

1 **Hair Analysis Interpretation of an Unusual Case of Alleged Scopolamine**

2 **Facilitated Sexual Assault**

3 Ana de Castro<sup>a,b</sup>, Elena Lendoiro<sup>a</sup>, Óscar Quintela<sup>c</sup>, Marta Concheiro<sup>a</sup>, Manuel López-

4 Rivadulla<sup>a</sup>, Angelines Cruz<sup>a</sup>

5 <sup>a</sup>Servicio de Toxicología Forense, Instituto de Ciencias Forenses, Universidad de  
6 Santiago de Compostela, San Francisco s/n, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

7 <sup>b</sup>Departamento de I+D. Cienytech S.L., Xosé Chao Rego 10, Bajo, 15705 Santiago de  
8 Compostela, Spain

9 <sup>c</sup>Instituto Nacional de Toxicología y Ciencias Forenses, José Echegaray 4, 28232 Las  
10 Rozas, Madrid, Spain

11 Corresponding author: Ana de Castro

12 Phone: +34 881812446

13 FAX: +34 881812459

14 e-mail address: ana.decastro@usc.es

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 **Abstract**

22 This case report describes an alleged scopolamine facilitated sexual assault case in  
23 which the victim requested a toxicological hair analysis. Hair decontamination wash  
24 solvents were evaporated and stored until analysis. Hair was incubated with 2 mL  
25 0.01M phosphate buffer pH 8.4, and liquid-liquid extracted. LC-MS/MS analysis of hair  
26 extracts revealed the presence of scopolamine at lower concentrations than the limit of  
27 quantification. However, scopolamine was also present in the last wash solvents, with  
28 wash/hair ratios  $>4$ , suggesting hair external contamination rather than drug use. These  
29 data emphasize the usefulness of analyzing wash solvents for the correct interpretation  
30 of hair results.

31 **Keywords:** scopolamine, DFSA, hair, wash/hair ratio, decontamination

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

## 41 **Introduction**

42 Drug facilitated sexual assault (DFSA) has been defined as sexual activity occurring  
43 while the victim is incapacitated or unconscious due to the effects of alcohol and/or  
44 other drugs and is, therefore, prevented from resisting or unable to consent [1]. The use  
45 of a drug to modify a person's behavior for criminal purposes has occurred for  
46 centuries. Nevertheless, the number of reported DFSA cases has increased over the past  
47 few years, and it has also generated widespread media interest and caused alarm in the  
48 society [2-5]. In Spain, few DFSA cases have been toxicologically documented,  
49 probably due to a lack of information about this type of crimes within health care  
50 professionals and the general population [6].

51 Typical drugs used in drug facilitated crimes are likely to have one or more of the  
52 following properties: cause sedation; cause amnesia; colorless, odorless and tasteless;  
53 dissolve readily in alcohol (or other beverage); rapidly absorbed after oral  
54 administration; active at small doses; rapidly eliminated and, therefore, difficult to be  
55 detected [7-9]. An ideal drug also will render the victim submissive or will decrease  
56 her/his inhibitions, resulting in their participation in activities that they normally would  
57 not [7]. Common substances typically found in casework include alcohol, cannabis,  
58 benzodiazepines and other sedating drugs, or stimulants such as cocaine and ecstasy [7].  
59 Scopolamine, an anti-muscarinic agent that blocks short-term memories formation, has  
60 been used to rob or kidnap for decades in South America [10]. In Spain, although the  
61 media reported several DFSA cases with scopolamine, literature search did not reveal  
62 any extensive illegal use in our country.

63 The toxicological investigation of a DFSA case is a challenge because of the scarce  
64 information about the incident due to the victim's unconsciousness or anterograde

65 amnesia, the delay in reporting the incident, and the low drug concentrations that should  
66 be detected [8,11]. Blood and urine are the classical biological matrices used to perform  
67 the toxicological analysis, and they should be collected at the earliest opportunity [7].  
68 However, if the delay between the incident and sample collection is too long, the drug  
69 will already be eliminated from these matrices and, therefore, they will not allow to  
70 prove the exposure to the drug [7]. Hair analysis represents a useful biological matrix in  
71 drug-facilitated cases because of the much larger window of drug detection compared to  
72 blood or urine [12]. Moreover, most of the DFSA cases are due to a single drug  
73 exposure, which could be discriminated from a chronic exposure by segmental hair  
74 analysis. Some DFSA cases have already been documented using hair [2,13-19].

