Sweden (Höglund et  
161 al., 2010) and conventional grazing farms from Spain (Pablos-Tanarro et al., 2013). The median  
162 of ODR levels was 0.675, lower than previous data published in organic farming (Höglund et  
163 al., 2010). However, these levels in all farms exceeded the threshold indicating milk production  
164 losses (Forbes et al., 2008),

#### 165 *Dictyocaulus viviparus*

166 The herd prevalence of *D. viviparus* was 9.1%. However, the individual prevalence rates (0.7%)  
167 and faecal larvae counts (1.4 LPG) were extremely low. In northern Spain, there are no studies  
168 about the prevalence of lungworms in cattle, but Ploeger et al. (2012), in a study from  
169 Netherlands, found prevalence rates of infection of 11.7 and 18.9% in conventionally reared  
170 cows and heifers, respectively. The low prevalence of infection found, may be explained  
171 because of adult cows can develop immunity to lungworms after suffering from the infection as  
172 calves (Ploeger et al., 2012) so that they do not develop patent infections. However, given the  
173 results obtained in other European countries in adult cows, northern Spain seems to be an area  
174 with low prevalence of lungworms.

#### 175 Infection risk assessment

176 Anthelmintic treatments, lactation number and grazing management are among the most  
177 important factor affecting helminth infection. In this study, the effects of using specific  
178 anthelmintics and lactation number (first or multiparous) on the risk of infection varied for each  
179 helminth species considered (Table 2).

180 In the case of *F. hepatica* (active infection), none of two factors had a significant effect on the  
181 risk of infection when they were analyzed individually. However, there was a significant  
182 interaction between both factors indicating that the probability of cows suffering fasciolosis was  
183 lower when specific anthelmintics were applied in multiparous cows. Although fasciolicides  
184 were successfully used for control of fasciolosis in cattle (Mezo et al., 2008), primiparous cows

185 had less opportunity to be treated than older cows, which could have been treated several times  
186 during the different dry periods.

187 For *C. daubneyi* infection, the probability of a positive diagnosis was 14.1 times higher when  
188 specific anthelmintics were used (95% CI: 4.3-30.8;  $p < 0.001$ ) and multiparous cows showed  
189 slightly more probability of being infected (OR: 1.2; 95%CI: 1.2-1.6;  $p < 0.015$ ). An interaction  
190 between both factors was detected, indicating that multiparous cows using anthelmintics had  
191 higher probability of being infected compared to primiparous heifers. This paradoxical situation  
192 may be explained because of infections occurred predominantly on those farms which also  
193 treated their animals with oxiclozanide. Moreover, this drug should only be administered during  
194 dry period, which probably is not sufficient to disrupt the life cycle of the trematodes and  
195 prevent rapid re-infection (Mezo et al., 2008). On the other hand, older cows are more likely to  
196 be infected because of repeated exposure to this parasite does not confer protection against  
197 reinfection (Ferrerias et al. 2014).

198 The risk of having a positive diagnose to *O. ostertagi* was 1.5 times higher in cows treated with  
199 specific anthelmintics (95% CI: 1.5-1.6;  $p < 0.001$ ) and multiparous cows showed lower  
200 probability being positive (OR: 0.7; 95% CI: 0.7-0.8;  $p < 0.001$ ). However, the risk of being  
201 positive was higher in multiparous cows treated with anthelmintics, as the interaction between  
202 both terms showed. It should be considered that albendazole (the antinematode used) only can  
203 be administered in dry period and the treatment with antinematodes only reduce the *O. ostertagi*  
204 antibody levels during the stabling period (Charlier et al., 2007). When cattle remain on pasture  
205 throughout the year, as in organic farming, it may occurs continuous reinfections, so that the no  
206 (or lower) effect of anthelmintics was expectable. Moreover, regulations controlling organic  
207 production (Council Regulation, 2007) restrict the administration of prophylactic treatments, as  
208 clearly reflected in less use in this study. Probably, older cows were treated only when clinical  
209 signs of disease appeared, which explains the interactions between both terms.

210 Organic farming systems are frequently associated with an increased risk of helminth infection  
211 because the prophylactic use of chemotherapy is prohibited and animals are kept on pasture for  
212 prolonged periods of time. Our results showed similar, or even lower, prevalence ratios than

213 previous data reported from conventional and organic farming in the same area or other  
214 European countries. Nevertheless, data about helminth prevalence on organic farming is sparse,  
215 and most of them were not comparable with our results due to they tested helminth exposure  
216 instead of patent infection. An appropriate helminth control is essential in all farming systems,  
217 but in organic farming we face with the term integrated control, which implies a rational use of  
218 biological, biotechnological and chemical control measures, farming practices and breeding  
219 strategies in order to reduce the use of chemical control agents to a minimum. In addition to the  
220 classical control methods used in the farms studied (grazing management and anthelmintic  
221 treatment), in the longer term, they can be supplemented by biological control or the use of  
222 specialized crops which reduce infection levels (Thamsborg et al., 2010). In fact, both pasture  
223 management and anthelmintic treatment is not sufficient to prevent the outburst of infections in  
224 all situations especially in animals reared in pasture for long periods of time.

