Published in final edited form as:
Genet Med. 2008 June ; 10(6): 369–384. doi:10.1097/GIM.0b013e3181770196.

Meta- and pooled analysis of GSTM1 and CYP1A1 polymorphisms and oropharyngeal cancer: a HuGE-GSEC review

L Varela-Lema[1], **E Taioli**[2], **A Ruano-Ravina**[1], **JM Barros-Dios**[1], **S Benhamou**[3], **RA Bhisey**[4], **CJ Chen**[5], **C Coutelle**[6], **W.D Foulkes**[7], **RB Hayes**[8], **T Katoh**[9], **P Lazarus**[10], **JJ Manni**[11], **S Morita**[12], **JY Park**[13], **B Roy**[14], **MR Spitz**[15], **RC Strange**[16], **Q Wei**[15], and **C Ragin**[2]

[1]Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain [2]University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, USA [3]INSERM, U794 Evry, France [4]Cancer Research Institute, Mumbai, India [5]National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan [6]University of Bordeaux II, Bordeaux Cedex, France [7]Montreal General Hospital, Quebec, Canada [8]Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, Occupational and Environmental Epidemiology Branch, NCI, Bethesda, MD, USA [9]Department of Public Health, Miyazaki Medical College, Miyazaki, Japan [10]Departments of Pharmacology, and Health Evaluation Sciences, Penn State College of Medicine, Hershey, PA, USA [11]Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Univ. Hospital Maastricht, Maastricht, Netherlands [12]Yao Municipal Hospital, Taishido, Japan [13]Division of Cancer Prevention and Control, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa FL, USA [14]Anthropology and Human Genetics Unit (AHGU), Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, India [15]Department of Epidemiology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Huston, Texas, USA [16]Centre for Cell and Molecular Medicine, School of Medicine, Keele University, Staffordshire, England

Abstract

The association of *GSTM1* and *CYP1A1* polymorphisms and oral and pharyngeal cancers was assessed through a meta-analysis of published case-control studies and a pooled analysis of both published and unpublished case-control studies from the Genetic Susceptibility to Environmental Carcinogens database (http://www.upci.upmc.edu/research/ccps/ccontrol/index.html). Thirty publications used in the meta-analysis included a total of 7783 subjects (3177 cases and 4606 controls); 21 datasets, 9397 subjects (3130 cases and 6267 controls) were included in the pooled analysis. The *GSTM1* deletion was 2-fold more likely to occur in African American and African cases than controls (odds ratio: 1.7, 95% confidence interval: 0.9–3.3), although this was not observed among whites (odds ratio: 1.0, 95% confidence interval: 0.9–1.1). The meta-analysis and pooled analysis showed a significant association between oral and pharyngeal cancer and the *CYP1A1* MspI homozygous variant (meta-$OR_{m2/m2}$: 1.9, 95% confidence interval: 1.4–2.7; Pooled OR_{m2m2}: 2.0, 95% confidence interval: 1.3–3.1; OR_{m1m2} or [infi]m2m2: 1.3, 95% confidence interval: 1.1–1.6). The association was present for the *CYP1A1* (*exon 7*) polymorphism ($OR_{Val/Val}$: 2.2, 95% confidence interval: 1.1–4.5) in ever smokers. A joint effect was observed for *GSTM1* homozygous deletion and the *CYP1A1 m1m2* variant on cancer risk. Our findings suggest that tobacco use and genetic factors play a significant role in oral and pharyngeal cancer.

MeSH(keywords)

GSTM1; CYP1A1; oral and pharyngeal cancer; Epidemiology; meta and pooled analysis

Introduction

Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs)

The Glutathione *S*-transferases (GSTs) comprise a family of phase II detoxifying enzymes that catalyze a large number of reactions taking place between the cytosolic glutathione and compounds containing an electrophilic center.[1] These enzymes are involved in the elimination of xenobiotics and endogenous products of oxidative stress formed as a result of aerobic metabolism, exposure to ionizing radiation or any other process that causes cellular damage. Substrates for GSTs include acetaldehyde and several polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) found in tobacco smoke. The main steps for GST catalysis includes the formation of a complex with the cytosolic glutathione and the ionization of the sulfydryl group of this enzyme bound to glutathione to yield a highly reactive thiolate anion through hydrogen bonding with the adjacent hydroxyl group. The enhancement of nucleophilicity activates the gluthathione and it can react with various electrophilic substrates containing carbon, nitrogen, or sulfur atoms. The result of this conjugation leads to elimination of the carcinogens from the body.

Based on sequence similarities, human cytosolic GSTs have been grouped into at least four major gene families (alpha, mu, pi, and theta). The alpha class is located in chromosome 6p12, the mu class in chromosome 1p13, pi in chromosome 11, and theta in chromosome 22. Various isoenzymes have been identified for the alpha (A1–12), mu (M1–M5), pi (P1–P2), and theta class gene families (T1–T2). The *GSTM1*, M2, M3, T1, and P1 are expressed in a variety of tissues including the squamous epithelium of the oral cavity[2] and are involved in the detoxification of various polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, including benzo[a]pyrene-7,8-diol-9,10-epoxide,[3] one of the most important carcinogens found in tobacco smoke, by catalyzing the conversion of the reactive electrophiles to inactive, water soluble conjugates that can be easily excreted.[4] The *GSTM1* isoenzyme together with the alcohol dehydrogenase is also involved in the oxidation of ethanol to acetaldehyde.[5]

Three alleles have been identified at the *GSTM1* locus: GSTM1*0, GSTM1*A, and GSTM1*B. The GSTM1*A and *GSTM1*B* differ by a C→G substitution at base position 534.[6] This C→G substitution results in a substitution of Lys→Asn at amino acid 172. These result in monodimers (GSTM1A-1A, GSTM1B-1B) or heterodimers (GSTM1A-1B), but in vitro studies suggest that their activities are similar.[7] The GSTM1*0, also called the null allele, is a huge deletion at *GSTM1* and homozygotes express no GSTM1 protein activity.[8] These subjects may potentially accumulate more DNA adducts and mutagen induced damage that may cause differences in susceptibility to tumorigenesis.[9]

Cytochrome P450s

The cytochrome P450 family (CYP) of heme monooxygenases comprise phase I enzymes that oxidate a wide variety of endogenous and exogenous compounds using atmospheric

oxygen.[10] Currently, more than 270 CYP gene families are known. Humans have 57 potentially functional P450 genes and 33 pseudogenes arranged into 18 families and 42 subfamilies.[11]

The *CYP1A1* gene belongs to the CYP1 subfamily and encodes for the enzyme aryl hydrocarbon hydrolase, which is involved in the activation of PAHs and aromatic amines[12] and is expressed in oral tissue.[13] Various studies show that *CYP1A1* catalyzes the initial metabolism of benzo[a]pyrene.[4,14] The *CYP1A1* gene is located in chromosome 15, band 15q22–24[15] and several important single nucleotide polymorphisms have been identified. The nomenclature of these polymorphisms is now standardized[16,17] but different nomenclatures were used for several years.[12] The first allele presents a single base substitution of thymine by cytosine in a noncoding region of the gene at position 3801 that creates a MspI (*m1*) restriction site (CYP1A1*2A). A single base substitution of adenine to guanine at position 2455 in the heme binding region of exon 7 induces an amino acid change in isoleucine to valine at codon 462 and is known as the *Ile/Val* or exon 7 polymorphism (*Ile*^{462} *Val*) or CYP1A1*2C.[18] In whites, this polymorphism is in complete linkage disequilibrium with the *CYP1A1* MspI (*CYP1A1*2B*).[19] Another polymorphism in exon 7, a base substitution of cytosine by adenine at position 2453, leading to the *Thr*^{461}*Asn* polymorphism (*CYP1A1*4*) has been described.[20] Some *CYP1A1* polymorhisms have been shown to increase microsomal catalytic activity for converting procarcinogens, including PAH and aromatic amines, but the results are inconsistent.[12,21–23] It has been suggested that DNA damage may depend on the link of *CYP1A1* to other polymorphisms that can affect *CYP1A1* transcription levels, such as polymorphisms for promoter genes, Ah receptor genes, or other metabolic genes such as *GSTM1*.[23,24]

Oral and Pharyngeal Cancer

According to the International Classification of Diseases-10th revision (ICD-10) oral and pharyngeal tumors are defined as those cancers comprising the locations C00–C14. These cancers represent an important problem worldwide, with 484,628 new cases and 262,784 deaths estimated per year.[25] The highest incidence and prevalence rates are observed in Melanesia, Central Asia, and Western Europe, even though rates vary depending on the gender and cancer location.[25] In men, cancers of the oral cavity are eighth in terms of incidence worldwide and they are responsible for 3% of the cancers diagnosed in this gender. Pharyngeal tumors are also common in European and Central Asian countries but the incidence rates are lower.

Mortality rates are substantially lower than incidence rates, with 2.2 deaths per 100,000 people worldwide.[25] The highest values are recorded in several countries of Central and Eastern Europe and the lowest in Central America and Northern Europe. In Hungary, the mortality rate is as high as 21.2 per 100,000.

Risk factors for oral and pharyngeal cancer

Since 1988, tobacco and alcohol consumption have been recognized as independent risk factors for oral cancer. Epidemiologic studies performed in all continents have found an

increased risk in smokers and a dose-response relationship with daily cigarettes and duration of habit.

An excessive consumption of alcoholic beverages has been associated with oral and pharyngeal cancer, with relative risks sometimes higher than those found for smokers.[26–28] The risk associated with alcohol increases with consumption[26,29–32], duration, starting age and type of alcohol beverage.[26,29,33,34] When joint consumption of alcohol and tobacco was investigated, the great majority of the literature suggests that the joint effect is multiplicative or, at least, greater than additive.[26,35]

Human papillomavirus (HPV) is another possible key factor in the etiology of oral and pharyngeal cancers[36–38]; two recent studies reported a high risk of oral and pharyngeal cancer associated with HPV16 and HPV18 (odds ratio [OR]: 61 and OR: 63).[31,39]

Metabolic genes and risk of oral and pharyngeal cancers

CYP1A1 and GSTM1 are important enzymes in the metabolism of tobacco carcinogens, which involves a balance between the activation steps mediated by the cytochrome P450 system and the detoxification steps involving GSTM1 that catalyze the conversion of the reactive electrophiles to inactive, water soluble conjugates that can be easily removed.[4]

Previous systematic reviews, meta-analysis and pooled analysis, have reported a relationship between the GSTM1 null genotype and the risk of head and neck cancer [2,40–43] but the only report that stratified the analysis for cancer site [41] found important differences in risk for oral and laryngeal tumors. No association was found for the CYP1A1 (Ile/val) polymorphism in this last assessment. Because different patterns of GST and CYP1A1 enzyme expression have been shown in oral and pharyngeal epithelium in comparison with laryngeal epithelium,[12,44] we conducted a pooled and meta-analysis to evaluate the relationship between these polymorphisms and oral and pharyngeal tumors, and we explored the combined effects of polymorphisms in these two genes along with their interaction with smoking.

Methods

Selection criteria

The association of GSTM1 and CYP1A1 with oral and pharyngeal cancers was determined by meta-analysis of publications identified in a systematic review as well as by a pooled analysis using both published and unpublished data from the Genetic Susceptibility to Environmental Carcinogens (GSEC) database. A bibliographic search was carried out in the MEDLINE and EMBASE databases to identify studies on oral and pharyngeal cancers published up to October 17, 2007. The search strategy used was: (oral or buccal or mouth or “head and neck” or pharyngeal or pharynx or oropharyngeal) and (cancer or neoplasms or tumor* or tumour* or carcinoma* or carcinogenesis) and (“glutathione transferase” or “glutathione S transferase” or “glutathione S-transferase” or GSTM1 or “cytochrome P450 enzyme system” or “cytochrome P450 CYP1A1” or CYP1A1). A manual review of the bibliographic references cited in the selected articles was undertaken to retrieve articles that might have been missed in the search. Articles were independently reviewed by two

researchers and the inclusion/exclusion was made by consensus on the basis of pre-established selection criteria. The inclusion criteria were: (1) articles published in English, Spanish, Italian, or French, and (2) studies that assessed the association between the polymorphisms of the genes under study and oral and pharyngeal cancers. The exclusion criteria were: (1) studies that included only cases; (2) studies that assessed the risk of secondary tumors, recurrence, or response to treatment; (3) studies where patients were overlapped; and (4) studies that included nasopharyngeal cases. When several studies included the same population we included only the most updated one.