75 In this case report, hair analysis results from an unusual case of chronic alleged DFSA  
76 with scopolamine are presented, emphasizing the importance of the decontamination  
77 procedure and the analysis of the wash solvents in the interpretation of the results.

## 78 **Case history**

79 A middle aged-married woman pleaded in her defense that her lover had surreptitiously  
80 drugged her when her husband discovered that she had been having an affair for the last  
81 two years. In order to probe her statement, the married couple came to the lab to  
82 perform a toxicological investigation two months after the affaire finished. In the lab,  
83 they were informed that hair was the only biological matrix that could confirm their  
84 suspicions after the time elapsed since the last possible exposure to the drug. Therefore,  
85 they agreed to perform the hair analysis, and suggested to look for scopolamine. The  
86 married couple and the lover were health care professionals, and had easy access to  
87 scopolamine. She did not refer drug abuse or medical drug use in the last year.

## 88 **Materials and Methods**

### 89 Chemicals and Reagents

90 Standard of scopolamine (powder) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim,  
91 Germany) and benzoylecgonine-d<sub>3</sub> (BE-d<sub>3</sub>) (0.1 mg/mL in methanol) from Cerilliant™  
92 (Round Rock, TX, USA). LC-MS Chromasolv® acetonitrile (≥ 99.9% pure),  
93 dichloromethane (≥ 99.8% pure) and 2-propanol (≥ 99.9% pure) were obtained from  
94 Sigma-Aldrich). HPLC grade methanol (≥ 99.9% pure) was from Panreac Química  
95 (Castellar del Vallès, Spain), and reagent grade n-heptane, sodium hydroxide, sodium  
96 dihydrogen phosphate monohydrate and potassium dihydrogen phosphate were from  
97 Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Reagent grade formic acid (98-100%) and ammonium  
98 formate for LC-MS were from Scharlau (Sentmenat, Spain). Purified water was  
99 obtained in the laboratory using a Milli-Q water system (Le Mont-sur-Lausanne,  
100 Switzerland).

### 101 Specimen

102 One hair strand of approximately 400 mg weight and 15 cm length was collected from  
103 the vertex posterior of the head, as close as possible from the scalp. Hair was  
104 cosmetically altered (bleached), except for the roots (approximately, 5 cm). The  
105 specimen was stored in a paper envelope at room temperature until analysis. Hair  
106 specimens from other locations were not collected. Segmental hair analysis was  
107 performed to chronologically study the possible exposure to scopolamine.

### 108 Toxicological analysis

### 109 Hair decontamination, incubation and extraction

110 Hair specimen was divided in three 4.5-5 cm segments (S1, S2 and S3, being S1 the  
111 proximal and S3 the distal segments), which were individually decontaminated twice  
112 using 2 mL dichloromethane for 2 min. Wash solvents were transferred into a clean  
113 tube, evaporated to dryness with nitrogen in a Turbovap LV evaporator (Zymark,  
114 Hopkinton, MA) at 35°C and refrigerated at 4°C until analysis.

115 Hair segments were subsequently dried, weighted ( $50\pm 2$  mg each segment) and cut into  
116 small pieces. After fortifying each segment with 25  $\mu$ L BE-d<sub>3</sub> at 0.1  $\mu$ g/mL as internal  
117 standard, hair specimens were incubated with 2 mL 0.01 M phosphate buffer pH 8.4 at  
118 room temperature for 12 hours, following Kintz et al. procedure [20]. Samples were  
119 centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, and the supernatants were liquid-liquid  
120 extracted with 5 mL dichloromethane:2-propanol:n-heptane (50:17:33) [20]. After  
121 mechanical shaking for 30 min and centrifugation, the organic layer was evaporated to  
122 dryness. Extracted hair samples and dichloromethane washes were reconstituted in 100  
123  $\mu$ L of 2 mM ammonium formate with formic acid 0.1%:acetonitrile (95:5), and 10  $\mu$ L  
124 injected onto the LC-MS/MS.

#### 125 Preparation of the calibration curve

126 A stock solution of scopolamine at 1 mg/mL was prepared from the powder.  
127 Scopolamine and BE-d<sub>3</sub> stock solutions were individually diluted in methanol to  
128 prepare working solutions at 0.1  $\mu$ g/mL and 0.01  $\mu$ g/mL for scopolamine, and 0.1  
129  $\mu$ g/mL for BE-d<sub>3</sub>. A calibration curve from 10 to 100 pg/mg was prepared by cutting  
130 and fortifying blank hair samples (50 mg) with the appropriate scopolamine working  
131 solution and 25  $\mu$ L BE-d<sub>3</sub> at 0.1  $\mu$ g/mL. The same protocol as described in 2.3.1 section  
132 was applied to calibrators.

133 LC-MS/MS

134 The HPLC was a Waters Alliance 2795 Separation Module with a Waters Alliance  
135 series column heater/cooler (Waters Corp., Milford, MA). Chromatographic separation  
136 was achieved with an Atlantis T3 column (2.1 mm x 50 mm, 3  $\mu$ m) maintained at 26°C.  
137 Gradient elution with 2 mM ammonium formate with formic acid 0.1% (A) and  
138 acetonitrile (B) at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min was as follows: 5% B for 0.8 min;  
139 increased to 45% over 6.2 min; decreased to 5% B over 0.5 min and re-equilibrated for  
140 2.5 min.

141 A Quattro Micro™ tandem mass spectrometer (Waters Corp.) working in electrospray in  
142 the positive mode was used for the detection. The following conditions were found to be  
143 optimal: capillary voltage, 3 kV; source and desolvation temperatures, 125 and 350°C,  
144 respectively; desolvation and cone gas (nitrogen) flow, 700 and 50 L/h, respectively.  
145 Collision gas (argon) pressure was maintained at  $3 \times 10^{-6}$  Bar. Multiple reaction  
146 monitoring (MRM) transitions and optimal conditions for scopolamine and BE-d<sub>3</sub> are  
147 summarized in Table 1.

## 148 **Results and discussion**

149 The present case report describes results and their interpretation after the analysis of a  
150 hair specimen from a married woman who alleged that her lover had chronically  
151 intoxicated her during their affair, probably with scopolamine. The secret affair finished  
152 two months before the analysis request and, therefore, hair was the only biological  
153 sample collected. Usually, a single exposure to the drug is used in DFSA cases, which  
154 makes hair toxicological analysis very challenging due to the low concentrations  
155 expected in this matrix. On the other hand, chronic drug facilitated crimes are unusual,

156 and only few cases have been described in children and elderly people [21-23].  
157 However, in this case report a chronic intoxication was suspected and, therefore, hair  
158 analysis seemed simple.

159 To perform a chronological study of the possible exposure to scopolamine, hair  
160 specimen was divided in three segments of 4.5-5 cm (S1, S2 and S3), which were  
161 individually washed, incubated and analyzed. Table 2 shows the peak areas of  
162 scopolamine in the incubated hair and in the wash solvents of segments S1, S2 and S3.  
163 Criteria for analyte identification included retention time and the presence of the three  
164 selected transitions with the appropriate ion ratio ( $\pm 20\%$  of ion ratio values in the  
165 calibrators).

166 LC-MS/MS analysis of the incubated hair revealed the presence of scopolamine in the  
167 three segments, with higher concentrations in S1, indicating a presumptive exposure to  
168 scopolamine. However, the concentrations found in all cases were below the limit of  
169 quantification (10 pg/mg).

170 The Society of Hair Testing (SOHT) recommends the analysis of hair washes as one of  
171 the criteria to evaluate the possibility of hair contamination [24]. In the method applied  
172 to the present case report, hair decontamination was carried out with two 2 mL  
173 dichloromethane washes, which were subsequently evaporated and analyzed.

174 Scopolamine was detected in both washes; the lower amount in the second wash solvent  
175 compared to the first one proved the efficacy of the decontamination procedure.

176 However, scopolamine peak areas in the washes were much higher than in the incubated  
177 hair, with wash/hair (W/H) ratios ranging from 74 to 709 for the first wash, and 4.6 to  
178 42 for the second wash. Figure 1 shows scopolamine comparative chromatograms in the  
179 two wash solvents and inside the hair for S1, S2 and S3. The amount of scopolamine in

180 the wash solvent due to extraction of accumulated scopolamine in hair is unknown.  
181 However, the applied wash procedure has been previously employed for the  
182 determination of scopolamine [20]. In addition, this common wash procedure is also  
183 used in our laboratory for the determination of 35 common drugs in hair [25], including  
184 scopolamine, and the calculated W/H was  $<0.1$  for all detected drugs in the analyzed  
185 real specimens (n= 85). Therefore, it is very likely that most of scopolamine found in  
186 the wash solvent in the present case report came from external contamination. On the  
187 other hand, although fully extraction of scopolamine from the inner hair with the  
188 selected incubation procedure could not be guaranteed, its usefulness to detect  
189 scopolamine has been previously probed by Kintz et al. [20], who detected this analyte  
190 in a hair specimen from a subject who declared drinking Datura Innoxia infusion, which  
191 contains scopolamine.

192 Different methods have been proposed to differentiate systemic exposure from external  
193 contamination of hair, such as the detection of appropriate levels of metabolites, but the  
194 difficulty in the interpretation arises when metabolites are not detected. Tsanaclis et al.  
195 [26] proposed the analysis of the wash residue, and the comparison of the  
196 concentrations found with those in hair. They analyzed 216 hair specimens from police  
197 officers dealing with drug related cases, where hair external contamination could be  
198 expected, and established three groups according to the wash/hair (W/H) ratio: W/H  
199 ratio 0 and  $<0.1$ , would indicate drug use; W/H ratio  $>0.1$  and  $<0.5$  is not conclusive,  
200 but indicates possible drug use with a degree of external contamination; and finally they  
201 concluded that W/H ratio  $>0.5$  is likely to indicate that the source of most of the drug in  
202 the wash residue stems from external contamination. W/H ratios found in this case  
203 report were  $>4.6$  in all cases, strongly suggesting external scopolamine hair  
204 contamination.

205 Few data on hair concentrations after exposure to scopolamine have been published so  
206 far. Kintz et al. reported hair concentrations of scopolamine from 14 to 48 ng/mL in the  
207 three analyzed segments of a young male after repeatedly drinking an infusion of *Datura*  
208 *innoxia* flowers [20]. However, in this case, wash solvents employed to eliminate  
209 external contamination were not analyzed and, therefore, it was not possible to verified  
210 the absence of external contamination, or to compare scopolamine amounts in the outer  
211 and inner hair. Pujol et al. [22] analyzed hair specimens from three children allegedly  
212 exposed to FEMINAX, a pharmaceutical that contains 100 µg scopolamine per tablet,  
213 among other active ingredients. Scopolamine hair concentrations ranged from 0.2 to 1.1  
214 pg/mg but, again, wash solvents were not analyzed.

215 After discussing the analytical results with the marriage couple, they refused to perform  
216 a second analysis of, for instance, pubic hair. This fact, in addition to the orientation of  
217 the toxicological analysis to look for scopolamine, make us suspect about the possible  
218 deliberate contamination of the hair by the victim herself to justify her marital infidelity.

## 219 **Conclusions**

220 In the present case report, a positive hair result to scopolamine would have been  
221 reported in the absence of data related to the amount of scopolamine in the external hair  
222 layers, highlighting the importance of evaluating analytes' presence in the incubated  
223 hair together with the wash solvents.

## 224 **Acknowledgement**

225 We gratefully acknowledge the support from INCITE (Consellería de Innovación e  
226 Industria, Xunta de Galicia), project number INCITE08PXIB208090PR. Support for  
227 Elena Lendoiro and Marta Concheiro was from grant Xunta INCITE09 228 and

228 Dirección Xeral de Investigación, Desenvolvemento e Innovación (Consellería de  
229 Economía e Industria), Galicia, Spain, respectively.