225 In conclusion, the study findings clearly demonstrate that helminth infection in organic dairy  
226 farming is similar or even lower than previous data reported from conventional farming.  
227 Although organic farms were highly exposed to *F. hepatica*, only a small proportion of animals  
228 presented active infection. The prevalence of *C. daubneyi* was higher compared with previous  
229 data, but consistent with the increasing prevalence observed in other countries in the last years.  
230 All farms studied were exposed to *O. ostertagia* although exposure levels were lower than  
231 previous data. The prevalence of *D. viviparus* was almost negligible. Special attention should be  
232 paid to the impact of helminth infections on milk production.

### 233 **Acknowledgement**

234 This work was supported by the Spanish Government (AGL2010-21026). Inmaculada Orjales is  
235 in receipt of a FPU fellowship (Ref. FPU14/01473) from the Spanish Ministry of Education,  
236 Culture and Sports. This study was possible due to a research stay in Aarhus University  
237 supported by Barrié de la Maza Foundation (predoctoral grant). The authors thank all farmers  
238 who participated in the study.

### 239 **References**

240 Charlier, J., Duchateau, L., Claerebout, E., Vercruyse, J., 2007. Predicting milk-production

241 responses after an autumn treatment of pastured dairy herds with eprinomectin. Vet.  
242 Parasitol. 143, 197–390.

243 Council Regulation, 2007. Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 of 28 June 2007 on organic  
244 production and labelling of organic products and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 2092/91.  
245 Off. J. Eur. Commissions 834/2007.

246 Ellis, K. A., Jackson, A., Bexiga, R., Matthews, J., McGoldrick, J., Gilleard, J., Forbes, B.,  
247 2011. Use of diagnostic markers to monitor fasciolosis and gastrointestinal nematodes on  
248 an organic dairy farm. Vet. Rec. 169, 524-531.

249 Ferreras, M.C., González-Lanza, C., Pérez, V., Fuertes, M., Benavides, J., Mezo, M., González-  
250 Warleta, M., Giráldez, J., Martínez-Ibeas, A.M., Delgado, L., Fernández, M., Manga-  
251 González, M.Y., 2014. *Calicophoron daubneyi* (*Paramphistomidae*) in slaughtered cattle  
252 in Castilla y León (Spain). Vet. Parasitol. 199, 268–271.

253 Forbes, A.B., J.Vercruysse, Charlier, J., 2008. A survey of the exposure to *Ostertagia ostertagi*  
254 in dairy cow herds in Europe through the measurement of antibodies in milk samples from  
255 the bulk tank. Vet. Parasitol. 157, 100–107.

256 González-Warleta, M., Lladosa, S., Castro-Hermida, J.A., Martínez-Ibeas, A.M., Conesa, D.,  
257 Muñoz, F., López-Quílez, A., Manga-González, Y., Mezo, M., 2013. Bovine  
258 paramphistomosis in Galicia (Spain): Prevalence, intensity, aetiology and geospatial  
259 distribution of the infection. Vet. Parasitol. 191, 252–263.

260 Höglund, J., Dahlström, F., Engström, A., Hesse, A., Jakubek, E.-B., Schnieder, T., Strube, C.,  
261 Sollenberg, S., 2010. Antibodies to major pasture borne helminth infections in bulk-tank  
262 milk samples from organic and nearby conventional dairy herds in south-central Sweden.  
263 Vet. Parasitol. 171, 293–9.

264 Keatinge, R., Jackson, F., Kyriazakis, I., Cork, S.A., 2002. Developing parasite control  
265 strategies in organic systems. In: Powell, Jane and et al., (Eds.) Proceedings of the UK  
266 Organic Research 2002 Conference, Organic Centre Wales, Institute of Rural Studies,  
267 University of Wales Aberystwyth, pp. 341-346. URL: <http://orgprints.org/8454>

268 Mezo, M., González-Warleta, M., Carro, C., Ubeira, F.M., 2014. An Ultrasensitive Capture

269 ELISA for Detection of *Fasciola Hepatica* Coproantigens in Sheep and Cattle Using a New  
270 Monoclonal Antibody (MM3). *J Parasitol.* 90, 845-852.

271 Mezo, M., González-Warleta, M., Castro-Hermida, J.A., Muíño, L., Ubeira, F.M., 2010. Field  
272 evaluation of the MM3-SERO ELISA for detection of anti-*Fasciola* IgG antibodies in  
273 milk samples for individual cows and bulk milk tanks. *Parasitol Int.* 59, 610-615.

274 Mezo, M., González-Warleta, M., Castro-Hermida, J.A., Muíño, L., Ubeira, F.M., 2011.  
275 Association between anti-*F. hepatica* antibody levels in milk and production losses in  
276 dairy cows. *Vet. Parasitol.* 180, 237–242.