The meta-analysis included only those articles that provided results that allowed for the calculation of crude risks for oral and/or pharyngeal tumors. Crude ORs were used to obtain comparable estimates across studies. For each study included the author, year of publication, country where the study was carried out, number, race, and gender of patients and controls, control source (hospital based or population based), tumor site, and matching of cases and controls were rigorously tabulated. The bibliographic search led to the identification of 56 original articles. Of these, five did not include data on the genes involved in this analysis,[45–49] three did not provide the data that was needed to calculate the ORs for oral and pharyngeal sites,[50–52] therefore were not further evaluated. Of the remaining 48 articles, 18 were excluded from the meta-analysis because they did not provide head and neck subsite specific data and subjects with laryngeal tumors were not distinguished from the oral/pharyngeal group.[53–70] Thirty publications were used in the meta-analysis including a total of 7783 subjects (3177 cases and 4606 controls). They were all case-control studies. There were two studies with overlapping subjects but reported data separately for GSTM1[71] and CYP1A1.[72] Two other studies each reported separately data for CYP1A1 Msp1 [73] and exon 7.[74] However, both publications reported overlapping data for GSTM1. Therefore, there were 26 studies with results on GSTM1 deletion, 11 on CYP1A1 Ile/Val polymorphism, 6 on CYP1A1 MspI polymorphism. Only three studies assessed the combined GSTM1/CYP1A1 MspI polymorphisms and one the GSTM1/CYP1A1 exon 7 polymorphisms.

Data collection

The pooled analysis was performed using information from the GSEC database (http://www.upci.upmc.edu/research/ccps/ccontrol/g_intro.html).[75,76] Briefly, the GSEC study is a collection of data from both published and unpublished case-control studies of metabolic gene polymorphisms and cancer. All of the investigators of the published studies for which the GSEC database did not contain their data were contacted and invited to provide their data for this specific pooled analysis. The investigators for the other studies that were excluded because of insufficient head and neck subsite specific data were also contacted. Of the 30 studies in the meta-analysis, data for 14 studies were obtained for GSTM1 and/or CYP1A1.[5,44,71,72,77–86] However, two of these studies reported CYP1A1 and GSTM1 data separately for the same subjects and were counted as a single study.[71,72] Among these 13 published studies, three provided unpublished data for CYP1A1 polymorphisms for the same subjects. The GSEC database also had one study with unpublished data for GSTM1 deletion (Foulkes et al., unpublished data) and another study with unpublished data for both GSTM1 and CYP1A1 (Ruano-Ravina et al., unpublished data). There were also seven

additional published studies that were previously excluded from the meta-analysis, which were now included in the pooled analysis because the raw data allowed us to define specific head and neck subsites.[57,59,65,66,69,70,87] Although there were 22 studies available, 2 of them reported overlapping data for GSTM1. Therefore, the pooled analysis included 21 datasets, with 9397 subjects (3130 cases and 6267 controls).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out using STATA SE (version 10) software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). For the meta-analysis, the frequency of cases and controls was extracted from each publication and study-specific crude ORs were calculated along with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The Q statistics were used to test for heterogeneity among the studies for GSTM1 deletion and CYP1A1 polymorphisms. When heterogeneity was observed a random-effects model was used to calculate the summary ORs for the combined studies, when heterogeneity was not observed a fixed-effects model was used. Publication bias was determined by performing the Eggers test. To explore the between study heterogeneity, sensitivity analyses were performed, to identify the influence of the individual studies on the combined OR. When a study was identified, the analysis was repeated excluding such study to assess if homogeneity between the remaining studies was reached.

In the pooled analysis for each gene, crude ORs for their overall association with oral/pharyngeal cancer were calculated. ORs adjusted for potential confounders were calculated using multivariable logistic regression models. Crude and adjusted ORs were also calculated for each gene, stratifying by control source (healthy versus hospital), smoking status, race and tumors site (oral cavity versus pharynx). The Mantel-Haenszel test was used to assess differences between stratum-specific ORs. From south east/south Asia publications, three of the five available studies included data on consumption of other tobacco or had tobacco chewing habits; these patients were included in the pooled analysis, but the data on other tobacco was not analyzed for the present publication. Smoking status was defined as never and ex, current, or ever smokers. All smoking data were recoded into a standardized variable: ever/never smoking. Patients were classified as never smokers if they smoked < 100 cigarettes in their lifetime, and ever smokers if defined by the individual studies either as ex, current, or ever (current and ex) smokers.

Results

Of the 30 studies included in the meta-analysis, 17 were carried out in Asian countries,[73,74,77,78,80,84,86–96] seven in American countries,[71,72,79,83,85,97,98] and six in Europe.[5,44,81,82,99,100] Hospital patients were used as controls in 16 studies.[5,44,71,72,78,81–85,88,92,93,97–99] The number of cases in the studies included in the meta-analysis for GSTM1 deletion varied from 21 to 451 patients. All studies undertaken in Europe included <150 cases, with two of these having <50 cases.[5,82] For the CYP1A1 analysis, the case numbers ranged from 45 to 446 subjects.

Population frequencies

The frequency of the GSTM1 null in the control group ranged from 24% to 58.9%, with considerable variation depending on the area the study was carried out. In Asia, large differences could be observed between countries. The frequencies in India varied from 24% to 37%,[78,80,84,90,96] in Japan from 39.8% to 48.[7,73,77,91–94], although the only study from Taiwan observed a frequency of 57.7%.[95] In South America these values ranged from 38.2% to 48.[7,71,79,97,98] and in Europe and United States from 51% to 54.8%.[5,44,81–83,99,100]

For the CYP1A1 exon 7 polymorphism, large geographical heterogeneity could be observed. The frequency of the homozygote genotype for the variant allele in the controls was absent or very low in Europe (0–6%) whereas the heterozygous genotype was very rare (6–9.3%).[44,100] In Asia, the heterozygous genotype was present in 32.4–53.4% of the control subjects.[74,87,88,93] In Brazil and Puerto Rico this polymorphism was found in 19–30% of the subjects.[72,79,85] The combined frequency of the homozygous and heterozygous genotype of the variant allele for the single study in the United States was 7.4%.[83] The CYP1A1 MspI heterozygous variant allele (m1/m2) was present in 30–59.5% of the Asian control population.[73,84,86,88,92] The only European study that assessed this polymorphism reported a frequency of 9.3% for the variant allele.[44] The homozygous allele was very rare in all populations (1–10.6%).

Meta Analysis

The overall meta-OR for GSTM1 null was not reported because of the large heterogeneity between studies (Q test P value < 0.001; data not shown). We performed a sensitivity analysis and identified one study that appeared to influence the overall meta-OR,[80] however, heterogeneity was still observed after exclusion of this study. In an effort to further explore the observed heterogeneity, we stratified the studies by race. The study-specific and meta-ORs for GSTM1 are shown for whites, Asians, and others (i.e., studies that did not specify ethnicity or included more than one ethnic group) in Table 1. There was no increased risk of oral and pharyngeal cancer with the GSTM1 deletion among whites (OR: 1.1, 95% CI: 0.9–1.3), and no evidence of publication bias (Eggers test P value = 0.19). For Asians and all other ethnic groups and studies with mixed populations, there was still large heterogeneity between studies (Q test, P value < 0.001); therefore, the overall meta-OR was not reported although there was no evidence of publication bias (Eggers test P value = 0.77 for Asian studies and 0.80 for other studies). Sensitivity analysis of the Asian studies identified a data set that seemed to influence the meta-ORs. When this study was excluded, homogeneity was observed among the remaining studies (Q test, P value = 0.186). There was a statistically significant increase in the risk of oral and pharyngeal cancer with the GSTM1 deletion (OR: 1.6, 95% CI: 1.3–2.0). There was no evidence of publication bias (Eggers test P value = 0.819). For the remaining studies (i.e., studies that did not specify ethnicity or included more than one ethnic group), heterogeneity was still observed even after exclusion of the outlier,[80] (Q test, P value 0.005); this was likely due to the mixed populations grouped in this category.

The 15 studies with data reported on CYP1A1 MspI and/or exon7 (Ile/Val) are summarized in Table 2. There were 11 studies overall with CYP1A1 (Ile/Val) data and 7 studies with

CYP1A1 MspI data. Nine studies reported data on the associations between the Ile/Val polymorphism and risk of oral and pharyngeal cancers, 6 studies reported associations for the Val/Val polymorphism, and 10 reported associations for all variants combined (i.e., Ile/Val and Val/Val). For each of these groups, the studies were statistically significantly heterogeneous (Q test, P value < 0.001), therefore no overall meta-ORs were reported. There was no evidence of publication bias (Eggers test P value: Ile/Val = 0.945, Val/Val = 0.625, and Ile/Val + Val/Val = 0.199). Sensitivity analysis of these studies identified a data set that appeared to influence the meta-ORs. However, exclusion of this study did not resolve the heterogeneity between the remaining studies. The observed heterogeneity is likely due to misclassification, because most of the earlier studies used a laboratory method that may not accurately distinguish between the exon 7 variant alleles.

Among the five studies with CYP1A1 MspI data, all except for one study reported the associations for the m1m2, m2m2, and combined variants (m1m2 + m2m2). The studies that reported data for the m1m2 and combined variants (m1m2 + m2m2) were statistically significantly heterogeneous; therefore the meta-ORs were not reported. No publication bias was observed (Eggers test P value: m1m2 = 0.389 and m1m2 + m2m2 = 0.339). There was an increased risk of oral and pharyngeal cancers for patients with the m2m2 variant (meta-OR: 1.9, 95% CI: 1.4–2.7). There was no evidence of publication bias (Eggers test P value: m2m2 = 0.595). Sensitivity analyses identified a study that influenced the meta-ORs for the m1m2 and combined variants (m1m2 + m2m2).92 After excluding this data set, homogeneity was obtained; no association for the m1m2 or combined variants and oral and pharyngeal cancer was observed (m1m2 + m2m2) (m1m2, Q test, P value = 0.625, OR: 0.9, 95% CI: 0.8–1.1, m1m2 + m2m2, Q test, P value = 0.798, OR: 1.0, 95% CI: 0.9–1.2). There was no evidence of publication bias (Eggers test P value: m1m2 = 0.628, m1m2 + m2m2 = 0.407).

Only one study evaluated the interaction between the GSTM1 null and CYP1A1 (Ile/Val) polymorphism, and three evaluated the interaction between the GSTM1 null and CYP1A1 MspI polymorphism (Table 3). The overall meta-OR for GSTM1 null + m1m1 was not reported because the studies were statistically significantly heterogeneous (Q test P value = 0.002). There seemed to be an increased risk of oral and pharyngeal cancers for the GSTM1 wt + m1m2 or m2m2 (meta-OR: 1.6, 95% CI: 1.0–2.7) and the GSTM1 null + m1m2 or m2m2 (meta-OR: 3.0, 95% CI: 1.8–5.0). However, the association was not statistically significant for all other polymorphic isoforms. There was no publication bias observed for any of these analyses.

Pooled Analysis

The GSEC pooled analysis included 21 studies (3130 cases and 6267 controls). Significant heterogeneity was observed between the 20 studies that contained data for GSTM1. Similar to the meta-analysis, one study seemed to contribute to the heterogeneity.[80] Analyses were then stratified by various covariates. There was no association between the GSTM1 deletion and oral and pharyngeal cancers (Table 4), even when the analysis was limited to healthy controls (Adjusted odds ratio [AdjOR]: 1.1, 95% CI: 0.8–1.4). A marginal statistically significant association was observed for current smokers (AdjOR: 1.2, 95% CI: 1.0–1.4) or

ever smokers (AdjOR: 1.1, 95% CI: 1.0–1.3), but not in never smokers (AdjOR: 1.0, 95% CI: 0.8–1.2). The differences observed between the stratum-specific ORs for smoking were not statistically significant (P > 0.1) (data not shown). The datasets for never, ex, and current were homogeneous. (Q test, P value > 0.05) but was not for ever smokers (Q test, P value = 0.018). The GSTM1 deletion was statistically significantly associated with oral and pharyngeal cancer in African Americans and Africans (OR: 1.9, 95% CI: 1.1–3.3), but was no longer statistically significant after adjusting for confounding variables (AdjOR: 1.7, 95% CI: 0.9–3.3). There was no association between GSTM1 deletion and oral and pharyngeal cancer risk in white, Asian populations, or other ethnic groups.

The adjusted summary OR for the association of CYP1A1 MspI polymorphism and oral and pharyngeal cancers (Table 5) was not significant for the m1m2 genotype but was for the m2m2 genotype (AdjOR: 2.0, 95% CI: 1.3–3.1). Among oral and pharyngeal cancers, there was a 2-fold likelihood of having the m2m2 genotype compared with the controls in never smokers (AdjOR: 1.8, 95% CI: 1.1–2.9) but not in current or ever smokers. There was a statistically significant difference when the stratum-specific ORs for never and current smokers were compared (P value = 0.019). The association of the m2m2 variant also differed when limited to healthy controls (AdjOR- healthy controls: 1.2, 95% CI: 0.7–2.2) versus hospital controls: 1.7, 95% CI: 1.1–2.7). A statistically significant association of the m2m2 genotype was observed for white (AdjOR: 2.1, 95% CI: 1.4–3.3) but not for other ethnic groups, although these were a mixed population.

In contrast, there was no association between the CYP1A1 (exon7) variant and oral and pharyngeal cancers regardless of the type of controls used in the analysis (Table 6). However, there was a statistically significant association of the Val/Val genotype for ever smokers (AdjOR: 2.2, 95% CI: 1.1–4.5). Asian cases seemed to have almost a 4-fold likelihood of having the Val/Val genotype when compared with the controls; however, this was only marginally statistically significant (AdjOR: 3.5, 95% CI: 1.0–12.6).

A marginal increased risk of cancer with the GSTM1 deletion was observed when examining oral cavity (AdjOR: 1.1, 95% CI: 1.0–1.2) and pharyngeal (AdjOR: 1.3, 95% CI: 1.1–1.6) cases independently. Among subjects with oral cavity tumors, no associations were observed for CYP1A1 (exon7) but for CYP1A1 MspI polymorphisms there was a marginal association; the m2m2 genotype was significantly associated with oral cavity tumors (AdjOR: 2.0, 95% CI: 1.3–3.1) (Table 7). We were unable to determine the association of this variant genotype for subjects with pharyngeal tumors. When evaluating alcohol use, a marginal increased risk of cancer with GSTM1 deletion was observed for both never and ever drinkers (never drinkers, AdjOR: 1.2, 95% CI: 1.0–1.5, ever drinkers, AdjOR: 1.2, 95% CI: 1.0–1.3) (Table 4). There was no association of the CYP1A1 (exon7) polymorphisms with oral and pharyngeal cancer according to alcohol consumption (Table 5), but an increase risk associated with the CYP1A1 m2m2 genotype in never drinkers only was observed (AdjOR: 2.6, 95% CI: 1.5–4.3) (Table 6).

Complete GSTM1 and CYP1A1 genotype

The combination of the CYP1A1 MspI and CYP1A1 (exon7) polymorphisms was not associated with the risk of oral and pharyngeal cancers (data not shown). The combination

of the GSTM1 null plus the CYP1A1 (m1m2) variant genotypes increased the risk of oral and pharyngeal cancers (AdjOR: 1.3, 95% CI: 1.0–1.7), similar observations were made when the homozygous CYP1A1 variant (m2m2) was considered (AdjOR: 1.9, 95% CI: 1.0–3.9—Table 8). This marginal association was also observed in never smokers, but not in current or ever smokers. Similarly, the GSTM1 null plus the CYP1A1 m1m1 or m1m2 genotypes were marginally associated with the risk of oral and pharyngeal cancers in never smokers (AdjOR: 1.4, 95% CI: 0.9–2.0) but not in ever smokers (AdjOR: 1.3, 95% CI: 0.8–2.2). When oral cavity and pharyngeal cancer case subjects were examined independently, the interaction between the GSTM1 null and CYP1A1 MspI polymorphism was observed for oral cancer but not for cancer of the pharynx (Table 8).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis and pooled analysis carried out to assess the role of GSTM1 and CYP1A1 in oral and pharyngeal cancers and to evaluate potential gene-gene and gene-environment joint effects. The results obtained in this study support the hypothesis that GSTM1 deletion and certain CYP1A1 polymorphisms may play a role in the carcinogenesis process leading to oral and pharyngeal cancers. Both the meta-analysis and pooled analysis showed a significant association between oral and pharyngeal cancer and the homozygous variant genotype of the CYP1A1 MspI polymorphism. In addition, the data suggest that the combined effect of GSTM1 and CYP1A1 may be associated with oral and pharyngeal cancers. In the meta-analysis, the GSTM1 null genotype was not found to be associated with oral and pharyngeal tumors in whites. Sensitivity analysis of the Asian studies identified a data set that determined the heterogeneity. This result suggests that differences in oral and pharyngeal cancer risk factors may be present according to the geographic origin of the subjects. Ethnic differences in the association between metabolic polymorphisms and tobacco related cancers may be related to gene-gene interactions, different linkages to the polymorphisms determining oral and pharyngeal cancer risk, and different lifestyles. For example other forms of tobacco in addition to tobacco smoke, such as chewed tobacco with areca nut or wrapped in betel quid or pan [101] are used in certain geographic areas. We were unable to evaluate the other ethnic groups because of heterogeneity among the studies included in this very mixed stratum.

Previous meta-analysis and pooled analysis have reported an association between the GSTM1 null genotype and head and neck tumors,[40–42] but did not analyze ethnic specific or subsite specific differences. We were able to evaluate ethnic specific and subsite specific differences in the pooled analysis. We confirmed that there was no association of the GSTM1 null genotype with oral and pharyngeal cancers in whites. In contrast to the meta-analysis, there was also no association observed for Asians (OR: 1.2, 95% CI: 0.8–1.8). This difference in result may be attributed to differences in the number of subjects in the meta-analysis and pooled analysis (2313 Asian subjects in the meta-analysis versus 681 in the pooled analysis). Although not statistically significant, African American and African populations seemed to be almost two times more likely to have the GSTM1 null genotype. (Adj OR: 1.7, 95% CI: 0.9–3.3). This lack of statistical significance might also be attributed to the small number of African American and African subjects included in this pooled analysis.

Although the head and neck tumors have been historically grouped together because of the similar risk factors involved in their etiology, several authors suggest that the role of genetic susceptibility might be different in the head and neck subsites.[44,83,92,94] The oral cavity, pharynx, and larynx are unique structures with different functions and possibly different sensitivities to carcinogens, especially alcohol and tobacco. Studies suggest that HPV may be the etiologic agent involved in most pharyngeal tumors (particularly those in the oropharynx).[102,103] The presence of HPV along with the polymorphisms of the genes in question would certainly be relevant to our analysis. However, these data were not available in the studies included in this meta-analysis and pooled analysis. In the pooled analysis, a difference in risk for oral and pharyngeal tumors was seen for the CYP1A1 MspI variant, with oral cavity tumors statistically significantly associated with the m1m2 and m2m2 variant genotypes. We also observed that the combination of GSTM1 deletion and CYP1A1 MspI variant was significantly associated with oral cavity cancer but not with pharyngeal cancer.

There is great discrepancy in the literature as to the association of CYP1A1 genotypes with various smoking related cancers.[12,20,41,43,104] The pooled analysis results confirm the association found in the meta-analysis for the variant allele of the CYP1A1 MspI polymorphism (m2/m2) and oral and pharyngeal cancers. Regarding the CYP1A1 exon 7 polymorphism, the pooled analysis revealed that the association of the Val/Val genotype with oral and pharyngeal cancers was limited only to ever smokers. One caveat is the possibility that individuals could have been misclassified because most of the earlier studies used a laboratory method that may not accurately distinguish between the exon 7 variant alleles having a C2455 base change and another recently described allele having a C2453 base change.[20]

The pooled analysis showed a role of tobacco consumption on the association between GSTM1 deletion and oral and pharyngeal cancer, that could be explained by the involvement of this enzyme in the metabolism of PAHs. However, there is no consistent evidence supporting this association. Some studies have found a higher level of DNA adducts and chromosome damage in lymphocytes of coke oven workers, bus drivers and tobacco smokers who lack the GSTM1 gene,[24,105–108] whereas others failed to find a significant relationship.[109,110] The same can be said for CYP1A1 polymorphisms.[24,109,111,112] When we stratified the pooled analysis by smoking status we also observed that combined effects of GSTM1 null and CYP1A1 MspI were only present among nonsmokers. This might seem controversial because it has been demonstrated that smokers with high activating CYP1A1/low deactivating GSTM1 genotypes tend to have higher benzo[a] pyrene diolepoxide-DNA adducts.[24,113,114] It has been suggested that the role of CYP1A1 and GSTM1 on lung cancer risk might be more important at low levels of exposure, but these findings need further investigation.[43] Other risk factors such as alcohol must be into account. Alcohol might act as a solvent for other carcinogens, or perhaps generate and exacerbate coincident inflammation and modify the effect of susceptibility for tobacco.[8,115] It might also be recommendable to assess the combined effects among other polymorphisms of the GST and CYP genes (GSTM3, GSTT1, GSTP1, CYP1A2), and of other genes involved in the detoxification of tobacco and alcohol such as N-

acetyltransferases (NAT1, NAT2), microsomal epoxide hydrolase, UDP-glucuronosyltransferases, and alcohol dehydrogenase.[20,41,100,116–120]

The presence of heterogeneity and/or publication bias may compromise the interpretation of the meta-analyses and result in an erroneous and potentially misleading conclusion. We performed sensitivity and stratified analyses to identify the sources of heterogeneity. Potential sources of heterogeneity include ethnic group, sample size, tumor location, case-control recruitment and tobacco and alcohol consumption, most of which were easily evaluated in the pooled analysis. A general limitation to the results obtained with both the meta-analysis and the pooled analysis is the potential selection bias that may have been introduced by a poorly defined study base. Some of the publications do not provide sufficient details on the characteristics of the cases and controls, the way controls have been recruited or even the period where this occurred.[66,77,79,85,88,92,94] In some hospital-based studies information on the causes for hospital admission were not provided. Nevertheless, we were able to evaluate the influence of control group source in this analysis.

There were 18 published studies that were excluded from the meta-analysis because they included laryngeal cases and did not provide site-specific data.[53–69] This unavoidable exclusion was a major loss of the literature. Efforts were made to obtain these datasets for inclusion in the pooled analysis; we were successful in obtaining 6 of the 18 datasets.[57,59,65,66,69,87] However, the potential for publication bias in the pooled analysis cannot be dismissed because the datasets did not entirely represent all of the published studies. Nonetheless, we did not observe any evidence of publication bias for the overall associations of GSTM1 or CYP1A1 with oral and pharyngeal cancers.

An important shortcoming to the investigation of the gene-environment effects is the possibility of misclassification of exposure. The categorization of individuals as never/ex/current/ever smokers could be inaccurate and not sufficiently standardized across studies.[77,79,81,88,92,94] Misclassification of exposure could lead to biased results so this must be taken into account when interpreting the findings. It would be preferable to further characterize tobacco consumption as lifetime exposure (pack-years), but in the present meta-analysis and pooled analysis this was not possible because of the heterogeneous categorization of the smoking habits. In the majority of studies there was no information of alcohol intake, thus making it impossible to stratify for this factor.

Lab methods

The methods for determining the gene polymorphisms discussed in this review are described in each article. The majority of the studies used genomic DNA extracted from lymphocytes with PCR as the method for genotyping.

Conclusions and Population testing

Overall, the association of GSTM1 deletion and oral and pharyngeal cancers may be dependent upon ethnicity. A possible association observed for Asians and African American/African groups and not for whites cannot be ruled out. The CYP1A1 exon 7 polymorphism was associated with oral and pharyngeal cancer only for ever smokers, when studied independently in the pooled analysis, although the CYP1A1 MspI variant

homozygote allele (m2/m2) was significantly associated with this cancer in both the meta-analysis and pooled analysis. When analyzing the complete genotype of GSTM1 deletion and CYP1A1 MspI polymorphism, the risk of oral and pharyngeal cancers seems to be higher for never smokers than for ever smokers. It should be highlighted that the results of the pooled analysis varied according to the type of controls considered, indicating that a selection bias might be present in some studies and therefore the results should be considered with caution. There is no indication at this point for population testing of these genes as risk factors for oral and pharyngeal cancer.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by NIH grants P50CA097190 (Head and neck SPORE: Project 1) and the ECNIS project, Grant number: EU Contract 513943 (to E.T.) and 5P50CA097190 (Head and neck SPORE: minority supplement) (to C.C.R.). This work was also supported in part by the 1KL2 RR024154–02 (to C.C.R.), from the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), a component of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research. The authors acknowledge Ms. Barbara Stadterman (GSEC administrator), who managed the various datasets included in the pooled analysis, a significant contribution to this published work. The authors acknowledge the dataset contributions of Christiane Coutelle, PhD.

References

1. Hayes JD, Pulford DJ. The glutathione S-transferase supergene family: regulation of GST and the contribution of the isoenzymes to cancer chemoprevention and drug resistance. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol. 1995; 30:445–600. Context Link. [PubMed: 8770536]
2. Geisler SA, Olshan AF. GSTM1, GSTT1, and the risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a mini-huge review. Am J Epidemiol. 2001; 154:95–105. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 11447041]
3. Coles B, Ketterer B. The role of glutathione transferase in chemical carcinogenesis. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol. 1990; 25:47–70. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 2182291]
4. Shimada T, Martin MV, Pruess-Schwartz D, Marnett LJ, et al. Roles of individual human cytochrome P-450 enzymes in the bioactivation of benzo(a)pyrene, 7,8-dihydroxy-7,8-dihydrobenzo(a)pyrene, and other dihydrodiol derivatives of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Cancer Res. 1989; 49:6304–6312. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 2509067]
5. Coutelle C, Ward PJ, Fleury B, Quattrocchi P, et al. Laryngeal and oropharyngeal cancer, and alcohol dehydrogenase 3 and glutathione S-transferase M1 polymorphisms. Hum Genet. 1997; 99:319–325. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9050916]
6. Rebbeck TR. Molecular epidemiology of the human glutathione S-transferase genotypes GSTM1 and GSTT1 in cancer susceptibility. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 1997; 6:733–743. Bibliographic Links [Context Link].
7. Widersten M, Pearson WR, Engstrom A, Mannervik B. Heterologous expression of the allelic variant mu-class glutathione S-transferase. Biochem J. 1991; 276:519–524. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 2049077]
8. Strange RC, Fryer AA. The glutathione S-transferases: influence of polymorphism on cancer susceptibility. IARC Sci Publ. 1999:231–244. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10493261]
9. Sundberg K, Dreij K, Seidel A, Jernstrom B. Glutathione conjugation and DNA adduct formation of dibenzo[a]pyrene and benzo[a]pyrene diol epoxides in V79 cells stably expressing different human glutathione transferases. Toxicology. 2002; 15:170–179. [Context Link].
10. Hasler JA. Pharmacogenetics of cytochromes P450. Mol Aspects Med. 1999; 20:12–137. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10575648]
11. Nebert DW, Russell DW. Clinical importance of the cytochrome P450. Lancet. 2002; 12:1155–1162. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12387968]

12. Bartsch H, Nair U, Risch A, Rojas M, et al. Genetic polymorphism of CYP genes, alone or in combination as a risk modifier of tobacco related cancers. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2000; 9:3–28. Bibliographic Links [Context Link].
13. Romkes M, White C, Johnson J, Eibling D, et al. Expression of cytochrome P450 mRNA in human lung, head and neck tumors and normal adjacent tissues. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res. 1996; 37:105. [Context Link].
14. Quan T, Reiners JJ Jr, Bell AO, Hong N, et al. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of ±benzo-a-pyrene-trans-7,8-dihydrodiol in CYP1A1-expressing human fibroblasts quantitatively correlate with CYP1A1 expression level. Carcinogenesis. 1994; 15:1827–1832. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 7923575]
15. Hilderbrand CE, Gonzalez FJ, McBride OW, Nebert DW. Assignment of the human 2,3,7,8-tetracholorodibenzo-p-dioxin inducible cytochrome P1–450 gene on chromosome 15. Nucleic Acids Res. 1985; 13:2009–2016. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 4000952]
16. Oscarson M, Ingelman S. CYPalleles: a web page for nomenclature of human cytochrome P450 alleles. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet. 2002; 17:491–495. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 15618703]
17. Ingelman-Sundberg M, Oscarson M, Daly AK, Garte SJ, et al. Human cytochrome P-450 (CYP) genes: a web page for the nomenclature of alleles. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2001; 10:1307–1308. [Context Link].
18. Vineis, P.; Malats, N.; Lang, M.; d'Errico, A., et al. Metabolic polymorphisms and susceptibility to cancer (IARC Scientific Publications 148). Lyon, France: IARC; 1999. [Context Link]
19. Hayashi S, Watanabe J, Nakachi K, Kawajiri K. Genetic linkage of lung cancer associated MspI polymorphisms with amino acid replacement in the heme binding region of human cytochrome P4501A1 gene. J Biochem. 1991; 110:407–411. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 1722803]
20. Cascorbi I, Brockmoller J, Roots I. A C4887A polymorphism in exon 7 of human CYP1A1: population frequency, mutation linkages and impact on lung cancer susceptibility. Cancer Res. 1996; 56:4965–4969. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 8895751]
21. Kiyohara C, Hirohata T, Inutsuka S. The relationship between aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase and polymorphisms in the CYP1A1 gene. Jpn J Cancer Res. 1996; 87:18–24. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 8609043]
22. Zhang ZY, Fasco MJ, Huang L, Guengerich FP, et al. Characterization of purified human recombinant cytochrome P4501A1 Ile 462 and Val 462: assessment of a role for the rare allele in carcinogenesis. Cancer Res. 1996; 56:3926–3933. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 8752159]
23. Crofts F, Taioli E, Trachman J, Cosma GN, et al. Functional significance of different human CYP1A1 genotypes. Carcinogenesis. 1994; 15:2961–2963. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 8001264]
24. Rojas M, Alexandrov K, Cascorbi I, Brockmoller J. High benzo[a]pyrene diol-epoxide DNA adduct levels in lung and blood cells from individuals with combined CYP1A1 Msp/MspI-GSTM1*0/*0 genotypes. Pharmacogenetics. 1998; 8:109–118. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10022748]
25. Ferlay, J.; Bray, F.; Pisani, P.; Parkin, DM. GLOBOCAN 2002 cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence worldwide IARC CancerBase, Version 2.0 No 5. Lyon: IARCPress: 2004. [Context Link]
26. Bundgaard T, Wildt J, Frydenberg M, Elbrond O, et al. Case-control study of squamous cell cancer of the oral cavity in Denmark. Cancer Causes Control. 1995; 6:57–67. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 7718736]
27. La Vecchia C, Franceschi S, Favero A, Talamini R, et al. Alcohol intake and cancer of the upper digestive tract. Pattern of risk in Italy is different from that in Denmark. BMJ. 1999; 318:1289–1290. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10231282]
28. Groenbaek M, Becker U, Johansen D, Tonnesen H, et al. Population based cohort study of the association between alcohol intake and cancer of the upper digestive tract. BMJ. 1998; 317:844–847. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9748175]

29. Castellsague X, Quintana MJ, Martínez MC, Nieto A, et al. The role of type of tobacco and type of alcoholic beverage in oral carcinogenesis. Int J Cancer. 2004; 108:741–749. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 14696101]
30. Moreno-López LA, Esparza-Gómez GC, Gónzalez-Navarro A, Cero-Lapiedra R, et al. Risk of oral cancer associated with tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and oral hygiene: a case-control study in Spain. Oral Oncol. 2000; 36:170–174. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10745168]
31. Rosenquist K. Risk factors in oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma: a population based case-control study in southern Sweden. Swed Dent J Suppl. 2005; 179:1–66. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 16335030]
32. Altieri A, Bosetti C, Gallus S, Franceschi S, et al. Wine, beer and spirits and risks of oral and pharyngeal cancer: a case-control study from Italy and Switzerland. Oral Oncol. 2004; 40:904–909. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 15380168]
33. Lissowska J, Pilarska A, Pilarski P, Samolczyk-Wanyura D, et al. Smoking, alcohol, diet, dentition and sexual practices in the epidemiology of oral cancer in Poland. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2003; 12:25–33. Ovid Full Text Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12548107]
34. Garrote LF, Herrero S, Reyes RM, Vaccarella S, et al. Risk factors for cancer of oral cavity and oro-pharynx in Cuba. Br J Cancer. 2001; 85:46–54. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 11437401]
35. La Vecchia C, Tavani A, Franceschi S, Levi F, et al. Epidemiology and prevention of oral cancer. Oral Oncol. 1997; 33:302–312. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9415327]
36. Herrero R, Castellsague X, Pawlita M, Lissowska J, et al. Human papillomavirus and oral cancer: the International Agency for Research on cancer multicentre study. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2003; 95:1772–1783. Ovid Full Text Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 14652239]
37. Maden C, Beckmann AM, Thomas DB, McKnight B, et al. Human papillomavirus, herpes simplex viruses, and risk of oral cancer in men. Am J Epidemiol. 1992; 135:1093–1102. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 1321561]
38. Mork J, Glattre E, Hallmans G, Jellum E, et al. Human papillomavirus infection as a risk factor for squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. N Engl J Med. 2001; 344:1125–1131. Ovid Full Text Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 11297703]
39. Hansson BG, Rosenquist K, Antonsson A, Wennerberg J, et al. Strong association between infection with human papillomavirus and oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma: a population-based case-control study in southern Sweden. Acta Otolaryngol. 2005; 125:1337–1344. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 16303684]
40. Tripathy CB, Roy N. Meta-analysis of glutathione S-transferase M1 genotype and risk toward head and neck cancer. Head Neck. 2006; 28:217–224. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 16302194]
41. Hashibe M, Brennan P, Strange RC, Bhisey R, et al. Meta- and pooled analyses of GSTM1, GSTT1, GSTP1, and CYP1A1 genotypes and risk of head and neck cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2003; 12:1509–1517. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 14693745]
42. Ye Z, Song H, Guo Y. Glutathione S-transferase M1, T1 status and the risk of head and neck cancer: a meta-analysis. J Med Genet. 2004; 41:360–365. Ovid Full Text Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 15121774]
43. Hung RJ, Boffetta P, Brockmoller J, Butkiewicz D, et al. CYP1A1 and GSTM1 genetic polymorphisms and lung cancer risk in caucasian non-smokers: a pooled analysis. Carcinogenesis. 2003; 24:875–882. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12771031]
44. Matthias C, Bockmuhl U, Jahnke V, Jones PW, et al. Polymorphism in cytochrome P450 CYP2D6, CYP1A1, CYP2E1 and glutathione S-transferase, GSTM1, GSTM3, GSTT1 and susceptibility to tobacco-related cancers: studies in upper aerodigestive tract cancers. Pharmacogenetics. 1998; 8:91–100. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10022746]
45. Jourenkova-Mironova N, Mitrunen K, Bouchardy C, Dayer P, et al. High-activity microsomal epoxide hydrolase genotypes and the risk of oral, pharynx, and larynx cancers. Cancer Res. 2000; 60:534–536. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10676631]

46. Mulder TPJ, Manni JJ, Roelofs HM, Peters WH, et al. Glutathione S-transferases and glutathione in human head and neck cancer. Carcinogenesis. 1995; 16:619–624. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 7697822]
47. Worrall SF, Corrigan M, High A, Starr D, et al. Susceptibility and outcome in oral cancer: preliminary data showing an association with polymorphism in cytochrome P450 CYP2D6. Pharmacogenetics. 1998; 8:433–439. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9825835]
48. Matthias C, Bockmuhl U, Jahnke V, Harries LW, et al. The glutathione S-transferase GSTP1 polymorphism: effects on susceptibility to oral/pharyngeal and laryngeal carcinomas. Pharmacogenetics. 1998; 8:1–6. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9511175]
49. Wenghoefer M, Pesch B, Harth V, Broede P, et al. Association between head and neck cancer and microsomal epoxide hydrolase genotypes. Arch Toxicol. 2003; 77:37–41. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12491039]
50. Cabelguenne A, Loriot MA, Stucker I, Blons H, et al. Glutathione-associated enzymes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and response to cisplatin-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Int J Cancer. 2001; 93:725–730. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 11477586]
51. Lee E, Huang Y, Zhao B, Seow-Choen F, et al. Genetic polymorphism of conjugating enzymes and cancer risk: GSTM1, GSTT1, NAT1 and NAT2. J Toxicol Sci. 1998; 23:140–142. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9760451]
52. Amador AG, Righi PD, Radpour S, Everett ET, et al. Polymorphisms of xenobiotic metabolizing genes in oropharyngeal carcinoma. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2002; 93:440–445. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12029283]
53. Konig-Greger D, Riechelmann H, Wittich U, Gronau S. Genotype and phenotype of glutathione-S-transferase in patients with head and neck carcinoma. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2004; 130:718–725. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 15195058]
54. Evans AJ, Henner WD, Eilers KM, Montalto MA, et al. Polymorphisms of GSTT1 and related genes in head and neck cancer risk. Head Neck. 2004; 26:63–70. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 14724908]
55. Park JY, Stimson PS, Lazarus P. Epoxide hydrolase genotype and orolaryngeal cancer risk: interaction with GSTM1 genotype. Oral Oncol. 2003; 39:483–490. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12747973]
56. McWilliams JE, Evans AJ, Beer TM, Andersen PE, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in head and neck cancer risk. Head Neck. 2000; 22:609–617. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10941163]
57. Cheng L, Sturgis EM, Eicher SA, Char D, et al. Glutathione-S-transferase polymorphisms and risk of squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Int J Cancer. 1999; 84:220–224. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10371337]
58. Olshan AF, Weissler MC, Watson MA, Bell DA. GSTM1, GSTT1, GSTP1, CYP1A1, and NAT1 polymorphisms, tobacco use, and the risk of head and neck cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2000; 9:185–191. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10698480]
59. Oude Ophuis MB, van Lieshout EM, Roelofs HM, Peters WH, et al. Glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 and cytochrome P4501A1 polymorphisms in relation to the risk for benign and malignant head and neck lesions. Cancer. 1998; 82:936–943. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9486585]
60. Gaudet MM, Olshan AF, Poole C, Weissler MC, et al. Diet, GSTM1 and GSTT1 and head and neck cancer. Carcinogenesis. 2004; 25:735–740. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 14688020]
61. Gronau S, Koenig-Greger D, Jerg M, Riechelmann H. Gene polymorphisms in detoxification enzymes as susceptibility factor for head and neck cancer? Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2003; 128:674–680. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12748560]
62. Gonzalez MV, Alvarez V, Pello MF, Menendez MJ, et al. Genetic polymorphism of N-acetyltransferase-2, glutathione S-transferase-M1, and cytochromes P450IIE1 and P450IID6 in the susceptibility to head and neck cancer. J Clin Pathol. 1998; 51:294–298. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9659241]

63. Trizna Z, Clayman GL, Spitz MR, Briggs KL, et al. Glutathione s-transferase genotypes as risk factors for head and neck cancer. Am J Surg. 1995; 170:499–501. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 7485742]
64. Ko Y, Abel J, Harth V, Brode P, et al. Association of CYP1B1 codon 432 mutant allele in head and neck squamous cell cancer is reflected by somatic mutations of p53 in tumor tissue. Cancer Res. 2001; 61:4398–4404. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 11389067]
65. Boccia S, Cadoni G, Sayed-Tabatabaei FA, Volante M, et al. CYP1A1, CYP2E1, GSTM1, GSTT1, EPHX1 exons 3 and 4, and NAT2 polymorphisms, smoking, consumption of alcohol and fruit and vegetables and risk of head and neck cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2008; 134:93–100. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 17611777]
66. Biselli JM, de Angelo Calsaverini Leal RC, Ruiz MT, Goloni-Bertollo EM, et al. GSTT1 and GSTM1 polymorphism in cigarette smokers with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Rev Bras Otorrinolaringol (Engl Ed). 2006; 72:654–658. [Context Link].
67. Goloni-Bertollo EM, Biselli JM, Correa LC, Maniglia JV, et al. Evaluation of the influence of GSTT1 and GSTM1 null genotypes in head and neck carcinogenesis. Rev Assoc Med Bras. 2006; 52:365–368. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 17160315]
68. Peters ES, McClean MD, Marsit CJ, Luckett B, et al. Glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms and the synergy of alcohol and tobacco in oral, pharyngeal, and laryngeal carcinoma. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2006; 15:2196–2202. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 17119046]
69. Capoluongo E, Almadori G, Concolino P, Bussu F, et al. GSTT1 and GSTM1 allelic polymorphisms in head and neck cancer patients from Italian Lazio Region. Clin Chim Acta. 2007; 376:174–178. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 17005168]
70. Park LY, Muscat JE, Kaur T, Schantz SP, et al. Comparison of GSTM polymorphisms and risk for oral cancer between African-Americans and Caucasians. Pharmacogenetics. 2000; 10:123–131. Ovid Full Text Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10762000]
71. Hatagima A, Costa EC, Marques CF, Koifman RJ, et al. Glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms and oral cancer: a case-control study in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Oral Oncol. 2008; 44:200–207. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 17418613]
72. Marques CFS, Koifman S, Koifman RJ, Boffetta P, et al. Influence of CYP1A1, CYP2E1, GSTM3 and NAT2 genetic polymorphisms in oral cancer susceptibility: results from a case-control study in Rio de Janeiro. Oral Oncol. 2006; 42:632–637. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 16488179]
73. Sato M, Sato T, Izumo T, Amagasa T. Genetic polymorphism of drug-metabolizing enzymes and susceptibility to oral cancer. Carcinogenesis. 1999; 20:1927–1931. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10506106]
74. Sato M, Sato T, Izumo T, Amagasa T. Genetically high susceptibility to oral squamous cell carcinoma in terms of combined genotyping of CYP1A1 and GSTM1 genes. Oral Oncol. 2000; 36:267–271. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10793329]
75. Taioli E. International collaborative study on genetic susceptibility to environmental carcinogens. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 1999; 8:727–728. Bibliographic Links [Context Link].
76. Gaspari L, Marinelli D, Taioli E. International collaborative study on Genetic Susceptibility to Environmental Carcinogens (GSEC): an update. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2001; 204:39–42. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 11725343]
77. Katoh T, Kaneko S, Ohya R, Higashi K, et al. Glutathione S-transferase M1 gene polymorphism in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma in relation to cigarette smoking. Asian J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1995; 7:13–17. [Context Link].
78. Sikdar N, Paul RR, Roy B. Glutathione S-transferase M3 (A/A) genotype as a risk factor for oral cancer and leukoplakia among Indian tobacco smokers. Int J Cancer. 2004; 109:95–101. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 14735473]
79. Xie H, Hou L, Shields PG, Winn DM, et al. Metabolic polymorphisms, smoking, and oral cancer in Puerto Rico. Oncol Res. 2004; 14:315–320. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 15206494]

80. Buch SC, Notani PN, Bhisey RA. Polymorphism at GSTM1, GSTM3 and GSTT1 gene loci and susceptibility to oral cancer in an Indian population. Carcinogenesis. 2002; 23:803–807. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12016153]
81. Jourenkova-Mironova N, Voho A, Bouchardy C, Wikman H, et al. Glutathione S-transferase GSTM1, GSTM3, GSTP1 and GSTT1 genotypes and the risk of smoking-related oral and pharyngeal cancers. Int J Cancer. 1999; 81:44–48. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10077151]
82. Deakin M, Elder J, Hendrickse C, Peckham D, et al. Glutathione S-transferase GSTT1 genotype and susceptibility to cancer: studies of interactions with GSTM1 in lung, oral, gastric and colorectal cancers. Carcinogenesis. 1996; 17:881–884. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 8625505]
83. Park JY, Muscat JE, Ren Q, Schantz SP, et al. CYP1A1 and GSTM1 polymorphisms and oral cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 1997; 6:791–797. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9332761]
84. Anantharaman D, Chaubal PM, Kannan S, Bhisey RA, et al. Susceptibility to oral cancer by genetic polymorphisms at CYP1A1, GSTM1 and GSTT1 loci among Indians: tobacco exposure as a risk modulator. Carcinogenesis. 2007; 28:1455–1462. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 17307803]
85. Leichsenring A, Losi-Guembarovski R, Maciel ME, Losi-Guembarovski A, et al. CYP1A1 and GSTP1 polymorphisms in an oral cancer case-control study. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2006; 39:1569–1574. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 17160265]
86. Cha IH, Park JY, Chung WY, Choi MA, et al. Polymorphisms of CYP1A1 and GSTM1 genes and susceptibility to oral cancer. Yonsei Med J. 2007; 48:233–239. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 17461521]
87. Morita S, Yano M, Tsujinaka T, Akiyama Y, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes and susceptibility to head-and-neck squamous-cell carcinoma. Int J Cancer. 1999; 80:685–688. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10048967]
88. Kao SY, Wu CH, Lin SC, Yap SK, et al. Genetic polymorphism of cytochrome P4501A1 and susceptibility to oral squamous cell carcinoma and oral precancer lesions associated with smoking/betel use. J Oral Pathol Med. 2002; 31:505–511. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12269988]
89. Kietthubthew S, Sriplung H, Au WW. Genetic and environmental interactions on oral cancer in Southern Thailand. Environ Mol Mutagen. 2001; 37:111–116. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 11246217]
90. Sreelekha TT, Ramadas K, Pandey M, Thomas G, et al. Genetic polymorphism of CYP1A1, GSTM1 and GSTT1 genes in Indian oral cancer. Oral Oncol. 2001; 37:593–598. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 11564581]
91. Nomura T, Noma H, Shibahara T, Yokoyama A, et al. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 and glutathione S-transferase M 1 polymorphisms in relation to the risk for oral cancer in Japanese drinkers. Oral Oncol. 2000; 36:42–46. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10889918]
92. Tanimoto K, Hayashi S, Yoshiga K, Ichikawa T. Polymorphisms of the CYP1A1 and GSTM1 gene involved in oral squamous cell carcinoma in association with a cigarette dose. Oral Oncol. 1999; 35:191–196. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10435155]
93. Katoh T, Kaneko S, Kohshi K, Munaka M, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of tobacco- and alcohol-related metabolizing enzymes and oral cavity cancer. Int J Cancer. 1999; 83:606–609. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10521794]
94. Kihara M, Kubota A, Furukawa M, Kimura H. GSTM1 gene polymorphism as a possible marker for susceptibility to head and neck cancers among Japanese smokers. Cancer Lett. 1997; 112:257–262. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9066737]
95. Hung HC, Chuang J, Chien YC, Chern HD, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of CYP2E1, GSTM1, and GSTT1; environmental factors and risk of oral cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 1997; 6:901–905. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9367063]

96. Sharma A, Mishra A, Das BC, Sardana S, et al. Genetic polymorphism at GSTM1 and GSTT1 gene loci and susceptibility to oral cancer. Neoplasma. 2006; 53:309–315. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 16830058]

97. Gattas GJ, de Carvalho MB, Siraque MS, Curioni OA, et al. Genetic polymorphisms CYP1A1, CYP2E1, GSTM1, and GSTT1 associated with head and neck cancer. Head Neck. 2006; 28:819–826. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 16721740]

98. Drummond SN, De Marco L, Noronha JC, Gomez RS. GSTM1 polymorphism and oral squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Oncol. 2004; 40:52–55. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 14662415]

99. Gronau S, Koenig-Greger D, Jerg M, Riechelmann H. GSTM1 enzyme concentration and enzyme activity in correlation to the genotype of detoxification enzymes in squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity. Oral Dis. 2003; 9:62–67. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12657030]

100. Hahn M, Hagedorn G, Kuhlisch E, Schackert HK, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes and susceptibility to oral cavity cancer. Oral Oncol. 2002; 38:486–490. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12110344]

101. Gupta C, Ray CS. Epidemiology of betel quid usage. Ann Acad Med Singapore. 2004; 33(4 suppl):31S–36S. [Context Link].

102. Ragin CC, Taioli E, Weissfeld JL, White JS, et al. 11q13 amplification status and human papillomavirus in relation to p16 expression defines two distinct etiologies of head and neck tumours. Br J Cancer. 2006; 95:1432–1438. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 17003776]

103. Hobbs CG, Sterne JA, Bailey M, Heyderman RS, et al. Human papillomavirus and head and neck cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Otolaryngol. 2006; 31:259–266. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 16911640]

104. Taioli E, Gaspari L, Benhamou S, Boffetta P, et al. Polymorphisms in CYP1A1, GSTM1, GSTT1 and lung cancer below the age of 45 years. Int J Epidemiology. 2003; 32:60–63. [Context Link].

105. Rojas M, Cascorbi I, Alexandrov K, Kriek E, et al. Modulation of benzo[a]pyrene diolepoxide-DNA adduct levels in human white blood cells by CYP1A1, GSTM1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms. Carcinogenesis. 2000; 21:35–41. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10607731]

106. Sram RJ. Effect of glutathione S-transferase M1 polymorphisms on biomarkers of exposure and effects. Environ Health Perspect. 1998; 106:231–239. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9539016]

107. Ryberg D, Skaug V, Hewer A, Phillips DH, et al. Genotypes of glutathione transferase M1 and P1 and their significance for lung DNA adduct levels and cancer risk. Carcinogenesis. 1997; 18:1285–1289. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9230269]

108. Weiserbs KF, Jacobson JS, Begg MD, Wang LW, et al. A cross-sectional study of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon—DNA adducts and polymorphism of glutathione S-transferases among heavy smokers by race/ethnicity. Biomarkers. 2003; 8:142–155. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12775499]

109. Peluso M, Neri M, Margarino G, Mereu C, et al. Comparison of DNA adduct levels in nasal mucosa, lymphocytes and bronchial mucosa of cigarette smokers and interaction with metabolic gene polymorphisms. Carcinogenesis. 2004; 25:2459–2465. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 15319297]

110. Binkova B, Topinka J, Mrackova G, Gajdosova D, et al. Biomarkers in humans exposed to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Environ Mol Mutagen. 1997; 29(S28):S19–S23. [Context Link].

111. Mooney LA, Bell DA, Santella RM, Van Bennekum AM, et al. Contribution of genetic and nutritional factors to DNA damage in heavy smokers. Carcinogenesis. 1997; 18:503–509. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 9067549]

112. Shields PG, Bowman ED, Harrington AM, Doan VT, et al. Polyciclic aromatic hydrocarbon—DNA adducts in human lung and cancer susceptibility genes. Cancer Res. 1993; 53:3486–3492. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 8339251]

113. Pastorelli R, Guanci M, Cerri A, Negri E, et al. Impact of inherited polymorphisms in glutathione S-transferase M1, microsomal epoxide hydrolase, cytochrome P450 enzymes on DNA and blood protein adducts of benzo (a) pyrene-diolepoxide. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 1998; 7:703–709. [Context Link].

114. Lodovici M, Luceri C, Guglielmi F, Bacci C, et al. Benzo(a)pyrene diolepoxide (BPDE)-DNA adduct levels in leukocytes of smokers in relation to polymorphism of CYP1A1, GSTM1, GSTP1, GSTT1 and mEH. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2004; 13:1342–1348. Bibliographic Links [Context Link].

115. Lai C, Shields PG. The role of interindividual variation in human carcinogenesis. J Nutr. 1999; 129:552S–555S. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 10064330]

116. Cheng YJ, Chien YC, Hildesheim A, Hsu MM, et al. No association between genetic polymorphisms of CYP1A1, GSTM1, GSTT1, GSTP1, NAT2, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Taiwan. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2003; 12:179–180. Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 12582034]

117. Slattery ML, Samowtiz W, Murtaugh M, Sweeney C, et al. CYP1A1, cigarette smoking and colon and rectal cancer. Am J Epidemiol. 2004; 160:842–852. Buy Now Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 15496536]

118. Li D, Jiao L, Li Y, Doll MA, et al. Polymorphisms of cytochrome P4501A2 and N-actetyltransferase genes, smoking and risk of pancreatic cancer. Carcinogenesis. 2006; 27:103–111. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 15987714]

119. Kiyohara C, Yoshimasu K, Takayama K, Nakanishi Y, et al. EPHX1 polymorphisms and the risk of lung cancer: a HUGE review. Epidemiology. 2006; 17:89–99. Ovid Full Text Bibliographic Links [Context Link]. [PubMed: 16357600]

120. Zheng Z, Park JY, Guillemette C, Schantz SP, et al. Tobacco carcinogen-detoxifying enzyme UGT1A7 and its association with orolaryngeal cancer risk. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2001; 93:1411–1418. [Context Link]. [PubMed: 11562393]

Table 1

Description of studies included in the meta-analysis for GSTM1 polymorphisms

Author (Year)	Control source	Country	Tumor site	Matching	Cases	Controls	OR (95%CI) GSTM1 *deleted vs. present*
CAUCASIAN STUDIES							
Deakin et al. (1996)*	Hospital	UK	Oral cavity		40	577	1.0 (0.5-1.9)
Coutelle et al. (1997)*	Alcoholic Clinic	France	Oropharynx	Alcohol	21	37	1.7 (0.6-5.1)
Park et al. (1997)*	Health and Hospital	USA	Oral cavity		109	109	0.9 (0.5-1.5)
Matthias et al. (1998)*	Hospital	Germany	Oral cavity and Pharynx		122	178	1.2 (0.8-1.9)
Jourenkova-Mironova et al. (1999)*	Hospital	France	Oral cavity and Pharynx	Smoking	121	172	0.8 (0.5-1.3)
Hahn et al. (2002)	Healthy	Germany	Oral cavity	Ethnicity	94	92	1.3 (0.7-2.3)
Gronau et al. (2003)	Hospital	Germany	Oral cavity	Smoking and alcohol	73	129	1.2 (0.7-2.2)
META					**580**	**1294**	**1.1 (0.9-1.3)**‡
p value, Q test							**0.796**
p value, Egger's test							**0.194**
ASIAN STUDIES							
Katoh et al. (1995)*	Healthy	Japan	Oral, NOS		45	91	1.6 (0.8-3.3)
Hung et al. (1997)	Healthy	Taiwan	Oral, NOS		41	123	1.0 (0.5-2.1)
Kihara et al. (1997)	Healthy	Japan	Oral cavity, Pharynx, Maxillary sinuses		75	472	1.8 (1.1-2.9)
Tanimoto et al. (1999)	Hospital	Japan	Oral cavity	Age and sex	100	100	1.0 (0.6-1.8)
Katoh et al. (1999)	Hospital	Japan	Oral cavity		92	147	1.7 (1.0-2.8)
Sato et al. (1999) (2000)	Healthy	Japan	Oral cavity†	Age and sex	142	142	2.2 (1.4-3.6)
Nomura et al. (2000)	Healthy	Japan	Oral cavity and Pharynx		114	33	2.5 (1.1-5.5)
Kietthubthew et al. (2001)	Healthy	Thailand	Oral cavity	Age, sex, smoking, betel-chewing and occupation	53	53	3.0 (1.4-6.7)
Cheng et al. (2003)*	Hospital	Taiwan	Nasopharynx	Age and sex	314	337	1.2 (0.9-1.7)
META					**976**	**1498**	**1.5 (1.3-1.8)**‡
p value, Q test							**0.140**
p value, Egger's test							**0.215**

Author (Year)	Control source	Country	Tumor site	Matching	Cases	Controls	OR (95%CI) GSTM1 *deleted vs. present*
			OTHER STUDIES~				
Nazar-Stewart et al. (1999)	Healthy	USA	Nasopharynx	Age, sex and geographic site	83	142	1.5 (0.9-2.6)
Sreelekha et al. (2001)		India	Oral, NOS	Age and sex	98	60	1.9 (1.0-3.7)
Buch et al. (2002)*	Healthy	India	Oral cavity	Region of origin	297	450	3.0 (2.2-4.0)
Xie et al. (2004)*	Healthy	Puerto Rico	Oral, NOS		132	143	0.7 (0.4-1.2)
Sikdar et al. (2004)*	Hospital	India	Oral cavity		256	259	1.0 (0.7-1.4)
Drummond et al. (2004)	Dental clinic	Brazil	Oral cavity**	SES, age and sex	70	82	2.0 (1.0-3.9)
Gattas et al. (2006)	Hospital	Brazil	Oral cavity and Pharynx	Age and sex	81	102	2.5 (1.4-4.5)
p value, Q test					**1017**	**1238**	**<0.001**
p value, Egger's test							**0.760**

*Studies included in the pooled analysis;

**Smokers;

†plus other unspecified oral sub-sites; NOS = not otherwise specified; SES = socio-economical status;

~Meta estimate was not reported due to the statistically significant test for heterogeneity. These studies had mixed ethnic groups.

‡Fixed effects estimate

Table 2

Description Of The Studies Included In The Meta Analysis For Cyp1A1 (Ile-Val) Polymorphisms

CYP1A1 (exon7)~										
Author (Year)	**Control source**	**Race**	**Tumor site**	**Matching**	**Cases**	**Controls**	**OR (95% CI) Ile/Ile**	**OR (95% CI) Ile/Val**	**OR (95% CI) Val/Val**	**OR (95% CI) Ile/Val + Val/Val**
Park et al. (1997)*	Healthy + Hospital	USA	Oral cavity		108	108	1.0 (ref)			2.5 (1.0-6.0)
Matthias et al. (1998)*	Hospital	Germany	Oral cavity and Pharynx		124	186	1.0 (ref)	1.1 (0.5-2.3)		1.0 (0.5-2.1)
Katoh et al. (1999)	Hospital	Japan	Oral cavity		92	147	1.0 (ref)	1.3 (0.7-2.2)	1.3 (0.4-4.1)	1.3 (0.8-2.2)
Sato et al. (2000)	Hospital	Japan	† Oral cavity	Age and sex	142	142	1.0 (ref)	1.6 (1.0-2.6)	4.2 (1.6-11.1)	1.9 (1.2-3.0)
Kao et al. (2002)	Hospital	Taiwan	Oral cavity		106	146	1.0 (ref)	5.1 (2.6-9.8)	18.9 (3.6-98.5)	5.4 (2.8-10.4)
Hahn et al. (2002)	Healthy	Germany	Oral cavity	ethnicity	94	92	1.0 (ref)	0.6 (0.2-2.3)		
Marques et al. (2006)	Hospital	Brazil	Oral, NOS	Age, sex and skin color	231	212	1.0 (ref)	1.1 (0.7-1.8)	2.9 (0.6-14.3)	1.2 (0.7-1.9)
Xie et al. (2004)*	Healthy	Puerto Rico	Oral, NOS		132	143	1.0 (ref)	0.9 (0.6-1.6)	0.5 (0.2-1.8)	0.9 (0.5-1.4)
Sreelekha et al. (2001)		India	Oral, NOS	Age and sex	98	60	1.0 (ref)			5.2 (2.4-11.4)
p value, Q test					**1127**	**1236**		**<0.001**	**0.007**	**<0.001**
p value, Egger's test								**0.997**	**0.655**	**0.162**

CYP1A1 *msp1*~										
Author (ref) Year	**Control source**	**Country**	**Tumor site**	**Matching**	**Cases**	**Controls**	**OR(95% CI)m1/m1**	**OR(95% CI)m1/m2**	**OR(95% CI)m2/m2**	**OR(95% CI) m1/m2 + m2/m2**
Kao et al. (2002)	Hospital	Taiwan	Oral cavity		106	146	1.0 (ref)	0.9 (0.5-1.5)	1.3 (0.6-3.1)	0.9 (0.6-1.6)
Matthias et al. (1998)*	Hospital	Germany	Oral cavity and Pharynx		122	205	1.0 (ref)	1.6 (0.8-3.2)	0.9 (0.1-9.9)	1.5 (0.8-3.0)
Sato et al. (1999)	Hospital	Japan	† Oral cavity	Age and sex	142	142	1.0 (ref)	0.9 (0.6-1.6)	2.3 (1.1-4.7)	1.2 (0.7-1.9)
Tanimoto et al. (1999)	Hospital	Japan	Oral cavity	Age and sex	100	100	1.0 (ref)	3.4 (1.8-6.4)	3.6 (1.4-9.5)	3.5 (1.9-6.2)
Cheng et al. (2003)*	Hospital	Taiwan	Nasopharynx	Age and sex	172	218	1.0 (ref)	0.9 (0.6-1.4)	0.7 (0.4-1.2)	0.8 (0.5-1.2)

CYP1A1 (exon7)~										
Author (Year)	**Control source**	**Race**	**Tumor site**	**Matching**	**Cases**	**Controls**	**OR (95% CI) Ile/Ile**	**OR (95% CI) Ile/Val**	**OR (95% CI) Val/Val**	**OR (95% CI) Ile/Val + Val/Val**
Gattas et al. (2006)	Hospital	Brazil	Oral cavity and Pharynx	Age and sex	81	102	1.0 (ref)			0.9 (0.5-1.6)
p value, Q test					**723**	**913**		**0.003**	**0.020**	**0.002**
p value, Egger's test								**0.190**	**0.677**	**0.305**

*Studies included in the pooled analysis;

~Meta estimate was not reported due to the statistically significant test for heterogeneity;

†plus other unspecified oral sub-sites; NOS = not otherwise specified

Table 3

Description of studies included in the meta analysis for GSTM1-CYP1A1 polymorphisms interaction

Author (Year)	Control source	Country	Tumor site	Matching	Cases	Controls	OR (95% CI) (+) Ile/Ile	OR (95% CI) (-) Ile/Ile	OR (95% CI) (+) Ile/Val or Val/Val	OR (95% CI) (-) Ile/Val or Val/Val	OR (95% CI) All polymorphic isoforms
GSTM1/CYP1A1 exon7											
Sato et al. (2000)	Hospital	Japan	† Oral cavity	Age and sex	142	142	1.0 (ref)	2.3 (1.2-4.3)	1.9 (0.9-3.9)	4.0 (2.0-7.9)	2.6 (1.5-4.6)
Park et al. (1997)*	Healthy + Hospital	USA	Oral cavity		131	131	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (0.6-1.8)	4.6 (1.2-17.3)	1.8 (0.7-5.0)	1.3 (0.8-2.1)
META					**273**	**273**	**1.0 (ref)**	**1.4 (1.0-2.1)‡**	**2.3 (1.2-4.4)‡**	**3.1 (1.8-5.5)‡**	**1.8 (1.2-2.5)‡**
p value, Q test								**0.075**	**0.243**	**0.203**	**0.057**
GSTM1/CYP1A1 *msp1*											
							OR (95% CI) (+) m1/m1	**OR (95% CI) (-) m1/m1**	**OR (95% CI) (+) m1/m2 or m2/m2**	**OR (95% CI) (-) m1/m2 or m2/m2**	**OR (95% CI) All polymorphic isoforms**
Gattas et al. (2006)	Hospital	Brazil	Oral cavity and Pharynx	Age and sex	103	102	1.0 (ref)				2.4 (1.1-5.1)
Sato et al. (1999)	Hospital	Japan	† Oral cavity	Age and sex	142	142	1.0 (ref)	2.7 (1.3-5.6)	1.4 (0.7-2.8)	2.7 (1.4-5.3)	2.2 (1.2-3.9)
Tanimoto et al. (1999)	Hospital	Japan	Oral cavity	Age and sex	100	100	1.0 (ref)	0.4 (0.2-1.0)	2.0 (0.9-4.1)	3.5 (1.6-8.0)	1.6 (0.9-3.0)
META					**345**	**344**	**1.0 (ref)**		**1.6 (1.0-2.7)‡**	**3.0 (1.8-5.0)‡**	**2.0 (0.4-2.9)‡**
p value, Q test								**0.002**	**0.485**	**0.597**	**0.704**

‡Fixed effects estimate;

†plus other unspecified oral sub-sites

Table 4

Stratified pooled analysis of the association of GSTM1 mutations with oral and pharyngeal cancer

GSTM	Controls (N)	Cases (N)	Crude OR (95 % CI)	Adjusted OR* (95 % CI)
Number of studies = 14				
N = 5,926	**3,873**	**2,053**		
Present	2,202	1,089	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	1,671	964	1.2 (1.1-1.3)$^{\theta}$	1.2 (1.1-1.4)
		HEALTHY CONTROLS (N = 2,662)†		
	1,690	**972**		
Present	1,054	505	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	636	467	1.5 (1.3-1.8)	1.4 (1.2-1.7)
		HOSPITAL CONTROLS (N = 1,961)†		
	1,289	**672**		
Present	656	379	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	633	293	0.8 (0.7-1.0)	0.9 (0.7-1.2)
		NEVER SMOKERS (N = 1,827)		
	1,157	**670**		
Present	654	371	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	503	299	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	1.2 (1.0-1.5)
		EX SMOKERS (N = 722)		
	412	**310**		
Present	203	154	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	209	156	1.0 (0.7-1.3)	0.9 (0.7-1.2)
		CURRENT SMOKERS (N = 1,294)		
	825	**469**		
Present	489	232	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	336	237	1.5 (1.2-1.9)	1.5 (1.2-1.8)
		EVER SMOKERS (N = 2,845)		
	1,587	**1,258**		
Present	889	651	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	698	607	1.2 (1.0-1.4)	1.2 (1.0-1.4)
		CAUCASIANS (N = 3,383)		
	2,173	**1,210**		
Present	1,093	652	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	1,080	558	0.9 (0.8-1.0)	1.0 (0.8-1.1)
		AFRICAN AMERICANS + AFRICANS (N = 217)		
	160	**57**		
Present	133	40	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	27	17	2.1 (1.0-4.2)	2.4 (1.1-5.5)
		ASIANS (N = 958)		

GSTM	Controls (N)	Cases (N)	Crude OR (95 % CI)	Adjusted OR* (95 % CI)
	531	**427**		
Present	265	211	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	266	216	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	1.0 (0.8-1.3)
		OTHER (N = 1,357)		
	998	**359**		
Present	707	186	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	291	173	2.3 (1.8-2.9)	2.8 (2.0-4.0)

*Adjusted for study number, age (<54, 54-95), sex, race and smoking (never/ever) where appropriate.

θQ test (p value) <0.001; Egger test (p value) = 0.424;

†Healthy controls: includes 6 studies with healthy controls; Hospital controls: includes 5 studies with hospital controls; 3 studies excluded from this sub-analysis because they consisted of both hospital and healthy controls combined. OTHER = Latinos & other ethnicities not specified.

Table 5

Overall and stratified odds ratios of the association of CYP1A1 (*msp1*) mutations with oral and pharyngeal cancer for studies in the pooled analysis

CYP1A1 (*msp1*)	Controls (N)	Cases (N)	Crude OR (95 % CI)	Adjusted OR* (95 % CI)
Number of studies for CYP1A1 (*msp1*) = 6				
N = 2,703	**1,578**	**1,125**		
m1m1	882	614	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
m1m2	504	328	0.9 (0.8-1.1)$^{\theta}$	1.1 (0.9-1.4)
m2m2	192	183	1.4 (1.1-1.7)$^{\theta}$	1.3 (1.0-1.7)
m1m2 + m2m2	696	511	1.1 (0.9-1.2)$^{\theta}$	1.2 (1.0-1.4)
NEVER SMOKERS (N = 1,014)				
	532	**482**		
m1m1	265	216	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
m1m2	188	179	1.2 (0.9-1.5)	1.0 (0.8-1.4)
m2m2	79	87	1.4 (1.0-1.9)	1.3 (0.9-1.9)
m1m2 + m2m2	267	266	1.2 (1.0-1.6)	1.1 (0.9-1.5)
CURRENT (N =389)				
	268	**121**		
m1m1	132	51	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
m1m2	88	29	0.9 (0.5-1.5)	1.0 (0.6-1.8)
m2m2	48	41	2.2 (1.3-3.8)	1.7 (1.0-2.9)
m1m2 + m2m2	136	70	1.3 (0.9-2.1)	1.3 (0.8-2.0)
EVER SMOKERS (N = 981)				
	478	**503**		
m1m1	295	316	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
m1m2	121	113	0.9 (0.7-1.2)	1.2 (0.9-1.7)
m2m2	62	74	1.1 (0.8-1.6)	1.6 (1.1-2.5)
m1m2 + m2m2	183	187	1.0 (0.7-1.2)	1.4 (1.0-1.8)
HEALTHY CONTROLS (N = 1,507)†				
	902	**605**		
m1m1	445	293	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
m1m2	311	173	0.9(0.7-1.1)	1.2 (0.9-1.6)
m2m2	146	139	1.5 (1.1-1.9)	1.1 (0.8-1.5)
m1m2 + m2m2	457	312	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	1.1 (0.9-1.5)
HOSPITAL CONTROLS (N = 1,196)†				
	676	**520**		
m1m1	437	321	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
m1m2	193	155	1.1 (0.9-1.4)	1.0 (0.8-1.4)
m2m2	46	44	1.3 (0.8-2.0)	1.2 (0.7-2.0)
m1m2 + m2m2	239	199	1.1 (0.9-1.4)	1.0 (0.7-1.3)
CAUCASIANS (N = 1,367)				

CYP1A1 (*msp1*)	Controls (N)	Cases (N)	Crude OR (95 % CI)	Adjusted OR* (95 % CI)
	750	**617**		
m1m1	500	403	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
m1m2	204	169	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	1.0 (0.8-1.4)
m2m2	46	45	1.2 (0.8-1.9)	1.2 (0.7-2.0)
m1m2 + m2m2	250	214	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	1.0 (0.8-1.4)
		ASIANS (N = 330)		
	136	**194**		
m1m1	63	73	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
m1m2	18	37	1.8 (0.9-3.4)	1.9 (1.0-3.6)
m2m2	55	84	1.3 (0.8-2.1)	1.3 (0.8-2.1)
m1m2 + m2m2	73	121	1.4 (0.9-2.2)	1.4 (0.9-2.2)
		OTHER (N = 992)		
	678	**314**		
m1m1	315	138	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
m1m2	274	122	1.0 (0.8-1.4)	1.0 (0.7-1.5)
m2m2	89	54	1.4 (0.9-2.1)	0.8 (0.5-1.5)
m1m2 + m2m2	363	176	1.1 (0.9-1.5)	1.0 (0.7-1.4)

*Adjusted for study number, age (<54, 54-95), race and smoking (never/ever) where appropriate.

θQ test (p value): m1m2 = 0.903, m2m2 = 0.572, m1m1+m12m2 = 0.916; Egger test (p value): m1m1 = 0.387, m2m2 = 0.923, m1m1+m2m2 = 0.759;

†Healthy controls: includes 3 studies with healthy controls; Hospital controls: includes 3 studies with hospital controls. OTHER = African Americans, Africans, Latinos & other ethnicities not specified

Table 6

Overall and stratified odds ratios of the association of CYP1A1 (*exon7*) mutations with oral and pharyngeal cancer for studies in the pooled analysis

CYP1A1 (*exon 7*)	Controls (N)	Cases (N)	Crude OR (95 % CI)	Adjusted OR* (95 % CI)
Number of studies for CYP1A1 (*exon 7*) = 7				
N = 3,080	**1,957**	**1,123**		
Ile/Ile	1,500	874	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Ile/Val	421	226	0.9 (0.8-1.1)$^{\theta}$	0.9 (0.7-1.1)
Val/Val	36	23	1.1 (0.7-1.9)$^{\theta}$	1.0 (0.6-1.8)
Ile/Val + Val/Val	457	249	0.9 (0.8-1.1)$^{\theta}$	0.9 (0.7-1.1)
NEVER SMOKERS (N = 1,078)				
	647	**431**		
Ile/Ile	489	334	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Ile/Val	145	90	0.9 (0.7-1.2)	0.9 (0.7-1.3)
Val/Val	13	7	0.8 (0.3-2.0)	1.0 (0.4-2.8)
Ile/Val + Val/Val	158	97	0.9 (0.7-1.2)	1.0 (0.7-1.3)
CURRENT (N = 350)				
	258	**92**		
Ile/Ile	186	64	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Ile/Val	68	24	1.0 (0.6-1.8)	0.8 (0.4-1.4)
Val/Val	4	4	2.9 (0.7-12.0)	1.4 (0.3-6.1)
Ile/Val + Val/Val	72	28	1.1 (0.7-1.9)	0.8 (0.5-1.5)
EVER SMOKERS (N = 1,165)				
	594	**571**		
Ile/Ile	447	442	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Ile/Val	137	116	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	0.9 (0.7-1.2)
Val/Val	10	13	1.3 (0.6-3.0)	1.2 (0.5-2.8)
Ile/Val + Val/Val	147	129	0.9 (0.7-1.2)	0.9 (0.7-1.2)
HEALTHY CONTROLS (N = 1.887)†				
	1,286	**601**		
Ile/Ile	952	450	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Ile/Val	305	131	0.9 (0.7-1.2)	0.8 (0.6-1.0)
Val/Val	29	20	1.5 (0.8-2.6)	1.0 (0.5-1.8)
Ile/Val + Val/Val	334	151	1.0 (0.8-1.2)	0.8 (0.6-1.0)
HOSPITAL CONTROLS (N = 1,193)†				
	671	**522**		
Ile/Ile	548	424	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Ile/Val	116	95	1.1 (0.8-1.4)	1.0 (0.7-1.4)
Val/Val	7	3	0.5 (0.1-2.2)	0.5 (0.1-2.0)
Ile/Val + Val/Val	123	98	1.0 (0.8-1.4)	1.0 (0.7-1.3)
CAUCASIANS (N = 1,552)				

CYP1A1 (*exon 7*)	Controls (N)	Cases (N)	Crude OR (95 % CI)	Adjusted OR* (95 % CI)
	845	**707**		
Ile/Ile	667	563	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Ile/Val	163	138	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	1.0 (0.7-1.2)
Val/Val	15	6	0.5 (0.2-1.2)	0.4 (0.2-1.0)
Ile/Val + Val/Val	178	144	1.0 (0.8-1.2)	0.9 (0.7-1.2)
		ASIANS (N = 260)		
	188	**72**		
Ile/Ile	121	45	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Ile/Val	61	19	0.8 (0.5-1.6)	0.7 (0.4-1.3)
Val/Val	6	8	3.6 (1.2-10.9)	3.2 (0.9-11.4)
Ile/Val + Val/Val	67	27	1.1 (0.6-1.9)	0.9 (0.5-1.7)
		OTHER (N = 1,268)		
	924	**344**		
Ile/Ile	712	266	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Ile/Val	197	69	0.9 (0.7-1.3)	0.7 (0.5-1.1)
Val/Val	15	9	1.6 (0.7-3.7)	1.1 (0.4-2.9)
Ile/Val + Val/Val	212	78	1.0 (0.7-1.3)	0.8 (0.6-1.1)

*Adjusted for study number, age (<54, 54-95), sex, race where appropriate;

θQ test (p value): Ile/Val = 0.286, Val/Val = 0.305, Ile/Val+Val/Val = 0.295; Egger test (p value): Ile/Val = 0.916, Val/Val = 0.211, Ile/Val +Val/Val = 0.823;

†Healthy controls: includes 4 studies with healthy controls; Hospital controls: includes 3 studies with hospital controls; OTHER = African Americans, Africans, Latinos & other ethnicities not specified.

Table 7

The association of GSTM1, CYP1A1 polymorphisms with oral and pharyngeal cancer, by tumor site for studies in the pooled analysis

	Controls	Cases	Crude OR (95 % CI)	Adjusted OR (95 % CI)
GSTM1*				
ORAL CAVITY (N = 5,169)				
	3,873	**1,296**		
Present	2,202	713	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	1,671	583	1.1 (1.0-1.2)	1.2 (1.0-1.4)
PHARYNX (N = 4,628)				
	3,873	**755**		
Present	2,202	375	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Null	1,671	380	1.3 (1.1-1.6)	1.2 (1.0-1.4)
CYP1A1 (msp1)**				
ORAL CAVITY (N = 2,369)				
	1,578	**791**		
m1m1	882	426	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
m1m2	504	272	1.1 (0.9-1.4)	1.0 (0.8-1.3)
m2m2	192	93	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	0.8 (0.5-1.1)
m1m2 + m2m2	696	365	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	0.9 (0.7-1.1)
PHARYNX (N = 1,912)				
	1,578	**334**		
m1m1	882	188	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
m1m2	504	56	0.5 (0.4-0.7)	1.3 (0.9-2.0)
m2m2	192	90	2.2 (1.6-3.0)	1.2 (0.8-1.9)
m1m2 + m2m2	696	146	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	1.4 (1.0-1.9)
CYP1A1 (exon7)#				
ORAL CAVITY (N = 2,844)				
	1,957	**887**		
Ile/Ile	1,500	695	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Ile/Val	421	178	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	0.9 (0.7-1.1)
Val/Val	36	14	0.8 (0.5-1.6)	0.8 (0.4-1.5)
Ile/Val + Val/Val	457	192	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	0.9 (0.7-1.0)
PHARYNX (N = 2,192)				
	1,957	**235**		
Ile/Ile	1,500	178	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Ile/Val	421	48	1.0 (0.7-1.4)	1.1 (0.7-1.5)
Val/Val	36	9	2.1 (1.0-4.5)	2.0 (0.9-4.4)
Ile/Val + Val/Val	457	57	1.1 (0.8-1.4)	1.1 (0.8-1.6)

[*] Adjusted for study number, age (<54, 54-95), sex, race and smoking (never/ever) where appropriate.

[**] Adjusted for study number, age (<54, 54-95), race and smoking (never/ever) where appropriate.

[#] Adjusted for study number, age (<54, 54-95), sex, race where appropriate.

[‡] Adjusted for study number, race and sex where appropriate.

Table 8

Overall and stratified odds ratios of the association of GSTM1/CYP1A1 (*msp1*) mutations with oral and pharyngeal cancer for studies in the pooled analysis.

GSTM/CYP1A1 (*msp1*)	Controls (N)	Cases (N)	Crude OR (95 % CI)	Adjusted OR* (95 % CI)
Number of studies: 6				
N = 2,637	**1,537**	**1,100**		
+/m1m1	494	327	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
+/m1m2	342	175	0.8 (0.6-1.0)	0.9 (0.7-1.2)
+/m2m2	103	89	1.3 (1.0-1.8)	1.2 (0.9-1.8)
+/m1m2+m2m2	445	264	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	1.0 (0.8-1.3)
-/m1m1	357	276	1.2 (1.0-1.4)	1.3 (1.0-1.6)
-/m1m2	154	147	1.4 (1.1-1.9)	1.5 (1.1-2.0)
-/m2m2	87	86	1.5 (1.1-2.1)	1.3 (0.9-1.9)
-/m1m2+m2m2	241	233	1.5 (1.2-1.8)	1.4 (1.1-1.9)
		NEVER SMOKERS (N = 1,004)		
	532	**472**		
+/m1m1	170	117	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
+/m1m2	128	103	1.2 (0.8-1.7)	1.0 (0.7-1.5)
+/m2m2	45	47	1.5 (1.0-2.4)	1.5 (0.9-2.5)
+/m1m2+m2m2	173	150	1.3 (0.9-1.7)	1.1 (0.8-1.6)
-/m1m1	95	93	1.4 (1.0-2.1)	1.6 (1.1-2.4)
-/m1m2	60	74	1.8 (1.2-2.7)	1.7 (1.1-2.7)
-/m2m2	34	38	1.6 (1.0-2.7)	1.6 (0.9-2.8)
-/m1m2+m2m2	94	112	1.7 (1.2-2.5)	1.7 (1.2-2.5)
		EVER SMOKERS (N = 1,025)		
	514	**511**		
+/m1m1	166	172	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
+/m1m2	102	58	0.6 (0.4-0.8)	0.8 (0.6-1.3)
+/m2m2	32	29	0.9 (0.5-1.5)	1.2 (0.7-2.2)
+/m1m2+m2m2	134	87	0.6 (0.4-0.9)	0.9 (0.7-1.4)
-/m1m1	126	153	1.2 (0.9-1.6)	1.1 (0.8-1.5)
-/m1m2	50	59	1.1 (0.7-1.8)	1.4 (0.9-2.2)
-/m2m2	38	40	1.0 (0.6-1.7)	1.4 (0.8-2.4)
-/m1m2+m2m2	88	99	1.1 (0.8-1.6)	1.4 (1.0-2.0)
		ORAL CAVITY (N = 2325)		
	1,537	**788**		
+/m1m1	494	237	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
+/m1m2	342	154	0.9 (0.7-1.2)	0.9 (0.6-1.2)
+/m2m2	103	55	1.1 (0.8-1.6)	0.8 (0.5-1.3)
+/m1m2+m2m2	445	209	1.0 (0.8-1.2)	0.9 (0.7-1.2)
-/m1m1	357	186	1.1 (0.9-1.4)	1.4 (1.1-1.9)
-/m1m2	154	118	1.6 (1.2-2.1)	1.5 (1.1-2.1)

GSTM/CYP1A1 (*msp1*)	Controls (N)	Cases (N)	Crude OR (95 % CI)	Adjusted OR* (95 % CI)
-/m2m2	87	38	0.9 (0.6-1.4)	0.7 (0.4-1.1)
-/m1m2+m2m2	241	156	1.4 (1.1-1.7)	1.2 (0.9-1.6)
		PHARYNX (N = 1,849)		
	1,537	**312**		
+/m1m1	494	90	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
+/m1m2	342	21	0.3 (0.2-0.6)	1.0 (0.5-1.9)
+/m2m2	103	34	1.8 (1.2-2.8)	1.3 (0.7-2.3)
+/m1m2+m2m2	445	55	0.7 (0.5-1.0)	1.1 (0.7-1.8)
-/m1m1	357	90	1.4 (1.0-1.9)	0.8 (0.6-1.2)
-/m1m2	154	29	1.0 (0.7-1.6)	1.2 (0.7-2.1)
-/m2m2	87	48	3.0 (2.0-4.6)	1.3 (0.8-2.2)
-/m1m2+m2m2	241	77	1.8 (1.3-2.5)	1.2 (0.8-1.9)

*Adjusted for study number, age (<54, 54-95), race, smoking status (never/ever) where appropriate.