230 **References**

231 1. Hall JA, Moore CB (2008) Drug facilitated sexual assault--a review. J Forensic Leg  
232 Med 15:291-297. doi: [10.1016/j.jflm.2007.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jflm.2007.12.005)

233 2. Villain M, Cheze M, Dumestre V, Ludes B, Kintz P (2004) Hair to document drug-  
234 facilitated crimes: four cases involving bromazepam. J Anal Toxicol 28:516-519.

235 3. Scott-Ham M, Burton FC (2005) Toxicological findings in cases of alleged drug-  
236 facilitated sexual assault in the United Kingdom over a 3-year period. J Clin  
237 Forensic Med 12:175-186. doi: [10.1016/j.jcfm.2005.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcfm.2005.03.009)

238 4. Hurley M, Parker H, Wells DL (2006) The epidemiology of drug facilitated sexual  
239 assault. J Clin Forensic Med 13:181-185. doi: [10.1016/j.jcfm.2006.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcfm.2006.02.005)

240 5. European Monitoring Center for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA) (2008)  
241 Sexual assaults facilitated by drugs or alcohol.

242 [http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/attachements.cfm/att\\_50544\\_EN\\_TDS\\_sexual\\_assa](http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/attachements.cfm/att_50544_EN_TDS_sexual_assault.pdf)  
243 [ult.pdf](http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/attachements.cfm/att_50544_EN_TDS_sexual_assault.pdf) (Accessed January 2012)

244 6. Cruz-Landeira A, Quintela-Jorge O, Lopez-Rivadulla M (2008) Chemical  
245 submission, epidemiology and some clues for the diagnosis. Med Clin (Barc.) 131:783-  
246 789. doi: [10.1016/S0025-7753\(08\)75505-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-7753(08)75505-2)

247 7. Scott KS (2009) The use of hair as a toxicological tool in DFC casework. Sci  
248 Justice 49:250-253. doi: [10.1016/j.scijus.2009.09.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2009.09.013)

- 249 8. Madea B, Musshoff F (2009) Knock-out drugs: their prevalence, modes of action,  
250 and means of detection. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 106:341-347. doi:  
251 [10.3238/arztebl.2009.0341](https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2009.0341)
- 252 9. Gaulier JM, Fonteau F, Jouanel E, Lachatre G (2004) Rape drugs: pharmacological  
253 and analytical aspects. *Ann Biol Clin* 62:529-538.
- 254 10. Negrusz A, Juhascik M, Gaensslen RE (2005) Estimate of the incidence of drug-  
255 facilitated sexual assault in the U.S.  
256 <http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/212000.pdf> (Accessed January 2012).
- 257 11. Negrusz A, Gaensslen RE (2003) Analytical developments in toxicological  
258 investigation of drug-facilitated sexual assault. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 376:1192-1197.  
259 doi: [10.1007/s00216-003-1896-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-003-1896-z)
- 260 12. Kintz P (2011) Segmental hair analysis can demonstrate external  
261 contamination in postmortem cases. *Forensic Sci Int Epub ahead of print*. doi:  
262 [10.1016/j.forsciint.2011.01.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2011.01.041)
- 263 13. Gouille JP, Cheze M, Pepin G (2003) Determination of endogenous levels of GHB  
264 in human hair. Are there possibilities for the identification of GHB administration  
265 through hair analysis in cases of drug-facilitated sexual assault? *J Anal Toxicol*  
266 27:574-580.
- 267 14. Frison G, Favretto D, Tedeschi L, Ferrara SD (2003) Detection of thiopental and  
268 pentobarbital in head and pubic hair in a case of drug-facilitated sexual assault.  
269 *Forensic Sci Int* 133:171-174. doi: [10.1016/S0379-0738\(03\)00064-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(03)00064-1)

- 270 15. Villain M, Cheze M, Tracqui A, Ludes B, Kintz P (2004) Testing for zopiclone in  
271 hair application to drug-facilitated crimes. *Forensic Sci Int* 145:117-121. doi:  
272 [10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.04.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.04.026)
- 273 16. Kintz P, Villain M, Ludes B (2004) Testing for the undetectable in drug-  
274 facilitated sexual assault using hair analyzed by tandem mass spectrometry as  
275 evidence. *Ther Drug Monit* 26:211-214.
- 276 17. Kintz P, Villain M, Cheze M, Pepin G (2005) Identification of alprazolam in hair  
277 in two cases of drug-facilitated incidents. *Forensic Sci Int* 153:222-226. doi:  
278 [10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.10.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.10.025)
- 279 18. Rossi R, Lancia M, Gambelunghe C, Oliva A, Fucci N (2009) Identification of GHB  
280 and morphine in hair in a case of drug-facilitated sexual assault. *Forensic Sci Int*  
281 186:e9-e11. doi: [10.1016/j.forsciint.2009.01.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2009.01.017)
- 282 19. Kintz P, Evans J, Villain M, Chatterton C, Cirimele V (2009) Hair analysis to  
283 demonstrate administration of sildenafil to a woman in a case of drug-facilitated  
284 sexual assault. *J Anal Toxicol* 33:553-556.
- 285 20. Kintz P, Villain M, Barguil Y, Charlot JY, Cirimele V (2006) Testing for atropine  
286 and scopolamine in hair by LC-MS-MS after *Datura innoxia* abuse. *J Anal Toxicol*  
287 30:454-457.
- 288 21. Kintz P, Evans J, Villain M, Salquebre G, Cirimele V (2007) Hair analysis for  
289 diphenhydramine after surreptitious administration to a child. *Forensic Sci Int*  
290 173:171-174. doi: [10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.12.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.12.006)

- 291 22. Pujol ML, Villain M, Salquèbre G, Vallet E, Cirimele V, Kintz P (2006)  
292 Scopolamine : un nouveau cas de soumission médicamenteuse sur des enfants.  
293 Ann Toxicol Anal 18:207-212. doi: [10.1051/ata:2006012](https://doi.org/10.1051/ata:2006012)
- 294 23. Kintz P, Villain M, Cirimele V (2008) Chemical abuse in the elderly: evidence  
295 from hair analysis. Ther Drug Monit 30:207-211.
- 296 24. Society of Hair Testing (1997) Statements of the Society of Hair Testing  
297 concerning the examination of drugs in human hair. Forensic Sci Int 84:3-6.
- 298 25. Lendoiro E, Quintela O, de Castro A, Cruz A, López-Rivadulla M, Concheiro M  
299 (2011) Target screening and confirmation of 35 licit and illicit drugs and  
300 metabolites in hair by LC-MSMS. Forensic Sci Int. Epub ahead of print.
- 301 26. Tsanaclis L, Wicks JF (2008) Differentiation between drug use and  
302 environmental contamination when testing for drugs in hair. Forensic Sci Int  
303 176:19-22. doi: [10.1016/j.forsciint.2007.08.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2007.08.009)

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311 Table 1. Retention time (Rt), MRM transitions and optimal cone voltage and  
 312 collision energy conditions for scopolamine and benzoylecgonine-d3 (BE-d3).

Compound	Rt (min)	MRM transition	Cone voltage (V)	Collision Energy (eV)
Scopolamine	4.6	<sup>a</sup> 304.3 > 138.2	34	24
		304.3 > 156.2		16
		304.3 > 103.0		41
BE-d3	5.6	293.2 > 171.2	30	20
<sup>a</sup> MRM transition was used for quantification				

313

314 Table 2. Peak area of scopolamine (transition 304.3>138.2) in the incubated hair  
 315 and in the wash solvents of segments S1, S2 and S3 (S1, proximal segment; S3,  
 316 distal segment).

Segment	Scopolamine Peak Area		
	Wash 1	Wash 2	Incubated hair
S1	682294	387479	9216
S2	628211	26911	885
S3	106345	2859	625

317

318

Figure 1. Scopolamine chromatograms in the first and second wash solvents, compared to chromatograms in hair (S1, proximal segment; S3, distal segment).