277 Mezo, M., González-Warleta, M., Castro-Hermida, J.A., Ubeira, F.M., 2008. Evaluation of the  
278 flukicide treatment policy for dairy cattle in Galicia (NW Spain). *Vet. Parasitol.* 157, 235–  
279 243.

280 Pablos-Tanarro, A., Pérez-Cabal, M.A., Ortega-Mora, L.M., Ferre, I. 2013. Presence of  
281 *Ostertagia ostertagi* antibodies in bulk tank milk from cattle herds in northern Spain. *Vet.*  
282 *Parasitol.* 197, 388-392.

283 Ploeger, H.W., Verbeek, P.C., Dekkers, C.W.H., Strube, C., Van Engelen, E., Uiterwijk, M.,  
284 Lam, T.J.G.M., Holzhauser, M., 2012. The value of a bulk-tank milk ELISA and individual  
285 serological and faecal examination for diagnosing (sub)clinical *Dictyocaulus viviparus*  
286 infection in dairy cows. *Vet. Parasitol.* 184, 168–179.

287 Sargison, N., Francis, E., Davison, C., Bronsvort, B.M. deC., Handel, I., Mazeri, S., 2016.  
288 Observations on the biology, epidemiology and economic relevance of rumen flukes  
289 (Paramphistomidae) in cattle kept in a temperate environment. *Vet. Parasitol.* 219, 7–16.

290 Thamsborg, S.M., Roepstorff, A., Nejsum, P., Mejer, H. 2010. Alternative approaches to control  
291 of parasites in livestock: Nordic and Baltic perspectives. *Acta. Vet. Scand.* 52 (1), 1–27.

292 Toolan, D.P., Mitchell, G., Searle, K., Sheehan, M., Skuce, P.J., Zadoks, R.N., 2015. Bovine  
293 and ovine rumen fluke in Ireland—Prevalence, risk factors and species identity based on  
294 passive veterinary surveillance and abattoir findings. *Vet. Parasitol.* 212, 168–174.

295

**Table 1.** Herd prevalence, individual prevalence, within herd prevalence and intensity of infection or exposure of the helminthes studied in 22 organic farms.

Helminth	Herd prevalence	Individual prevalence	Within herd prevalence Median (Q <sub>25</sub> -Q <sub>75</sub> )	Intensity of infection or exposure Median (Q <sub>25</sub> -Q <sub>75</sub> )
<i>Fasciola hepatica</i> Ag*	54.5	13.3	4.5 (0-14.8)	5.36 (2.57-12.43)
<i>Fasciola hepatica</i> Ab <sup>v</sup>	72.7	40.2	46.6 (20.0-93.3)	1.567 (0.597-1.841)
<i>Calicophoron daubneyi</i> <sup>†</sup>	59.1	33.9	21.3 (0.0-100.0)	68.0 (16.0-173.5)
<i>Ostertagia ostertagi</i> <sup>‡</sup>	100	75.2	82.1 (53.5-92.0)	0.675 (0.560-0.820)
<i>Dictyocaulus viviparus</i> <sup>§</sup>	9.1	0.7	-	1.4 (1.0-2.0)

Intensity of infection

\*ng/ml (coproantigens), <sup>v</sup>OD value (optical density; exposure), <sup>†</sup>epg (eggs per gram of faeces), <sup>‡</sup>ODR (optical density ratio; exposure), <sup>§</sup>lpg (larvae per gram of faeces)

**Table 2.** Risk factors associated with infection of *Fasciola hepatica*, *Calicophoron daubneyi* and *Ostertagia ostertagi* in 443 cows from 22 farms in northern Spain. Infections were diagnosed by milk antibody-ELISA (*O. ostertagi*) by coproantigen-ELISA (*F. hepatica*) and coprology (*C. daubneyi*).

Parasite	Factor	Class	Infection rate (%)	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i>
<i>F. hepatica</i>	Anthelmintic*	No	56/404 (13.9)	Reference		
		Yes	2/36 (5.5)	0.7	0.4-1.2	0.254
	Lactations	Primiparous	16/101 (15.8)	Reference		
		Multiparous	41/339 (12.1)	0.7	0.3-1.8	0.505
	Interaction			0.3	0.1-0.8	0.014
<i>C. daubneyi</i>	Anthelmintic*	No	105/395 (26.6)	Reference		
		Yes	42/42 (100)	14.1	6.5-30.7	<0.001
	Lactations	Primiparous	34/101 (33.7)	Reference		
		Multiparous	113/337(33.5)	1.2	1-1.6	0.015
	Interaction			8.1	3.7-18	<0.001
<i>O. ostertagi</i>	Anthelmintic*	No	300/408 (73.5)	Reference		
		Yes	30/33 (90.9)	1.5	1.5-1.6	<0.001
	Lactations	Primiparous	79/101 (78.2)	Reference		
		Multiparous	251/340 (73.8)	0.7	0.7-0.8	<0.001
	Interaction			1.8	1.8-1.9	<0.001

\* For each helminthiasis, an animal was considered as treated only if was given drugs of proved effectiveness against the corresponding aetiological agent. Infection rate (%): number of animals with positive diagnose/total number of examined animals; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval